

# Simpler congruences for Jacobi sum $J(1, 1)_{49}$ of order 49

Ishrat Jahan Ansari<sup>1</sup> , Vikas Jadhav<sup>2</sup>   
and Devendra Shirolkar<sup>3</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, M.C.E. Society's Abeda Inamdar Senior College,  
Savitribai Phule Pune University  
Research Scholar, Sir Parshurambhau College  
Pune, Maharashtra, India  
e-mail: ishrat1984@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Nowrosjee Wadia College  
Pune, Maharashtra, India  
e-mail: svikasjadhav@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup> Savitribai Phule Pune University  
Pune, Maharashtra, India  
e-mail: dshirolkar@gmail.com

**Received:** 9 May 2025

**Accepted:** 16 April 2026

**Revised:** 3 March 2026

**Online First:** 24 April 2026

**Abstract:** Congruences of order  $l^2$  (with  $l$  an odd prime) were obtained by D. Shirolkar and S. A. Katre [15]. In this paper we determine congruence of Jacobi sums  $J(1, 1)_{49}$  of order 49 over a field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . We also show that simpler congruences hold for  $J(1, 1)_{49}$  in the case of artiad and hyperartiad primes.

**Keywords:** Jacobi sums, Cyclotomic numbers, Congruences, Dickson–Hurwitz sums.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 11T22, 11T24.



# 1 Introduction

For a positive integer  $e \geq 2$ , the Jacobi sums of order  $e$  are algebraic integers in the cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e)$ , where  $\zeta_e = \exp(2\pi i/e)$ . These are defined in the set up of a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q = p^r$  where  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$ ,  $p$  prime. Jacobi sums are important in the theory of cyclotomy and their congruences have been studied by many authors. Earlier authors [2] obtained congruences in the set up of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$  and later authors [5] considered  $q = p^r \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$ .

1. It is well known that ([2] and [13]) the Jacobi sums of odd prime order  $l$ ,  $J(1, j)_l \equiv -1 \pmod{(1 - \zeta_l)^2}$ . This congruence also holds  $\pmod{(1 - \zeta_l)^3}$  ([7] and [14]).
2. Congruence of Jacobi sums of order  $2l$  ( $l$  odd prime) were obtained by V. V. Acharya, S. A. Katre [1]. They showed that  $J(1, n)_{2l} \equiv -\zeta^{m(n+1)} \pmod{(1 - \zeta_l)^2}$ , where  $n$  is an odd integer such that  $1 \leq n \leq 2l - 3$  and  $m = \text{ind}_\gamma 2$  where  $\gamma$  is generator of  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ .
3. A congruence of Jacobi sum  $J(1, 1)_9$  of order 9 was obtained by S. A. Katre and Rajwade [8]. They showed that  $J(1, 1)_9 \equiv -1 - (\text{ind}_\gamma 3)(1 - \omega) \pmod{(1 - \zeta_9)^4}$  where  $\omega = \zeta_9^3$  and  $\gamma$  is generator of  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ .
4. Congruences of order  $l^2$  ( $l$  odd prime) were obtained by D. Shirolkar and S. A. Katre. Refer to Theorem and Remarks following [15]. They showed that

$$J(1, n)_{l^2} \equiv \begin{cases} -1 + \sum_{i=3}^l c_{i,n}(\zeta - 1)^i \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^{l+1}}, & \text{if } \gcd(l, n) = 1, \\ -1 \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^{l+1}}, & \text{if } \gcd(l, n) = l, \end{cases}$$

where  $1 \leq n \leq l^2 - 1$ .

5. If  $k$  is an odd power  $> 3$ , then  $J(i, j)_k \equiv -1 \pmod{(1 - \zeta_k)^3}$  (see [6]).

R. J. Evans [5] generalised this result to all  $k > 2$  by elementary methods getting sharper congruences in some cases, especially when  $k > 8$  is a power of 2.

The purpose of this paper is to apply the general result of D. Shirolkar and S. A. Katre [15] to the case  $l = 7$  and to show that, for septic artiad and septic hyperartiad primes  $p$ , the corresponding determining congruence for the Jacobi sum  $J(1, 1)_{49}$  simplifies considerably.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $e$  be a positive integer  $\geq 2$  and  $q = p^r \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$ ,  $p$  prime. Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field with  $q$  elements. Write  $p^r = q = ef + 1$ . Let  $\zeta$  be a complex primitive  $e$ th root of unity. If  $\gamma$  is a generator of  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ , then define the multiplicative character  $\chi : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  by  $\chi(\gamma) = \zeta$ ,  $\chi(0) = 0$ . Given a generator  $\gamma$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$  define the Jacobi sum  $J(i, j)_e$  by

$$J(i, j) = J(i, j)_e = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi^i(v) \chi^j(1 + v), \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq e - 1.$$

Here  $\chi^0(0) = 0$ . Also,  $i$  and  $j$  can be considered modulo  $e$ , with the understanding that  $\chi^i(0) = 0$  for any integer  $i$ . Note that  $J(i, j)_e \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ , the ring of integers of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ .

A variation of the Jacobi sum is defined as

$$J(\chi^i, \chi^j)_e = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi^i(v) \chi^j(1-v), \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq e-1.$$

Observe that  $J(i, j)_e = \chi^i(-1) J(\chi^i, \chi^j)_e$ . When  $q = 2^r$ ,  $\chi^i(-1) = \chi^i(1) = 1$  and both the Jacobi sums coincide. Otherwise,  $\chi^i(-1) = (-1)^{if}$  and hence the two Jacobi sums differ at most in sign. For multiplicative characters  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  on  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $J(\chi, \psi)$  can be analogously defined.

In the following theorem, we state some standard results about Jacobi sums.

**Theorem 2.1** (Elementary properties of Jacobi sums).

- 1) If  $i$  and  $j$  are congruent to 0 modulo  $e$ , then  $J(\chi^i, \chi^j)_e = q - 2$ .
- 2) If exactly one of  $i$  and  $j$  is congruent to 0 modulo  $e$ , then  $J(\chi^i, \chi^j)_e = -1$ .
- 3) If  $i$  is nonzero modulo  $e$  and  $i + j$  is congruent to 0 modulo  $e$ , then  $J(\chi^i, \chi^j)_e = -\chi^i(-1)$ .
- 4)  $J(\chi^i, \chi^j)_e = J(\chi^j, \chi^i)_e = \chi^i(-1) J(\chi^{-i-j}, \chi^i)_e$ .
- 5) If  $e$  does not divide  $i, j$  and  $i + j$ , then  $|J(\chi^i, \chi^j)_e| = \sqrt{q}$ .

*Proof.* See [2] for the case  $q = p$ , and [16] for  $q = p^r$ . □

**Remark:** If  $f$  is even or  $q = 2^r$ , then  $J(i, j)_e = J(\chi^i, \chi^j)_e$ , so (4) gives  $J(i, j)_e = J(j, i)_e = J(-i-j, j)_e = J(j, -i-j)_e = J(-i-j, i)_e = J(i, -i-j)_e$ . In particular  $J(i, i)_e = J(-2i, i)_e = J(i, -2i)_e$ .

### 3 Cyclotomy

Let  $\gamma, \zeta$  and  $\chi$  be as in Section 2. For  $0 \leq i, j \leq e-1$  ( $i, j \pmod{e}$ ), define the  $e^2$  cyclotomic numbers  $(i, j)_e$  by  $(i, j)_e = \text{Cardinality}(X_{ij})$  where

$$\begin{aligned} X_{ij} &= \{v \in \mathbb{F}_q \mid \chi(v) = \zeta^i, \chi(v+1) = \zeta^j\} \\ &= \{v \in \mathbb{F}_q - \{0, -1\} \mid \text{ind}_\gamma v \equiv i \pmod{e}, \text{ind}_\gamma(v+1) \equiv j \pmod{e}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We state below some basic properties of the cyclotomic numbers. (See [3] for  $q = p$ , [16]). For  $q = p^r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j)_e &= (i', j')_e \quad \text{if } i \equiv i' \text{ and } j \equiv j' \pmod{e}. \\ (i, j)_e &= (e-i, j-i)_e \\ &= \begin{cases} (j, i)_e, & \text{if } f \text{ is even or } q = 2^r, \\ (j + \frac{1}{2}e, i + \frac{1}{2}e)_e, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus if  $f$  is even or  $q = 2^r$ ,  $r \geq 2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j)_e &= (j, i)_e = (i-j, -j)_e = (j-i, -i)_e \\ &= (-i, j-i)_e = (-j, i-j)_e. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The  $e^2$  Jacobi sums and the  $e^2$  cyclotomic numbers are related by

$$\sum_i \sum_j \zeta^{-(ai+bj)} J(i, j)_e = e^2(a, b)_e, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\sum_i \sum_j (i, j)_e \zeta^{ai+bj} = J(a, b)_e. \quad (3)$$

Jacobi sums and cyclotomic numbers are related to Dickson–Hurwitz sums. These are defined for  $i, j \pmod{e}$  by (for  $q = p$ , see [2])

$$B(i, j) = B(i, j)_e = \sum_{h=0}^{e-1} (h, i - jh)_e. \quad (4)$$

They satisfy the relation  $B(i, j)_e = B(i, e - j - i)_e$ . Also,

$$B(i, 0)_e = \begin{cases} f - 1, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ f, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq e - 1. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{e-1} B(i, j)_e = q - 2. \quad (6)$$

Dickson–Hurwitz sums and Jacobi sums  $J(\chi, \chi^j)_e$  are related by (for  $q = p$ , see [2])

$$\chi^j(-1)J(\chi, \chi^j)_e = \chi^j(-1)\chi(-1)J(1, j)_e = \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} B(i, j)_e \zeta^i. \quad (7)$$

Hence if  $f$  is even or  $q = 2^r$ , then  $J(1, j)_e = \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} B(i, j)_e \zeta^i$ .

## 4 Congruences of Jacobi sums $J(1, n)_{l^2}$ of order $l^2$

The determining congruences of Jacobi sums  $J(1, n)_{l^2}$  of order  $l^2$  have been studied by Devendra Shirolkar and S. A. Katre [15]. This congruence is in the terms of linear combination of cyclotomic numbers of order  $l$ . Their work generalises the work of R. J. Evans [5]. We state their important result for ready reference.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $l > 3$  be a prime and  $1 \leq n \leq l^2 - 1$ . Write  $n = dl + n'$  where  $1 \leq n' \leq l - 1$ . For  $1 \leq h \leq l - 1$ , let*

$$\lambda_h = \lambda_h(n) = \left[ \frac{n'h}{l} \right] + \left[ \frac{-h(n'+1)}{l} \right],$$

and  $1 \leq h, k \leq l - 1$ ,  $h \neq k$ , let

$$\lambda_{h,k} = \lambda_{h,k}(n) = \left[ \frac{h+n'k}{l} \right] + \left[ \frac{k+n'h}{l} \right] + \left[ \frac{n'k-h(n'+1)}{l} \right] \\ + \left[ \frac{n'h-k(n'+1)}{l} \right] + \left[ \frac{k-h(n'+1)}{l} \right] + \left[ \frac{h-k(n'+1)}{l} \right].$$

For a given  $n$ ,  $\lambda_{h,k}$  depends only on the class of six elements (cf. (1)) to which  $(h, k)_l$  belongs. Define

$$S(n) := \sum_{t=0}^{l-1} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} tB(lt+j, n)_{l^2}.$$

Then

$$S(n) \equiv \sum_{h=1}^{l-1} \lambda_h(h, 0)_l + \sum_c \lambda_{h,k}(h, k)_l \pmod{l}$$

where  $\sum_c$  is taken over a set of representatives of classes of six elements of cyclotomic numbers of order  $l$ , obtained with respect to (1). Furthermore  $S(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$  if  $\gcd(l, n) = l$ .

*Proof.* See [15]. □

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $l > 3$  be a prime and  $p^r = q \equiv 1 \pmod{l^2}$ . If  $1 \leq n \leq l^2 - 1$ , then a (determining) congruence for  $J(1, n)_{l^2}$  for a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is given by

$$J(1, n)_{l^2} \equiv \begin{cases} -1 + \sum_{i=3}^l c_{i,n}(\zeta - 1)^i \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^{l+1}}, & \text{if } \gcd(l, n) = 1, \\ -1 \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^{l+1}}, & \text{if } \gcd(l, n) = l, \end{cases}$$

where for  $3 \leq i \leq l-1$ ,  $c_{i,n} = \sum_{u=i}^{l-1} \binom{u}{i} B(u, n')_l$  and  $c_{l,n} = S(n)$  is given by Lemma 4.1.

*Proof.* See [15]. □

## 5 Cyclotomic numbers of order 7

There are 49 cyclotomic numbers of order 7. Of these, only twelve distinct cyclotomic numbers of order 7 are sufficient to determine the remaining (see Equation (1)). If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ , the Diophantine system of Leonard and Williams is given by (see [10]):

$$72p = 2x_1^2 + 42(x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2) + 343(x_5^2 + 3x_6^2), \\ 12x_2^2 - 12x_4^2 + 147x_5^2 - 441x_6^2 + 56x_1x_6 + 24x_2x_3 - 24x_2x_4 + 48x_3x_4 + 98x_5x_6 = 0, \\ 12x_5^2 - 12x_4^2 + 49x_5^2 - 147x_6^2 + 28x_1x_5 + 28x_1x_6 + 48x_2x_3 + 24x_3x_4 + 490x_5x_6 = 0.$$

$x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$  has six non-trivial solutions satisfying  $x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$  in addition to the two trivial solutions  $(-6t, \pm 2u, \pm 2u, \mp 2u, 0, 0)$  where  $t$  is given uniquely and  $u$  is given ambiguously by  $p = t^2 + 7u^2, t \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ . If  $X_1 = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)$  is one of the non-trivial solutions, then the other five non-trivial solutions are (see [10]):

$$\begin{aligned} X_2 &= (x_1, x_3, -x_4, x_2, -\frac{1}{2}(x_5 + 3x_6), \frac{1}{2}(x_5 - x_6)), \\ X_3 &= (x_1, x_4, -x_2, x_3, -\frac{1}{2}(x_5 - 3x_6), -\frac{1}{2}(x_5 + x_6)), \\ X_4 &= (x_1, -x_4, x_2, -x_3, -\frac{1}{2}(x_5 - 3x_6), -\frac{1}{2}(x_5 + x_6)), \\ X_5 &= (x_1, -x_3, x_4, x_2, -\frac{1}{2}(x_5 + 3x_6), \frac{1}{2}(x_5 - x_6)), \\ X_6 &= (x_1, -x_2, -x_3, -x_4, x_5, x_6). \end{aligned}$$

For a suitable choice of solution of the above Diophantine system, the cyclotomic numbers of order 7 are given by (see [11]):

$$\begin{aligned} 49(0, 0) &= p - 20 - 12t + 3x_1 \\ 588(0, 1) &= 12p - 72 + 24t + 168u - 6x_1 + 84x_2 - 42x_3 + 147x_4 + 147x_6 \\ 588(0, 2) &= 12p - 72 + 24t + 168u - 6x_1 + 84x_3 + 42x_4 - 294x_6 \\ 588(0, 3) &= 12p - 72 + 24t - 168u - 6x_1 + 42x_2 + 84x_4 - 147x_5 + 147x_6 \\ 588(0, 4) &= 12p - 72 + 24t + 168u - 6x_1 - 42x_2 - 84x_4 - 147x_5 + 147x_6 \\ 588(0, 5) &= 12p - 72 + 24t - 168u - 6x_1 - 84x_3 - 42x_4 - 294x_6 \\ 588(0, 6) &= 12p - 72 + 24t - 168u - 6x_1 - 84x_2 + 42x_3 + 147x_5 + 147x_6 \\ 588(1, 2) &= 12p + 12 + 24t + 8x_1 - 196x_5 \\ 588(1, 3) &= 12p + 12 - 60t - 84u - 6x_1 + 42x_2 + 42x_3 - 42x_4 \\ 588(1, 4) &= 12p + 12 + 24t + 8x_1 + 98x_5 - 294x_6 \\ 588(1, 5) &= 12p + 12 - 60t + 84u - 6x_1 - 42x_2 - 42x_3 + 42x_4 \\ 588(2, 4) &= 12p + 12 + 24t + 8x_1 + 98x_5 + 294x_6 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Also, if  $J(1, 1)_7 = \sum_{i=0}^6 c_i \zeta^i = \sum_{i=0}^6 B(i, 1)_7 \zeta^i$  is a Jacobi sum of order 7, then for a suitable choice of solution of the above Diophantine system, the integers  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_6$  are given by (see [11]):

$$\begin{aligned} 12c_1 &= -2x_1 + 6x_2 + 7x_5 + 21x_6 \\ 12c_2 &= -2x_1 + 6x_3 + 7x_5 - 21x_6 \\ 12c_3 &= -2x_1 + 6x_4 - 14x_5 \\ 12c_4 &= -2x_1 - 6x_4 - 14x_5 \\ 12c_5 &= -2x_1 - 6x_3 + 7x_5 - 21x_6 \\ 12c_6 &= -2x_1 - 6x_2 + 7x_5 + 21x_6 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

## 6 Congruences of Jacobi sum $J(1, 1)_{49}$ of order 49

Let  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{49}$  be a prime and  $\zeta$  be primitive 49-th root of unity in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ . Then from Theorem 4.1 the determining congruences for Jacobi sum  $J(1, 1)_{49}$  of order 49 are given as:

$$J(1, 1)_{49} \equiv -1 + \sum_{i=3}^7 c_{i,1}(\zeta - 1)^i \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^8}$$

where  $c_{i,1}$  are defined in Theorem 4.1. Let  $q = 7f + 1$  ( $f$  even) be a prime. Then the Jacobi sum  $J(1, 1)_7$  in terms of the Dickson–Hurwitz sums  $B(i, 1)_7$ , ( $0 \leq i \leq 6$ ) is given in (7). These Dickson–Hurwitz sums of order 7 in terms of solutions of the Diophantine system are given by P. A. Leonard and K. S. Williams (see [11]):

$$\begin{aligned} 84B(0, 1)_7 &= 12x_1 + 12p - 24 \\ 84B(1, 1)_7 &= -2x_1 + 42x_2 + 49x_5 + 147x_6 + 12p - 24 \\ 84B(2, 1)_7 &= -2x_1 + 42x_3 + 49x_5 - 147x_6 + 12p - 24 \\ 84B(3, 1)_7 &= -2x_1 + 42x_4 - 98x_5 + 12p - 24 \\ 84B(4, 1)_7 &= -2x_1 - 42x_4 - 98x_5 + 12p - 24 \\ 84B(5, 1)_7 &= -2x_1 - 42x_3 + 49x_5 - 147x_6 + 12p - 24 \\ 84B(6, 1)_7 &= -2x_1 - 42x_3 + 49x_5 + 147x_6 + 12p - 24 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{1,1} &= \left(\frac{6p - x_1 - 12}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{x_4 + 3x_3 + 5x_2}{2}\right) \\ c_{2,1} &= \left(\frac{5}{3}\right)\left(\frac{6p - x_1 - 12}{2}\right) - 3\left(\frac{x_4 + 3x_3 + 5x_2}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{28x_5 + 42x_6}{6}\right) \\ c_{3,1} &= \left(\frac{5}{3}\right)\left(\frac{6p - x_1 - 12}{2}\right) - 3\left(\frac{3x_4 + 10x_3 + 20x_2}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{105x_6 + 70x_5}{6}\right) \\ c_{4,1} &= \left(\frac{6p - x_1 - 12}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{x_4 - 5x_3 - 15x_2}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{35x_6 + 21x_5}{2}\right) \\ c_{5,1} &= \left(\frac{2}{6}\right)\left(\frac{6p - x_1 - 12}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{x_3 + 6x_2}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{105x_6 + 49x_5}{12}\right) \\ c_{6,1} &= \left(\frac{2}{42}\right)\left(\frac{6p - x_1 - 12}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{9x_3 + 14x_2}{28}\right) + \left(\frac{21x_6 + 7x_5}{12}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Using equation (8) and Lemma 4.1.

$$c_{7,1} = -\left(\frac{2}{14}\right)\left(\frac{6p - x_1 - 12}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{2}{14}\right)\left(\frac{3x_3 + 5x_2}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{7x_5 - 5x_4}{28}\right).$$

We observe that as  $x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$  and  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$  therefore,  $\frac{6p - x_1 - 12}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ .

### 6.1 Congruence of Jacobi sum $J(1, 1)_{49}$ for artiad and hyperartiad primes

Lloyd Tanner (see [17]) came across some special primes while studying Jacobi sums in the field of  $5^{\text{th}}$  root of unity in the field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  where  $p = 10f + 1$ . He observed that, when Jacobi sums corresponding to these primes were expanded so that the sum of their coefficients is  $-1$ , the coefficients of Jacobi sums are congruent modulo 5. He called these primes artiad primes.

Later in 1985, Emma Lehmer gave a characterization of such primes (see [9]). She showed that in case of  $p = 10f + 1$  artiads are those primes for which the Fibonacci root  $\theta = \frac{(1+\sqrt{5})}{2}$  is a solution to the congruence  $x^2 - x - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  is a quintic residue. She also defined hyperartiads as primes for which 5 and the Fibonacci roots are quintic residues modulo  $p$ .

Emma Lehmer (see [9]) further extended the notion of artiad and hyperartiad primes to  $p = 14s + 1$ , defining the septic artiad and septic hyperartiad primes. She showed that when  $\theta$  is replaced by  $2 \cos(\frac{2\pi}{7}) = \zeta + \zeta^{-1}$  the septic artiad and septic hyperartiad is defined as follows: The prime  $p = 14s + 1$  for which all solutions of congruence  $x^3 + x^2 - 2x - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  are seventh power residues is an artiad prime.

Septic hyperartiad primes are septic artiad primes for which 7 is a seventh power residue.

Here, we state the characterization of septic artiad primes and septic hyperartiad primes obtained by Emma Lehmer for reference.

We reformulate these definitions for the prime  $p, p = 14s + 1$ , in terms of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_6$ . This enables us to determine simpler congruences that hold for Jacobi sum  $J(1, 1)_{49}$  of order 49 for the artiad and hyperartiad case.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ , and let  $J(1, 1)_7 = \sum_{i=0}^6 c_i \zeta^i$  be the Jacobi sum of order 7, normalized by  $\sum_{i=0}^6 c_i = -1$  and  $\theta_k = \zeta^k + \zeta^{-k}$  be the roots of the congruence  $x^3 + x^2 - 2x - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Fix a generator  $\gamma$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ . Then, for  $k = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $\text{ind}_\gamma(\theta_k) \equiv k(c_{3k} - c_{7-3k}) \pmod{7}$ , where the subscripts are taken modulo 7.*

*Proof.* (see [9]) □

**Theorem 6.1** (Septic artiads). *Let  $p = 14s + 1$ . The three roots of the congruence  $x^3 + x^2 - 2x - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  are seventh power residues modulo  $p$  if and only if  $c_k \equiv c_{7-k} \pmod{7}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$ , where the coefficients  $c_i$  are defined by the Jacobi sum of order 7. Primes satisfying this condition will be called septic artiads.*

*Proof.* (see [9]) □

The notion of septic hyperartiad primes is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.2** (Septic hyperartiads). *Let  $p$  be a septic artiad prime, has 7 as a seventh power residue modulo  $p$  if and only if  $(0, k) \equiv (0, 7 - k) \pmod{7}$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3$ .*

*Proof.* See [9]. □

**Lemma 6.2.**  *$p = 14s + 1$  is an artiad prime if and only if  $x_2 \equiv x_3 \equiv x_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p = 14s + 1$  be an artiad prime. Then from the work of Emma Lehmer (see [9], Section 5, Theorem 5),  $c_k \equiv c_{7-k} \pmod{7}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$ . Using (9) we get,  $x_2 \equiv x_3 \equiv x_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $p = 14s + 1$  with  $x_2 \equiv x_3 \equiv x_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  and  $x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ . From (9) we obtain  $c_k \equiv c_{7-k} \pmod{7}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$ . Therefore,  $p$  is an artiad prime. □

**Lemma 6.3.**  $p = 14s + 1$  is a hyperartiad prime if and only if  $p$  is an artiad prime and for a generator  $\gamma$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$   $\text{ind}_\gamma 7 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ .

*Proof.* J.B. Muskat has given the expression for  $\text{ind}_\gamma 7$  in terms of cyclotomic numbers of order 7 as (see [12], Section 1, Theorem 1),

$$\text{ind}_\gamma 7 \equiv \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) - \sum_{h=0}^6 (h, 0)_7 h \pmod{7}. \quad (12)$$

Let  $p$  be a hyperartiad prime (hence, an artiad, as well). Then  $(0, h)_7 \equiv (0, 7-h)_7 \pmod{7}$  (see the work of Emma Lehmer [9], Section 5, Theorem 6). Hence by (13) we get,  $\text{ind}_\gamma 7 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $p = 14s + 1$  is an artiad prime and  $\text{ind}_\gamma 7 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ . Then using (12) we get,  $\sum_{h=0}^{e-1} (h, 0)_7 h \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ . Hence  $(0, h)_7 \equiv (0, 7-h)_7 \pmod{7}$  for  $h = 1, 2, 3$ . Therefore, from the work of Emma Lehmer (see ([15], Theorem 6),  $p$  is a hyperartiad prime.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.4.**  $p = 14s + 1$  is an artiad prime if and only if  $c_{1,1} \equiv c_{2,1} \equiv c_{3,1} \equiv c_{4,1} \equiv c_{5,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ ,  $12c_{6,1} \equiv \left(\frac{4}{7}\right) \frac{6p-x_1-12}{2} \pmod{7}$ ,  $4c_{7,1} - 4\text{ind}_\gamma 7 \equiv -12c_{6,1} + x_5 \pmod{7}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p = 14s + 1$  be an artiad prime. Then by Lemma 6.2 and Equation (11), we get  $c_{1,1} \equiv c_{2,1} \equiv c_{3,1} \equiv c_{4,1} \equiv c_{5,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  (being  $x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ ).

From Equation (11)

$$12c_{6,1} = \frac{12p - 2x_1 - 24}{7} + (-21x_6 + 7x_5 - x_2)$$

Hence,

$$12c_{6,1} \equiv \frac{4}{7} \left(\frac{6p - x_1 - 12}{2}\right) \pmod{7}.$$

Now we have  $28\text{ind}_\gamma 7 \equiv x_2 - 19x_3 - 18x_4 \pmod{49}$  (see [16] Corollary 2, Equation 8).

Hence

$$4\text{ind}_\gamma 7 \equiv \left(\frac{x_2 - 19x_3 - 18x_4}{7}\right) \pmod{7}.$$

Using Equation (11),

$$28c_{7,1} = -(12p - 7x_5 + 5x_4 - 6x_3 - 10x_2 - 2x_1 - 24).$$

Therefore,

$$28c_{7,1} - 28\text{ind}_\gamma 7 \equiv -12p + 2x_1 + 24 + 9x_2 + 25x_3 + 13x_4 + 7x_5 \pmod{49}$$

and we get

$$4c_{7,1} - 4\text{ind}_\gamma 7 \equiv -12c_{6,1} + x_5 \pmod{7}.$$

Conversely, suppose  $c_{1,1} \equiv c_{2,1} \equiv c_{3,1} \equiv c_{4,1} \equiv c_{5,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ ,  $12c_{6,1} \equiv \frac{4}{7} \left(\frac{6p-x_1-12}{2}\right) \pmod{7}$ ,  $4c_{7,1} - 4\text{ind}_\gamma 7 \equiv -12c_{6,1} + x_5 \pmod{7}$ .

Using equation (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
4x_4 + x_3 + 3x_2 &\equiv 0 \pmod{7} \\
5x_4 + 5x_3 - 4x_2 &\equiv 0 \pmod{7} \\
4x_4 + 5x_3 - x_2 &\equiv 0 \pmod{7} \\
x_3 &\equiv 6x_2 \pmod{7}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Hence,  $x_2 \equiv x_3 \equiv x_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ . Therefore, using Lemma 6.2  $p$  is an artiad prime.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.5.**  $p = 14s + 1$  is a hyperartiad prime if and only if  $c_{1,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ ,  $c_{2,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ ,  $c_{3,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ ,  $c_{4,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ ,  $c_{5,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ ,  $12c_{6,1} \equiv \left(\frac{4}{7}\right)^{\frac{6p-x_1-12}{2}} \pmod{7}$ ,  $4c_{7,1} \equiv -12c_{6,1} + x_5 \pmod{7}$ .

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.3.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.3.** (1)  $p$  is an artiad prime if and only if

$$J(1, 1)_{49} \equiv -1 + c_{6,1}(\zeta - 1)^6 + (-3c_{6,1} + \text{ind}_\gamma 7 + 2x_5)(\zeta - 1)^7 \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^8}.$$

(2)  $p$  is a hyperartiad prime if and only if

$$J(1, 1)_{49} \equiv -1 + c_{6,1}(\zeta - 1)^6 + (-3c_{6,1} + 2x_5)(\zeta - 1)^7 \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^8}.$$

*Proof.* (1) We have  $J(1, 1)_{49} \equiv -1 + \sum_{i=3}^7 c_{i,1}(\zeta - 1)^i \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^8}$ .

Let  $p$  be an artiad prime then by Lemma 6.4  $c_{1,1} \equiv c_{2,1} \equiv c_{3,1} \equiv c_{4,1} \equiv c_{5,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ . Therefore,

$$J(1, 1)_{49} \equiv -1 + c_{6,1}(\zeta - 1)^6 + c_{7,1}(\zeta - 1)^7 \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^8}.$$

From Lemma 6.4  $c_{7,1} \equiv -3c_{6,1} + \text{ind}_\gamma 7 + 2x_5 \pmod{7}$ . Hence,

$$J(1, 1)_{49} \equiv -1 + c_{6,1}(\zeta - 1)^6 + (-3c_{6,1} + \text{ind}_\gamma 7 + 2x_5)(\zeta - 1)^7 \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^8}.$$

Suppose  $J(1, 1)_{49} \equiv -1 + c_{6,1}(\zeta - 1)^6 + (-3c_{6,1} + \text{ind}_\gamma 7 + 2x_5)(\zeta - 1)^7 \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^8}$ . Therefore,  $c_{1,1} \equiv c_{2,1} \equiv c_{3,1} \equiv c_{4,1} \equiv c_{5,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ . Repeating the arguments as in Lemma 6.4 we get,  $x_2 \equiv x_3 \equiv x_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  and hence  $p$  is an artiad prime.

(2) If  $p$  is a hyperartiad prime, then it is an artiad prime. By part(1)

$$J(1, 1)_{49} \equiv -1 + c_{6,1}(\zeta - 1)^6 + (-3c_{6,1} + \text{ind}_\gamma 7 + 2x_5)(\zeta - 1)^7 \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^8}.$$

As  $p$  is a hyperartiad prime from Lemma 6.3  $\text{ind}_\gamma 7 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ . Therefore

$$J(1, 1)_{49} \equiv -1 + c_{6,1}(\zeta - 1)^6 + (-3c_{6,1} + 2x_5)(\zeta - 1)^7 \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^8}.$$

Suppose  $J(1, 1)_{49} \equiv -1 + c_{6,1}(\zeta - 1)^6 + (-3c_{6,1} + 2x_5)(\zeta - 1)^7 \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^8}$ . Therefore,  $c_{1,1} \equiv c_{2,1} \equiv c_{3,1} \equiv c_{4,1} \equiv c_{5,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ . Repeating the argument as in Lemma (6.4) we get,  $x_2 \equiv x_3 \equiv x_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  and hence  $p$  is an artiad prime. Again by Lemma 6.4  $12c_{6,1} \equiv \left(\frac{4}{7}\right)^{\frac{6p-x_1-12}{2}} \pmod{7}$  and  $4c_{7,1} - 4\text{ind}_\gamma 7 \equiv -12c_{6,1} + x_5 \pmod{7}$ . But as  $c_{7,1} \equiv -3c_{6,1} + 2x_5 \pmod{7}$ , hence  $\text{ind}_\gamma 7 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ . Apply Lemma 6.5  $p$  is a hyperartiad prime.  $\square$

**Example 1** (Septic artiad prime). Take artiad prime  $p = 15570437 \equiv 1 \pmod{49}$ ,  $\gamma = 5$  we get,  $\text{ind}_5 7 = 6454989 \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$  and  $c_{1,1} \equiv c_{2,1} \equiv c_{3,1} \equiv c_{4,1} \equiv c_{5,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ ,  $c_{6,1} = 448558 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  and  $c_{7,1} = -6891133$ .  $c_{7,1} \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ , also using Lemma 6.4 we get  $x_5 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ . Thus, Theorem 6.3 holds.

**Example 2** (Septic hyperartiad prime). Take hyperartiad prime  $p = 876611 \equiv 1 \pmod{49}$ ,  $\gamma = 2$  we get,  $\text{ind}_2 7 = 694323 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  and  $c_{1,1} \equiv c_{2,1} \equiv c_{3,1} \equiv c_{4,1} \equiv c_{5,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ ,  $c_{6,1} = 1, 537, 589, 240, 299 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  and  $c_{7,1} = 8, 071, 253, 483, 167 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ , also using Lemma 6.5 we get  $x_5 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ . Thus, Theorem 6.3 holds.

## References

- [1] Acharya, V. V., & Katre, S. A. (1995). Cyclotomic numbers of orders  $2l$ ,  $l$  an odd prime. *Acta Arithmetica*, 69(1), 51–74.
- [2] Dickson, L. E. (1935). Cyclotomy and trinomial congruences. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 37, 363–380.
- [3] Dickson, L. E. (1935). Cyclotomy, higher congruences, and Waring’s problem. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 57, 391–424.
- [4] Dickson, L. E. (1935). Cyclotomy when  $e$  is composite. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 38, 187–200.
- [5] Evans, R. J. (1998). Congruences for Jacobi sums. *Journal of Number Theory*, 71, 109–120.
- [6] Ihara, Y. (1986). Profinite braid groups, Galois representations, and complex multiplications. *Annals of Mathematics*, 123(1), 43–106.
- [7] Iwasawa, K. (1975). A note on Jacobi sums. *Symposia Mathematica*, 15, 447–459.
- [8] Katre, S. A., & Rajwade, A. R. (1983). On the Jacobsthal sum  $\phi_9(a)$  and the related sum  $\psi_9(a)$ . *Mathematica Scandinavica*, 53, 193–202.
- [9] Lehmer, E. (1966). Artiaids characterized. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 15(1), 118–131.
- [10] Leonard, P. A., & Williams, K. S. (1974). A Diophantine system of Dickson. *Rendiconti della Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali dell’Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei*, 56(2), 145–150.
- [11] Leonard, P. A., & Williams, K. S. (1975). The cyclotomic numbers of order 7. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 51, 295–300.
- [12] Muskat, J. B. (1964). On the solvability of  $x^e \equiv e \pmod{p}$ . *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 14(1), 257–260.

- [13] Parnami, J. C., Agrawal, M. K., & Rajwade, A. R. (1982). Jacobi sums and cyclotomic numbers for a finite field. *Acta Arithmetica*, 41, 1–13.
- [14] Parnami, J. C., Agrawal, M. K., & Rajwade, A. R. (1981). A congruence relation between the coefficients of the Jacobi sum. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 12(7), 804–806.
- [15] Shirolkar, D., & Katre, S. A. (2011). Jacobi sums and cyclotomic numbers of order  $l^2$ . *Acta Arithmetica*, 33–49.
- [16] Storer, T. (1967). *Cyclotomy and Difference Sets*. Markham, Chicago.
- [17] Tanner, Lloyd H. W. L. (1892–1893). On complex primes formed with fifth roots of unity. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 24, 223–262.