

# Factors of alternating convolution of the Gessel numbers

Jovan Mikić

Faculty of Technology, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics

University of Banja Luka

Bosnia and Herzegovina

e-mail: jovan.mikic@tf.unibl.org

**Received:** 3 July 2024

**Revised:** 3 December 2024

**Accepted:** 11 December 2024

**Online First:** 16 December 2024

**Abstract:** The Gessel number  $P(n, r)$  is the number of lattice paths in the plane with  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$  steps from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(n + r, n + r - 1)$  that never touch any of the points from the set  $\{(x, x) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : x \geq r\}$ . We show that there is a close relationship between Gessel numbers  $P(n, r)$  and super Catalan numbers  $T(n, r)$ . A new class of binomial sums, so called  $M$  sums, is used. By using one form of the Pfaff–Saalschütz theorem, a new recurrence relation for  $M$  sums is proved. Finally, we prove that an alternating convolution of Gessel numbers  $P(n, r)$  multiplied by a power of a binomial coefficient is always divisible by  $\frac{1}{2}T(n, r)$ .

**Keywords:** Gessel number, Super Catalan number,  $M$  sum, Catalan number, Pfaff–Saalschütz theorem.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05A10, 11B65.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer, and let  $r$  be a fixed positive integer. Let the number  $P(n, r)$  denote  $\frac{r}{2(n+r)} \binom{2n}{n} \binom{2r}{r}$ . We shall call  $P(n, r)$  the  $n$ -th Gessel number of order  $r$ .

It is known that  $P(n, r)$  is always an integer. It has an interesting combinatorial interpretation, since the Gessel number  $P(n, r)$  [6, p. 191] counts lattice paths in the plane with unit horizontal



and vertical steps from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(n + r, n + r - 1)$  that never touch any of the points  $(r, r)$ ,  $(r + 1, r + 1), \dots$ .

Recently [16, Theorem 5, p. 2], it has been shown that  $P(n, r)$  is also equal to the number of lattice paths in the plane with  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$  steps from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(n + r, n + r - 1)$  that never touch any of the points from the set  $\{(x, x) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 1 \leq x \leq n\}$ , where  $n$  and  $r$  are natural numbers.

Let  $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$  denote [14, Chapter 5, p. 103] the  $n$ -th Catalan number, and let  $T(n, r) = \frac{\binom{2n}{n} \binom{2r}{r}}{\binom{n+r}{n}}$  denote the  $n$ -th super Catalan number of order  $r$ . Obviously,  $P(n, 1) = C_n$ . Furthermore, it is readily verified that

$$P(n, r) = \binom{n+r-1}{n} \frac{1}{2} T(n, r). \quad (1)$$

It is known that  $T(n, r)$  is always an even integer except for the case  $n = r = 0$ . See [1, Introduction] and [3, Eq. (1), p. 1]. For only a few values of  $r$ , there exist combinatorial interpretations of  $T(n, r)$ . See for example [1, 3, 4, 12, 22, 24]. The problem of finding a combinatorial interpretation for super Catalan numbers of an arbitrary order  $r$  is an intriguing open problem.

By using Eq. (1), it follows that  $P(n, r)$  is an integer. Note that Gessel numbers  $P(n, r)$  have a generalization [11, Eq. (1.10), p. 2]. Also, it is known [6, p. 191] that, for a fixed positive integer  $r$ , the smallest positive integer  $K_r$  such that  $\frac{K_r}{n+r} \binom{2n}{n}$  is an integer for every  $n$ , is equal to  $\frac{r}{2} \binom{2r}{r}$ .

Let us consider the following sum:

$$\varphi(2n, m, r - 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k \binom{2n}{k}^m P(k, r) P(2n - k, r), \quad (2)$$

where  $m$  is a positive integer.

For  $r = 1$ , the sum in Eq. (2) reduces to

$$\varphi(2n, m, 0) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k \binom{2n}{k}^m C_k C_{2n-k}. \quad (3)$$

Recently, by using a new method, it has been shown in [19, Cor. 4, p. 2] that the sum  $\varphi(2n, m, 0)$  is divisible by  $\binom{2n}{n}$  for all non-negative integers  $n$  and for all positive integers  $m$ . In particular,  $\varphi(2n, 1, 0) = C_n \binom{2n}{n}$ . See for example, [20, Th. 1, Eq. (2)], [23], and [5]. Gessel numbers appear [19, Eq. (68), p. 17] in this proof.

By using Eq. (1), the sum  $\varphi(2n, m, r - 1)$  can be rewritten, as follows:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k \binom{2n}{k}^m \binom{k+r-1}{k} \binom{2n-k+r-1}{2n-k} \frac{1}{2} T(k, r) \frac{1}{2} T(2n-k, r). \quad (4)$$

Let  $\Psi(2n, m, r - 1)$  denote the sum

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k \binom{2n}{k}^m T(k, r) \cdot T(2n-k, r). \quad (5)$$

Recently, by using a new method, it has been shown in [18, Th. 3, p. 3] that the sum  $\Psi(2n, m, r - 1)$  is divisible by  $T(n, r)$  for all non-negative integers  $n$  and  $m$ . In particular,  $\Psi(2n, 1, r - 1) = T(n, r) \cdot T(n + r, n)$ . See [18, Th. 1, Eq. (1), p. 2].

Also, it is known [17, Th. 12] that the sum  $\sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k \binom{2n}{k}^m \binom{k+r-1}{k} \binom{2n-k+r-1}{2n-k}$  is divisible by  $\text{lcm}(\binom{2n}{n}, \binom{n+r-1}{n})$  for all non-negative integers  $n$  and all positive integers  $m$  and  $r$ .

Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *The sum  $\varphi(2n, m, r - 1)$  is always divisible by  $\frac{1}{2}T(n, r)$  for all non-negative integers  $n$  and for all positive integers  $m$  and  $r$ .*

In order to prove Theorem 1, we use a new class of binomial sums [17, Eqns. (27) and (28)] that we call  $M$  sums.

**Definition 2.** Let  $n$  and  $a$  be non-negative integers, and let  $m$  be a positive integer. Let  $S(n, m, a) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^m F(n, k, a)$ , where  $F(n, k, a)$  is an integer-valued function. Then the  $M$  sums for the sum  $S(n, m, a)$  are as follows:

$$M_S(n, j, t; a) = \binom{n-j}{j} \sum_{v=0}^{n-2j} \binom{n-2j}{v} \binom{n}{j+v}^t F(n, j+v, a), \quad (6)$$

where  $j$  and  $t$  are non-negative integers such that  $j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ .

Obviously, equation [17, Eq. (29)]

$$S(n, m, a) = M_S(n, 0, m - 1; a) \quad (7)$$

holds.

Let  $n, j, t$ , and  $a$  be the same as in Definition 2.

It is known [17, Th. 8] that  $M$  sums satisfy the following recurrence relation:

$$M_S(n, j, t + 1; a) = \binom{n}{j} \sum_{u=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2j}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-j}{u} M_S(n, j+u, t; a). \quad (8)$$

Moreover, we shall prove that  $M$  sums satisfy another interesting recurrence relation.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $n$  and  $a$  be non-negative integers, and let  $m$  be a positive integer. Let  $R(n, m, a)$  denote*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^m G(n, k, a),$$

where  $G(n, k, a)$  is an integer-valued function. Let  $Q(n, m, a)$  denote

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^m H(n, k, a),$$

where  $H(n, k, a) = \binom{a+k}{a} \binom{a+n-k}{a} G(n, k, a)$ . Then the following recurrence relation is true:

$$M_Q(n, j, 0; a) = \binom{a+j}{a} \sum_{l=0}^a \binom{n-j+l}{l} \binom{n-j}{a-l} M_R(n, j+a-l, 0; a). \quad (9)$$

Note that by using the substitution  $k = v + j$ , Eq. (6) becomes

$$M_S(n, j, t; a) = \binom{n-j}{j} \sum_{k=j}^{n-j} \binom{n-2j}{k-j} \binom{n}{k}^t F(n, k, a). \quad (10)$$

From now on, we use Eq. (10) instead of Eq. (6).

## 2 Background

In 1998, Calkin proved that the alternating binomial sum  $S_1(2n, m) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k \binom{2n}{k}^m$  is divisible by  $\binom{2n}{n}$  for all non-negative integers  $n$  and all positive integers  $m$ . In 2007, Guo, Jouhet, and Zeng proved, among other things, two generalizations of Calkin's result [10, Thm. 1.2, Thm. 1.3, p. 2]. In 2018, Calkin's result [2, Thm. 1] was proved by using  $D$  sums [15, Section 8]. Note that there is a close relationship between  $D$  sums and  $M$  sums [17, Eqns. (9) and (19)].

Recently, Calkin's result [2, Thm. 1] has been proved by using  $M$  sums [17, Section 5]. In particular, it is known [17, Eqns. (22) and (25)] that

$$M_{S_1}(2n, j, 0) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq j < n, \\ (-1)^n, & \text{if } j = n, \end{cases}$$

$$M_{S_1}(2n, j, 1) = (-1)^n \binom{2n}{n} \binom{n}{j}.$$

Both  $M$  sums and  $D$  sums give an elementary proof of Dixon's formula. See for example [17, Section 5, Eq. (25)] for a proof using  $M$  sums. See also [9, Introduction].

By using  $M$  sums, it has been shown [17, Section 7] that the sum

$$S_2(2n, m, a) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k \binom{2n}{k}^m \binom{a+k}{k} \binom{a+2n-k}{2n-k}$$

is divisible by  $\text{lcm} \left( \binom{a+n}{a}, \binom{2n}{n} \right)$  for all non-negative integers  $n$  and  $a$  and for all positive integers  $m$ . In particular, it is known [17, Eqns. (52) and (57)] that

$$M_{S_2}(2n, j, 0; a) = (-1)^n \binom{a+n}{a} \binom{a+j}{j} \binom{a}{n-j},$$

$$M_{S_2}(2n, j, 1; a) = (-1)^n \binom{2n}{n} \sum_{u=0}^{n-j} \binom{n}{j+u} \binom{j+u}{u} \binom{a+j+u}{j+u} \binom{a+n}{2n-j-u}.$$

**Remark 4.** Note that there is a minor error in [17, Eq. (52)]. The number  $(-1)^{n-j}$  should be replaced by  $(-1)^n$ . Similarly, the number  $(-1)^{n-j-u}$  in [17, Eq. (57)] should be replaced by  $(-1)^n$ .

By using  $D$  sums, it has been shown in [19, Thm. 1] that the sum

$$S_3(2n, m) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k \binom{2n}{k}^m \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2(2n-k)}{2n-k}$$

is divisible by  $\binom{2n}{n}$  for all non-negative integers  $n$  and for all positive integers  $m$ . The same result can also be proved by using  $M$  sums. It can be shown that

$$M_{S_3}(2n, j, 0) = (-1)^j \binom{2n}{n} \binom{2j}{j} \binom{2(n-j)}{n-j}. \quad (11)$$

Note that Eq. (11) is equivalent to [19, Eq. (12)].

Recently, it has been shown by using  $D$  sums [18, Th. 3, p. 3] that  $\Psi(2n, m, l-1)$  is divisible by  $T(n, l)$  for all non-negative integers  $n$  and  $m$ . The same result can also be proved by using  $M$  sums. Let  $l$  be a positive integer and let  $n$  and  $j$  be non-negative integers such that  $j \leq n$ . It is readily verified [17, Eq. (91)] that

$$M_{\Psi}(2n, j, 0; l-1) = (-1)^j \frac{\binom{2l}{l} \binom{2n}{n} \binom{2j}{j} \binom{2(n+l-j)}{n+l-j} \binom{2n-j}{n}}{\binom{n+l}{n} \binom{2n+l-j}{n}}. \quad (12)$$

Furthermore, by using [18, Eq. (103)] and [17, Eq. (33)] it can be shown that

$$M_{\Psi}(2n, j, 1; l-1) = (-1)^j \cdot T(n, l) \binom{n}{j} \sum_{v=0}^{n-j} (-1)^v \cdot T(n+l-j-v, n) \binom{2(j+v)}{j+v} \binom{n-j}{v}. \quad (13)$$

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 3, we give a proof of Theorem 3 by using one variant of the Pfaff–Saalschütz theorem. In Section 4, we give a proof of Theorem 1. Our proof of Theorem 1 consists of two parts. In the first part, we prove that Theorem 1 is true for  $m = 1$ . In the second part, we prove that Theorem 1 is true for all positive integers  $m$  such that  $m \geq 2$ .

### 3 Proof of Theorem 3

We use three known binomial formulae.

Let  $a, b$ , and  $c$  be non-negative integers such that  $a \geq b \geq c$ . The first formula [14, Eq. (1.4), p. 5] is

$$\binom{a}{b} \binom{b}{c} = \binom{a}{c} \binom{a-c}{b-c}. \quad (14)$$

Let  $a, b, m$ , and  $n$  be non-negative integers. The second formula is one variant of the Pfaff–Saalschütz theorem (see [25, p. 243] and [7, Introduction]):

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\min(m,n)} \binom{a}{m-k} \binom{b}{n-k} \binom{a+b+k}{k} = \binom{a+n}{m} \binom{b+m}{n}. \quad (15)$$

**Remark 5.** Eq. (15) is equivalent to the triple binomial identity [8, Eq. (5.28), p. 171]; it was first proved by J. Pfaff [21]. See also [13, Ex. 31, p. 7] and [26, Problem 14, p. 4].

The third formula is the symmetry [14, Thm. 1.1, p. 4] of binomial coefficients

$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{n-k}.$$

*Proof.* By setting  $S := Q$  and  $t := 0$  in Eq. (10), it follows that

$$M_Q(n, j, 0; a) = \sum_{k=j}^{n-j} \binom{n-j}{j} \binom{n-2j}{k-j} \binom{a+k}{a} \binom{a+n-k}{a} G(n, k, a). \quad (16)$$

By using the symmetry of binomial coefficients and Eq. (14), it follows that

$$\binom{n-j}{j} \binom{n-2j}{k-j} = \binom{n-j}{k-j} \binom{n-k}{n-k-j}. \quad (17)$$

By using Eq. (17), Eq. (16) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} M_Q(n, j, 0; a) &= \sum_{k=j}^{n-j} \binom{n-j}{k-j} \binom{n-k}{n-k-j} \binom{a+k}{a} \binom{a+n-k}{a} G(n, k, a), \\ &= \sum_{k=j}^{n-j} \binom{n-j}{k-j} \binom{a+n-k}{a} \binom{n-k}{n-k-j} \binom{a+k}{a} G(n, k, a). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

By using the symmetry of binomial coefficients and Eq. (18), it follows that

$$\binom{a+n-k}{a} \binom{n-k}{n-k-j} = \binom{a+n-k}{a+j} \binom{a+j}{j}. \quad (19)$$

By using Eq. (19), Eq. (18) becomes

$$M_Q(n, j, 0; a) = \binom{a+j}{j} \sum_{k=j}^{n-j} \binom{n-j}{k-j} \binom{a+n-k}{a+j} \binom{a+k}{a} G(n, k, a). \quad (20)$$

By setting  $m := a + j$ ,  $n := a$ ,  $a := n - k$ ,  $b := k - j$ , and  $k := l$  in Eq. (15), we obtain that

$$\binom{a+n-k}{a+j} \binom{a+k}{a} = \sum_{l=0}^a \binom{n-k}{a+j-l} \binom{k-j}{a-l} \binom{n-j+l}{l}. \quad (21)$$

By using Eqns. (20) and (21), it follows that  $M_Q(n, j, 0; a)$  is equal to

$$\binom{a+j}{j} \sum_{k=j}^{n-j} \binom{n-j}{k-j} \sum_{l=0}^a \binom{n-k}{a+j-l} \binom{k-j}{a-l} \binom{n-j+l}{l} G(n, k, a). \quad (22)$$

In order for any summand on the right side of Eq. (21) to be nonzero, the following inequality must hold:

$$\begin{aligned} n - k &\geq a + j - l, \text{ or} \\ k &\leq n - j - a + l. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Similarly, in order for any summand on the right side of Eq. (22) to be nonzero, the following inequality must hold:

$$\begin{aligned} k - j &\geq a - l, \text{ or} \\ k &\geq a + j - l. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

By changing the order of summation in Eq. (22) and by using inequalities (23) and (24), it follows that  $M_Q(n, j, 0; a)$  is equal to

$$\binom{a+j}{j} \sum_{l=0}^a \binom{n-j+l}{l} \sum_{k=a+j-l}^{n-(a+j-l)} \binom{n-j}{k-j} \binom{n-k}{a+j-l} \binom{k-j}{a-l} G(n, k, a). \quad (25)$$

By using the symmetry of binomial coefficients and Eq. (14), it follows that

$$\binom{n-j}{k-j} \binom{n-k}{a+j-l} = \binom{n-j}{a+j-l} \binom{n-a-2j+l}{k-j}. \quad (26)$$

By using Eqns. (22) and (26), it follows that  $M_Q(n, j, 0; a)$  is equal to

$$\binom{a+j}{j} \sum_{l=0}^a \binom{n-j+l}{l} \binom{n-j}{a+j-l} \sum_{k=a+j-l}^{n-(a+j-l)} \binom{n-a-2j+l}{k-j} \binom{k-j}{a-l} G(n, k, a). \quad (27)$$

By using the symmetry of binomial coefficients and Eq. (14), it follows that

$$\binom{n-a-2j+l}{k-j} \binom{k-j}{a-l} = \binom{n-a-2j+l}{a-l} \binom{n-2a-2j+2l}{k-j-a+l}. \quad (28)$$

By using Eqns. (27) and (28), it follows that  $M_Q(n, j, 0; a)$  is equal to

$$\binom{a+j}{j} \sum_{l=0}^a \binom{n-j+l}{l} \binom{n-j}{a+j-l} \binom{n-a-2j+l}{a-l} \sum_{k=a+j-l}^{n-(a+j-l)} \binom{n-2a-2j+2l}{k-j-a+l} G(n, k, a). \quad (29)$$

Again, by using the symmetry of binomial coefficients and Eq. (14), it follows that

$$\binom{n-j}{a+j-l} \binom{n-a-2j+l}{a-l} = \binom{n-j}{a-l} \binom{n-(j+a-l)}{j+a-l}. \quad (30)$$

By using Eqns. (29) and (30), it follows that  $M_Q(n, j, 0; a)$  is equal to

$$\binom{a+j}{j} \sum_{l=0}^a \binom{n-j+l}{l} \binom{n-j}{a-l} \binom{n-(j+a-l)}{j+a-l} \sum_{k=a+j-l}^{n-(a+j-l)} \binom{n-2(a+j-l)}{k-(j+a-l)} G(n, k, a). \quad (31)$$

Note that, by setting  $S := R$ ,  $F := G$ ,  $j := j + a - l$ , and  $t := 0$  in Eq. (10), it follows that

$$M_R(n, j + a - l, 0; a) = \binom{n-(j+a-l)}{j+a-l} \sum_{k=a+j-l}^{n-(a+j-l)} \binom{n-2(a+j-l)}{k-(j+a-l)} G(n, k, a). \quad (32)$$

Hence, by using Eqns. (31) and (32), it follows that

$$M_Q(n, j, 0; a) = \binom{a+j}{j} \sum_{l=0}^a \binom{n-j+l}{l} \binom{n-j}{a-l} M_R(n, j + a - l, 0; a).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. □

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1

Let the function  $\varphi(2n, m, r - 1)$  be defined as in Eq. (4).

Let us consider the following sum

$$\phi(2n, m, r - 1) = \frac{1}{4}\Psi(2n, m, r - 1). \quad (33)$$

By Eq. (5), Eq. (33) becomes

$$\phi(2n, m, r - 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k \binom{2n}{k}^m \cdot \frac{1}{2}T(k, r) \cdot \frac{1}{2}T(2n - k, r). \quad (34)$$

Note that, since  $r$  is a positive integer, both numbers  $\frac{1}{2}T(k, r)$  and  $\frac{1}{2}T(2n - k, r)$  are integers. Therefore, the sum  $\phi(2n, m, r - 1)$  is a sum from Definition 2.

Now we can apply Theorem 3. By setting  $Q := \varphi$ ,  $n := 2n$ ,  $a := r - 1$ ,  $R := \phi$  and  $G(2n, k, r - 1) := (-1)^k \cdot \frac{1}{2}T(k, r) \cdot \frac{1}{2}T(2n - k, r)$  in Theorem 3, it follows by Eq. (9) that  $M_\varphi(2n, j, 0; r - 1)$  is equal to

$$\binom{j+r-1}{j} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \binom{2n-j+l}{l} \binom{2n-j}{r-1-l} M_\phi(2n, j+r-1-l, 0; r-1). \quad (35)$$

By Eqns. (6) and (33), it follows that

$$M_\phi(2n, j, t; r - 1) = \frac{1}{4}M_\Psi(2n, j, t; r - 1). \quad (36)$$

By setting  $t := 0$  and  $l := r$  in Eq. (12) and by using Eq. (36) and the definition of super Catalan numbers, we obtain that

$$M_\phi(2n, j, 0; r - 1) = (-1)^j \frac{1}{2}T(n, r) \frac{\binom{2j}{j} \binom{2(n+r-j)}{n+r-j} \binom{2n-j}{n}}{2 \binom{2n+r-j}{n}}. \quad (37)$$

By using Eq. (37), it follows that the sum  $M_\phi(2n, j+r-1-l, 0; r-1)$  is equal to

$$(-1)^{j+r-1-l} \frac{1}{2}T(n, r) \frac{\binom{2(j+r-1-l)}{j+r-1-l} \binom{2(n-j+l+1)}{n-j+l+1} \binom{2n-j-r+l+1}{n}}{2 \binom{2n-j+l+1}{n}}. \quad (38)$$

By using the symmetry of binomial coefficients and Eq. (14), it follows that

$$\binom{2n-j}{r-1-l} \binom{2n-j-r+l+1}{n} = \binom{2n-j}{n} \binom{n-j}{r-l-1}. \quad (39)$$

By using Eqns. (38) and (39), it follows that the sum  $\binom{2n-j}{r-1-l} M_\phi(2n, j+r-1-l, 0; r-1)$  is equal to

$$(-1)^{j+r-1-l} \frac{1}{2}T(n, r) \binom{2n-j}{n} \frac{\binom{2(j+r-1-l)}{j+r-1-l} \binom{2(n-j+l+1)}{n-j+l+1} \binom{n-j}{r-l-1}}{2 \binom{2n-j+l+1}{n}}. \quad (40)$$



By using Eqns. (35) and (40), it follows that the sum  $M_\varphi(2n, j, 0; r - 1)$  is equal to

$$(-1)^{j+r-1} \binom{j+r-1}{j} \frac{1}{2} T(n, r) \binom{2n-j}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} (-1)^l \binom{2n-j+l}{l} \frac{\binom{2(j+r-1-l)}{j+r-1-l} \binom{2(n-j+l+1)}{n-j+l+1} \binom{n-j}{r-l-1}}{2 \binom{2n-j+l+1}{n}}. \quad (41)$$

By setting  $j := 0$  in Eq. (41), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} M_\varphi(2n, 0, 0; r - 1) & \quad (42) \\ &= (-1)^{r-1} \frac{1}{2} T(n, r) \binom{2n}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} (-1)^l \binom{2n+l}{l} \frac{\binom{2(r-1-l)}{r-1-l} \binom{2(n+l+1)}{n+l+1} \binom{n}{r-l-1}}{2 \binom{2n+l+1}{n}} \\ &= (-1)^{r-1} \frac{1}{2} T(n, r) \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} (-1)^l \binom{2n+l}{l} \binom{2(r-1-l)}{r-1-l} \binom{n}{r-l-1} \frac{\binom{2n}{n} \binom{2(n+l+1)}{n+l+1}}{2 \binom{2n+l+1}{n}} \\ &= (-1)^{r-1} \frac{1}{2} T(n, r) \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} (-1)^l \binom{2n+l}{l} \binom{2(r-1-l)}{r-1-l} \binom{n}{r-l-1} \frac{1}{2} T(n, n+l+1). \quad (43) \end{aligned}$$

By using Eq. (41), it follows that the sum  $M_\varphi(2n, 0, 0; r - 1)$  is divisible by  $\frac{1}{2} T(n, r)$ . By setting  $n := 2n$ ,  $m := 1$ ,  $S := \varphi$ , and  $a := r - 1$  in Eq. (7), we obtain that

$$\varphi(2n, 1, r - 1) = M_\varphi(2n, 0, 0; r - 1). \quad (44)$$

By using Eqns. (43) and (44), it follows that the sum  $\varphi(2n, 1, r - 1)$  is divisible by  $\frac{1}{2} T(n, r)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1 for the case  $m = 1$ .

Let us calculate the sum  $M_\varphi(2n, j, 1; r - 1)$ , where  $n$  and  $j$  are non-negative integers such that  $j \leq n$ .

By setting  $n := 2n$ ,  $S := \varphi$ ,  $t := 0$ , and  $a := r - 1$  in Eq. (8), we obtain that

$$M_\varphi(2n, j, 1; r - 1) = \sum_{u=0}^{n-j} \binom{2n}{j} \binom{2n-j}{u} M_\varphi(2n, j+u, 0; r - 1). \quad (45)$$

By using the symmetry of binomial coefficients and Eq. (14), it follows that

$$\binom{2n}{j} \binom{2n-j}{u} = \binom{2n}{2n-j-u} \binom{j+u}{u}. \quad (46)$$

By using Eqns. (45) and (46), it follows that

$$M_\varphi(2n, j, 1; r - 1) = \sum_{u=0}^{n-j} \binom{j+u}{u} \binom{2n}{2n-j-u} M_\varphi(2n, j+u, 0; r - 1). \quad (47)$$

By using Eq. (41), it follows that the sum  $\binom{2n}{2n-j-u} M_\varphi(2n, j+u, 0; r - 1)$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{2n}{2n-j-u} \binom{2n-j-u}{n} (-1)^{j+u+r-1} \binom{j+u+r-1}{j+u} \frac{1}{2} T(n, r) \\ & \cdot \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} (-1)^l \binom{2n-j-u+l}{l} \frac{\binom{2(j+u+r-1-l)}{j+u+r-1-l} \binom{2(n-j-u+l+1)}{n-j-u+l+1} \binom{n-j-u}{r-l-1}}{2 \binom{2n-j-u+l+1}{n}}. \quad (48) \end{aligned}$$

By using the symmetry of binomial coefficients and Eq. (14), it follows that

$$\binom{2n}{2n-j-u} \binom{2n-j-u}{n} = \binom{2n}{n} \binom{n}{j+u}. \quad (49)$$

By using Eqns. (48) and (49), it follows that the sum  $\binom{2n}{2n-j-u} M_\varphi(2n, j+u, 0; r-1)$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} T(n, r) \binom{n}{j+u} (-1)^{j+u+r-1} \binom{j+u+r-1}{j+u} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} (-1)^l \binom{2n-j-u+l}{l} \\ & \cdot \binom{2(j+u+r-1-l)}{j+u+r-1-l} \binom{n-j-u}{r-l-1} \frac{1}{2} T(n, n-j-u+l+1). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Hence, by using the Eq. (50), we obtain that

$$\binom{2n}{2n-j-u} M_\varphi(2n, j+u, 0; r-1) = \frac{1}{2} T(n, r) \cdot c(n, j+u, r-1), \quad (51)$$

where  $c(n, j+u, r-1)$  is always an integer.

By using Eq. (51), Eq. (47) becomes

$$M_\varphi(2n, j, 1; r-1) = \frac{1}{2} T(n, r) \sum_{u=0}^{n-j} \binom{j+u}{u} c(n, j+u, r-1). \quad (52)$$

By Eq. (52), it follows that the sum  $M_\varphi(2n, j, 1; r-1)$  is divisible by  $\frac{1}{2} T(n, r)$  for all non-negative integers  $n$  and  $j$  such that  $j \leq n$ , and for all positive integers  $r$ . By using Eq. (8) and the induction principle, it can be shown that the sum  $M_\varphi(2n, j, t; r-1)$  is divisible by  $\frac{1}{2} T(n, r)$  for all non-negative integers  $n$  and  $j$  such that  $j \leq n$ , and for all positive integers  $r$  and  $t$ .

By setting  $S := \varphi$ ,  $n := 2n$ ,  $m := t+1$ , and  $a := r-1$  in the Eq. (7), it follows that

$$\varphi(2n, t+1, r-1) = M_\varphi(2n, 0, t; r-1). \quad (53)$$

Since  $t \geq 1$ , it follows that  $t+1 \geq 2$ . By Eq. (52), it follows that the sum  $\varphi(2n, m, r-1)$  is always divisible by  $\frac{1}{2} T(n, r)$  for all non-negative integers  $n$ , and for all positive integers  $m$  and  $r$  such that  $m \geq 2$ . This completes the proof of Theorem.

**Remark 6.** See also [17, Section 4] for an additional insight of how the method of  $M$  sums works. For  $r = 1$ , by using Theorem 1 and the fact  $\frac{1}{2} T(n, 1) = C_n$ , it follows that the sum  $\varphi(2n, m, 0)$  is divisible by  $C_n$ . Therefore, for  $r = 1$ , the result of Theorem 1 is weaker than the result that sum  $\varphi(2n, m, 0)$  is divisible by  $\binom{2n}{n}$  [19, Cor. 4, p. 2]. However, for  $n = 3$ ,  $r = 2$ , and  $m = 1$ , the sum  $\varphi(2n, m, r-1)$  is neither divisible by  $\binom{2n}{n}$  nor by  $T(n, r)$ .

## Acknowledgements

I want to thank Professor Tomislav Došlić for inviting me to the third Croatian Combinatorial Days in Zagreb. Also I want to thank the anonymous referees for valuable comments which improved this article. Finally, I am grateful to Professor David Dolžan for helping me to correct a few grammatical errors.

## References

- [1] Allen, E., & Gheorghicius, I. (2014). A weighted interpretation for the super Catalan numbers. *Journal of Integer Sequences*, 17, Article 14.10.7.
- [2] Calkin, N. J. (1998). Factors of sums of powers of binomial coefficients. *Acta Arithmetica*, 86, 17–26
- [3] Callan, D. (2005). A combinatorial interpretation for a super-Catalan recurrence. *Journal of Integer Sequences*, 8, Article 05.1.8
- [4] Chen, X., & Wang, J. (2012). *The super Catalan numbers  $S(m, m + s)$  for  $s \leq 4$* . Preprint. Available online at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.4196>.
- [5] Chu, W., & Kiliç, E. (2021). Binomial sums involving Catalan numbers. *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, 4, 1221–1225.
- [6] Gessel, I. M. (1992). Super ballot numbers. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 14, 179–194.
- [7] Goulden, I. P. (1985). A bijective proof of the  $q$ -Saalschütz theorem. *Discrete Mathematics*, 57, 39–44.
- [8] Graham, R. L., Knuth, D., & Patashnik, O. (1994). *Concrete Mathematics* (2nd ed.). Addison-Wesley.
- [9] Guo, V. J. W. (2018). A new proof of the  $q$ -Dixon identity. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, 68, 577–580.
- [10] Guo, V. J. W., Jouhet, F., & Zeng, J. (2007). Factors of alternating sums of products of binomial and  $q$ -binomial coefficients. *Acta Arithmetica*, 127, 17–31.
- [11] Guo, V. J. W. (2014). Proof of two divisibility properties of binomial coefficients conjectured by Z. W. Sun. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 21, # P54.
- [12] Killpatrick, K. (2023). *Super FiboCatalan numbers and generalized FiboCatalan numbers*. Preprint. Available online at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373437785>.
- [13] Knuth, D. E. (1968). *The Art of Computer Programming, Vol. 1* (3rd ed.). Addison-Wesley.
- [14] Koshy, T. (2009). *Catalan Numbers with Applications*. Oxford University Press.
- [15] Mikić, J. (2018). A method for examining divisibility properties of some binomial sums. *Journal of Integer Sequences*, 21, Article 18.8.7.
- [16] Mikić, J. (2014). A note on the Gessel numbers. *JP Journal of Algebra, Number Theory and Applications*, 63, 225–235.

- [17] Mikić, J. (2020). New class of binomial sums and their applications. *Proceedings of 3rd Croatian Combinatorial Days*, September 21-22, 2020, Zagreb. Available online at: [https://www.grad.hr/crocodaydays/proc\\_ccd3/mikic\\_final.pdf](https://www.grad.hr/crocodaydays/proc_ccd3/mikic_final.pdf).
- [18] Mikić, J. (2021, March 15). On a new alternating convolution formula for the Super Catalan numbers. *Romanian Mathematical Magazine*. Available online at: <https://www.ssmrmh.ro/2021/03/15/on-an-alternative-convolution-formula-for-the-catalan-super-numbers/>
- [19] Mikić, J. (2020). On a certain sums divisible by the central binomial coefficient. *Journal of Integer Sequences*, 23, Article 20.1.6.
- [20] Mikić, J. (2019). Two new identities involving the Catalan numbers and sign-reversing involutions. *Journal of Integer Sequences*, 22, Article 19.7.7.
- [21] Pfaff, J. (1797). Observationes analyticae ad L. Euler Institutiones Calculi Integralis, Vol. IV, Supplem. II et IV, Historia de 1793, *Nova Acta Academiae Scientiarum Imperialis Petropolitanae*, 11 (1797), 38–57.
- [22] Pippenger, N., & Schleich, K. (2006). Topological characteristics of random triangulated surfaces. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 28, 247–288.
- [23] Prodinger, H. (2019). Two new identities involving the Catalan numbers: A classical approach. Preprint. Available online at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.07604>.
- [24] Schaeffer, G. (2003). *A combinatorial interpretation of super-Catalan numbers of order two*. Manuscript.
- [25] Slater, L. J. (1966). *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [26] Stanley, R. P. (2009). *Bijjective proof problems*. Available online at: <https://math.mit.edu/~rstan/bij.pdf>.