

# Some new results on the largest cycle consisting of quadratic residues

Prabin Das<sup>1</sup> and Pinkimani Goswami<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology Meghalaya  
Baridua, India

e-mail: prabin1955@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology Meghalaya  
Baridua, India

e-mail: pinkimanigoswami@yahoo.com

**Received:** 14 October 2023

**Revised:** 25 November 2024

**Accepted:** 10 December 2024

**Online First:** 11 December 2024

**Abstract:** The length of the largest cycle consisting of quadratic residues of a positive integer  $n$  is denoted by  $L(n)$ . In this paper, we have obtained a formula for finding  $L(p)$ , where  $p$  is a prime. Also, we attempt to characterize a prime number  $p$  in terms of the largest cycle consisting of quadratic residues of  $p$ .

**Keywords:** Quadratic residues, Fermat primes, Mersenne prime, Largest cycle, Legendre symbol.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 11A07.

## 1 Introduction

In 2016, Haifeng Xu [2] introduced the notion of a cycle consisting of quadratic residues. It is defined as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** *If there exists a sequence of numbers  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^k$  such that*



Copyright © 2024 by the Authors. This is an Open Access paper distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

$$\begin{cases} x_1^2 & \equiv x_2 \pmod{n} \\ x_2^2 & \equiv x_3 \pmod{n} \\ & \vdots \\ x_{k-1}^2 & \equiv x_k \pmod{n} \\ x_k^2 & \equiv x_1 \pmod{n} \end{cases}$$

then these  $k$  numbers form a cycle modulo  $n$  and the number  $k$  is defined as the cycle length.

It can be seen that for any integer  $n > 1$  there exists a largest cycle modulo  $n$  and the length of such a cycle is denoted by  $L(n)$ .

**Example 1.2.** For  $n = 31$ , we get  $\{0\}$ ,  $\{1\}$ ,  $\{5, 25\}$ ,  $\{2, 4, 16, 8\}$ ,  $\{9, 19, 20, 28\}$  and  $\{7, 18, 14, 10\}$  as possible cycles of lengths 1, 1, 2, 4, 4 and 4, respectively. Here, we have 3 largest cycles having length 4, i.e.,  $L(31) = 4$ .

**Example 1.3.** For  $n = 49$ , we get  $\{0\}$ ,  $\{1\}$ ,  $\{18, 30\}$ ,  $\{8, 15, 29\}$ ,  $\{36, 22, 43\}$ ,  $\{9, 32, 44, 25, 37, 46\}$ , and  $\{2, 4, 6, 11, 23, 39\}$  as possible cycles of lengths 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 6 and 6, respectively. Here, we have 2 largest cycles having length 6, i.e.,  $L(49) = 6$ .

**Remark 1.4.** For any  $n > 1$ , we have

$$0^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$$

$$1^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

These two cycles are named trivial cycles. Therefore it is clear  $L(n) \geq 1$  for  $n > 1$ .

From Definition 1.1 it is easy to verify that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,

$$x_i^{2^k} \equiv x_i \pmod{n}$$

It is also clear that if  $L(n) = k$ , then  $k$  is the smallest power of 2 satisfying

$$x_j^{2^k} \equiv x_j \pmod{n}$$

where  $x_j$  is any element of any cycle of length  $k$ .

In this paper, we provide a general formula to compute  $L(p)$ , where  $p$  is prime and also characterize the prime  $p$  for the largest cycle consisting of quadratic residues. We organize our paper as follows:

In Section 2, an explicit formula is obtained to calculate  $L(p)$ , where  $p$  is prime. In Section 3, an attempt has been made to characterize the prime  $p$  for the length of the largest cycle consisting of quadratic residues.

We have followed David M. Burton [1] throughout the paper for all symbols and notations. Thus,  $\gcd(m, n)$  and  $\text{lcm}(m, n)$  will mean the greatest common divisor and least common multiple of integers  $m$  and  $n$ , respectively,  $\text{ord}_n(a)$  will mean the order of an element  $a$  modulo  $n$ ,  $QR_p$  will mean the set of all quadratic residues of  $p$  and  $\phi(n)$  denote the number of positive integers not exceeding  $n$  that are relatively prime to  $n$ .

## 2 Main results

In this section, we provide a general formula to compute  $L(p)$  for any prime  $p$ . If  $p = 2$ , then it can easily be computed as  $L(p) = 1$ . Therefore, we consider only odd primes and state our result in the form of a theorem as follows.

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $p$  is any odd prime number and  $L(p)$  is the length of a largest cycle consisting of quadratic residues modulo  $p$ , then*

$$L(p) = \begin{cases} \text{ord}_{\frac{\phi(p)}{2}}(2), & \text{if } \frac{\phi(p)}{2} \text{ is odd} \\ \text{ord}_{r^s}(2), & \text{if } \frac{\phi(p)}{2} \text{ is even and } \frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t r^s, t \geq 1, s > 0 \text{ and } r \text{ is odd} \\ 1, & \text{if } \frac{\phi(p)}{2} \text{ is even and } \frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t, t \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be an odd prime number. Assuming  $L(p)$  to be the length of any largest cycle of quadratic residues of  $p$ , let  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{L(p)}\}$  form such a largest cycle.

Let  $g$  be a primitive root of  $p$ . Then there is a positive integer  $y$  such that  $y \equiv g^2 \pmod{p}$  and  $\text{ord}_p(y) = \frac{\phi(p)}{2} = \frac{p-1}{2}$ . Now, for any  $x_i \in QR_p$ ,  $x_i \equiv y^{a_i} \pmod{p}$  with  $1 \leq a_i \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ . By definition of the length of a cycle:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^{2^{L(p)}-1} &\equiv 1 \pmod{p} \\ \Rightarrow (y^{a_i})^{2^{L(p)}-1} &\equiv 1 \pmod{p} \\ \Rightarrow y^{a_i(2^{L(p)}-1)} &\equiv 1 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid a_i(2^{L(p)} - 1)$ . Now, there are two cases:

**Case I:** Let  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2}$  be odd.

If  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \nmid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ , then  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid a_i$ , i.e.,  $a_i = \frac{\phi(p)}{2} = \frac{p-1}{2}$ , which implies  $x_i \equiv y^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

So,  $L(p) = 1$ .

If  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ , then  $2^{L(p)} \equiv 1 \pmod{\frac{\phi(p)}{2}}$ . This means that  $\text{ord}_{\frac{\phi(p)}{2}}(2) \leq L(p)$ . Assuming  $\text{ord}_{\frac{\phi(p)}{2}}(2) = k$ , we have  $k \mid L(p)$  and  $2^k - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{\phi(p)}{2}}$  which implies  $x_i^{2^k-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . But  $L(p)$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $x_i^{2^{L(p)}-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, L(p)$ . So, if  $k < L(p)$ , then  $x_i^{2^k-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  contradicting the Definition 1.1. Therefore  $k = L(p)$  i.e.,  $L(p) = \text{ord}_{\frac{\phi(p)}{2}}(2)$ .

**Case II:** Let  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2}$  be even so that we can write  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t r^s$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $s \geq 0$  and  $r$  is an odd integer.

If  $s = 0$ , then  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t$ . Therefore,  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \nmid 2^{L(p)} - 1$  and so  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid a_i$ . This means that  $a_i = \frac{\phi(p)}{2}$  and, in view of  $x_i \equiv y^{a_i} \pmod{p}$  we have  $x_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Therefore,  $L(p) = 1$ .

Suppose  $s \neq 0$ , then  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid a_i(2^{L(p)} - 1)$  implies  $2^t r^s \mid a_i(2^{L(p)} - 1)$ . Since  $2^{L(p)} - 1$  is odd, we get  $2^t \mid a_i$  and  $r^s \mid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ . Now,  $r^s \mid 2^{L(p)} - 1$  implies  $2^{L(p)} \equiv 1 \pmod{r^s}$ . This means that  $\text{ord}_{r^s}(2) \leq L(p)$ . Taking  $\text{ord}_{r^s}(2) = k_1$  we have  $k_1 \leq L(p)$ . But  $k_1 < L(p)$  contradicts the Definition 1.1. Therefore  $L(p) = k_1$ , i.e.,  $L(p) = \text{ord}_{r^s}(2)$ .

Combining both cases, we have

$$L(p) = \begin{cases} \text{ord}_{\frac{\phi(p)}{2}}(2), & \text{if } \frac{\phi(p)}{2} \text{ is odd} \\ \text{ord}_{r^s}(2), & \text{if } \frac{\phi(p)}{2} \text{ is even, where } \frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t r^s, t \geq 1, s > 0 \text{ and } r \text{ is odd} \\ 1, & \text{if } \frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t, t \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** For Fermat prime  $F_k$ ,  $\phi(F_k) = \phi(2^{2^k} + 1) = 2^{2^k}$  and  $\frac{\phi(F_k)}{2} = 2^{2^k-1}$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.1  $L(F_k) = 1$  which also gives Proposition 4.1 of [2].

**Corollary 2.3.** For safe prime  $p = 2p_1 + 1$ , where  $p_1$  is also a prime,  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2}$  is equal to 2 or an odd prime. In case of  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = p_1 = 2$  we have  $L(p) = 1$ , i.e.,  $L(5) = 1$ . If  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = p_1 \neq 2$  and 2 is a primitive root modulo  $p_1$ , then  $L(p) = \frac{p-3}{2}$ . Thus Proposition 4.4 of [2] also follows from the preceding theorem.

**Corollary 2.4.** If  $p$  is a prime of the form  $p = 2^k + 1$ , where  $k \geq 1$ , then  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^{k-1}$ , so  $L(p) = 1$ .

**Note:** It is easy to show that if  $n = pq$  and  $\gcd(L(p), L(q)) = 1$ , then  $L(n) = L(p)L(q)$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  being distinct primes.

### 3 Characterization of a prime associated with largest cycles of quadratic residues

In this section, we obtain a characterization of a prime  $p$  in terms of any largest cycle consisting of quadratic residues modulo the prime  $p$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** For an odd prime  $p$ ,  $L(p) = 2$ , if and only if  $p$  is of the form  $2^k \cdot 3 + 1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Let us start by taking  $p = 2^k \cdot 3 + 1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . Then  $\phi(p) = 2^k \cdot 3$  and thus,  $L(p) = \text{ord}_3(2) = 2$ .

Conversely, let  $L(p) = 2$ .

Case I: If  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} > 1$  is an odd number, then either  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid 2^{L(p)} - 1$  or  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \nmid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ . In case,  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \nmid 2^{L(p)} - 1$  we have  $L(p) = 1$ , which contradicts our assumption. Therefore  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid 2^{L(p)} - 1 = 3$ . As  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} > 1$  we must have  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 3$ , so that  $p = 7 = 2 \cdot 3 + 1$  which is in the form  $p = 2^k \cdot 3 + 1$ ,  $k = 1$ .

Case II: If  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2}$  is an even number, then  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t r^s$ ,  $t \geq 1$ ,  $s \geq 0$  and  $r > 1$  is any odd number. The condition  $s = 0$  can be ruled out since in that case, we shall have  $L(p) = 1$  contradicting our assumption. Thus  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t r^s$ ,  $t \geq 1$ ,  $s \geq 1$  and  $r > 1$  is any odd number. By Theorem 2.1  $2 = L(p) = \text{ord}_{r^s}(2)$ , which implies  $r^s = 3$ . Therefore  $\phi(p) = 2^{t+1} \cdot 3 = 2^k \cdot 3$ ,  $k \geq 2$  i.e.,  $p = 2^k \cdot 3 + 1$ ,  $k \geq 2$ .

Combining the two cases we conclude that  $p$  is a prime number of the form  $2^k \cdot 3 + 1$ ,  $k \geq 1$  which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** For an odd prime  $p$ ,  $L(p) = 3$ , if and only if  $p$  is of the form  $2^k \cdot 7 + 1$ ,  $k > 1$ .

*Proof.* We may start by assuming  $p = 2^k \cdot 7 + 1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . However, for  $k = 1$ ,  $p = 15$ , which is not a prime number. So, we assume that  $p = 2^k \cdot 7 + 1$ ,  $k > 1$ . Then  $\phi(p) = 2^k \cdot 7$  and  $L(p) = \text{ord}_7(2) = 3$ .

Conversely, let  $L(p) = 3$ . If possible, let  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2}$  be an odd number. Then either  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid 2^{L(p)} - 1$  or  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \nmid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ . If  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \nmid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ , then  $L(p) = 1$  contradicting our assumption. Hence  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ , which means that  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 1$  or  $7$ . If  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 1$ , then  $L(p) = 1$  contradicting our assumption again. If  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 7$ , then  $\phi(p) = 14$ , which admits of no solution for  $p$ . Therefore,  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2}$  must be an even number so that we can express  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t r^s$ ,  $t \geq 1$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , where  $r > 1$  is any odd number. However, following the argument as mentioned in Proposition 3.1, the integer  $s = 0$  is ruled out. So,  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t r^s$ ,  $t \geq 1$ ,  $s \geq 1$ , and  $r > 1$  is any odd number. Now  $3 = L(p) = \text{ord}_{r^s}(2)$  implies  $r^s = 7$ . Therefore  $\phi(p) = 2^{t+1} \cdot 7 = 2^k \cdot 7$ ,  $k > 1$  i.e.,  $p$  is a prime of the form  $2^k \cdot 7 + 1$ ,  $k > 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** For an odd prime  $p$ ,  $L(p) = 4$ , if and only if  $p$  is either of the form  $2^k \cdot 5 + 1$  or  $2^k \cdot 15 + 1$ , where  $k \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be either in the form  $2^k \cdot 5 + 1$  or  $2^k \cdot 15 + 1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . If  $p = 2^k \cdot 5 + 1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , then  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^{k-1} \cdot 5$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . This gives  $L(p) = \text{ord}_5(2) = 4$ . Again, if  $p = 2^k \cdot 15 + 1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , then  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^{k-1} \cdot 15$ ,  $k \geq 1$  which means that  $L(p) = \text{ord}_{15}(2) = \text{lcm}(\text{ord}_3(2), \text{ord}_5(2)) = \text{lcm}(2, 4) = 4$ .

Conversely, let  $L(p) = 4$ . If  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} > 1$  is an odd number, then either  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid 2^{L(p)} - 1$  or  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \nmid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ . If  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \nmid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ , then  $L(p) = 1$ , which contradicts our assumption. Again, if  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ , then  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 3, 5$  or  $15$ . For  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 3$ , we have  $L(p) = \text{ord}_3(2) = 2$  which contradicts our assumption. For  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 5$  and  $15$ , we have  $L(p) = \text{ord}_5(2)$  and  $\text{ord}_{15}(2)$ , respectively, and in both cases  $L(p) = 4$ . Thus,  $p = 11 = 2 \cdot 5 + 1$  or  $p = 31 = 2 \cdot 15 + 1$ .

On the other hand, if  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2}$  is an even number, then  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t r^s$ ,  $t \geq 1$ ,  $s \geq 0$  where  $r > 1$  is any odd number. However, following the argument as mentioned in Proposition 3.1,  $s = 0$  is ruled out. So,  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t r^s$ ,  $t \geq 1$ ,  $s \geq 1$ , and  $r > 1$  is any odd number. Then  $4 = L(p) = \text{ord}_{r^s}(2)$ , which implies  $r^s = 3, 5, 15$ . Here, only possible values of  $r^s$  are  $5$  and  $15$ . Therefore  $p$  is a prime of the form  $2^k \cdot 5 + 1$  or  $2^k \cdot 15 + 1$  for  $k \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** For an odd prime  $p$ ,  $L(p) = 5$ , if and only if  $p$  is of the form  $2^k \cdot 31 + 1$  where  $k > 1$ .

*Proof.* We start by assuming  $p = 2^k \cdot 31 + 1$ ,  $k > 1$ . Then  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^{k-1} \cdot 31$ . So,  $L(p) = \text{ord}_{31}(2) = 5$ .

Conversely, let  $L(p) = 5$ . If  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} > 1$  is an odd number, then either  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid 2^{L(p)} - 1$  or  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \nmid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ . If  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \nmid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ , then  $L(p) = 1$ , which contradicts our assumption. Again, if  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ , then  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 31$  which is not possible. Therefore  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2}$  must be an even number so that we can take  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t r^s$ ,  $t \geq 1$ ,  $s \geq 0$  where  $r > 1$  is any odd number. However, following the argument as mentioned in Proposition 3.1,  $s = 0$  is ruled out. So,  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t r^s$ ,  $t \geq 1$ ,  $s \geq 1$ , and  $r > 1$  is any odd number. Therefore,  $5 = L(p) = \text{ord}_{r^s}(2)$  which implies  $r^s = 31$ . Therefore  $p$  is a prime of the form  $2^k \cdot 31 + 1$ ,  $k > 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** For an odd prime  $p$ ,  $L(p) = 6$ , if and only if  $p$  is in one of the forms  $2^k \cdot 9 + 1$ ,  $2^k \cdot 21 + 1$  or  $2^k \cdot 63 + 1$ , where  $k \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be in any one of the forms  $2^k \cdot 9 + 1$ ,  $2^k \cdot 21 + 1$  or  $2^k \cdot 63 + 1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . For  $p = 2^k \cdot 9 + 1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^{k-1} \cdot 9$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . This gives  $L(p) = \text{ord}_9(2) = 6$ . For  $p = 2^k \cdot 21 + 1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^{k-1} \cdot 21$ ,  $k \geq 1$  which gives  $L(p) = \text{ord}_{21}(2) = \text{lcm}(\text{ord}_3(2), \text{ord}_7(2)) = \text{lcm}(2, 3) = 6$ . Finally, for  $p = 2^k \cdot 63 + 1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^{k-1} \cdot 63$ ,  $k \geq 1$  which gives  $L(p) = \text{ord}_{63}(2) = \text{lcm}(\text{ord}_7(2), \text{ord}_9(2)) = \text{lcm}(3, 6) = 6$ .

Conversely, let  $L(p) = 6$ . If  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} > 1$  is an odd number, then either  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid 2^{L(p)} - 1$  or  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \nmid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ . If  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \nmid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ , then  $L(p) = 1$ , which contradicts our assumption. Again,  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid 2^{L(p)} - 1$  implies  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 3, 7, 9, 21$  or  $63$ . Clearly,  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \neq 3, 7$ . Therefore  $p = 19, 43$  or  $127$ . Now, let  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2}$  be an even number so that  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t r^s$ ,  $t \geq 1$ ,  $s \geq 0$  where  $r > 1$  is any odd number. However, following the argument as mentioned in Proposition 3.1, the integer  $s = 0$  is ruled out. So,  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t r^s$ ,  $t \geq 1$ ,  $s \geq 1$ , where  $r > 1$  is any odd number. Now,  $6 = L(p) = \text{ord}_{r^s}(2)$  which gives  $r^s = 3, 7, 9, 21$  or  $63$ . But  $r^s = 3$  or  $7$  contradicts the assumption that  $L(p) = 6$ . Therefore, only possible values of  $r^s$  are  $9, 21$  and  $63$ . This shows that  $p$  is a prime of the form  $2^k \cdot 9 + 1$  or  $2^k \cdot 21 + 1$  or  $2^k \cdot 63 + 1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ .  $\square$

The characterization of the prime number  $p$  in terms of the length of largest cycles with  $L(p) = n$  where  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  and  $6$  motivates us to derive the same for any value of  $n$ . However, we are successful partially in our attempt which is contained in the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.6.** For any odd prime  $p$  and any positive integer  $n \geq 3$  with  $L(p) = n$ ,

- (a) if  $2^n - 1$  is prime, then  $n$  is a prime and  $p$  is of the form  $2^k M_n + 1$ , where  $k \geq 2$ ,  $M_n = 2^n - 1$  is a Mersenne prime, and
- (b) if  $2^n - 1$  is composite and  $n$  is a prime, then

$$p = \begin{cases} 2 \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} q_i + 1, & q_i \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}, \ell \geq 1 & \text{if } \frac{\phi(p)}{2} \text{ is odd} \\ 2^t \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} q_i + 1, & q_i \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}, \ell \geq 1, t > 1 & \text{if } \frac{\phi(p)}{2} \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* To start with, let us take  $2^n - 1$  as prime. In this case  $n$  is also a prime number [1]. Now, let  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2}$  be odd. Then either  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid 2^{L(p)} - 1$  or  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \nmid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ . If  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \nmid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ , then  $L(p) = 1$ , which contradicts that  $L(p) = n \geq 3$ . Again, if  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid 2^{L(p)} - 1$ , then  $\phi(p) = 2(2^n - 1)$  which imply  $p = 2^{n+1} - 1$ . But if  $p = 2^{n+1} - 1$  is prime, then  $n + 1$  is prime. This is not possible for any prime  $n \geq 3$ . So,  $2(2^n - 1) + 1$  is composite and thus  $\phi(p) = 2(2^n - 1)$  has no solution [1]. Therefore  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2}$  must be even.

Let  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t r^s$ ,  $t \geq 1$ ,  $s \geq 0$  and  $r > 1$  is any odd number. Here, also  $s = 0$  leads us to a contradictory value  $L(p) = 1$  as  $L(p) \geq 3$ . Thus  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = 2^t r^s$ ,  $t \geq 1$ ,  $s \geq 1$  and  $r > 1$  is any odd number. Clearly,  $r^s \mid 2^n - 1$  implies  $2^n - 1 = r^s$  as  $2^n - 1$  is prime. Therefore  $p = 2^{t+1}(2^n - 1) + 1$ . Thus, if  $L(p) = n \geq 3$  and  $2^n - 1$  is prime, then  $n$  must be an odd prime and  $p$  is of the form  $2^k M_n + 1$ , where  $k \geq 2$  and  $M_n = 2^n - 1$  is a Mersenne prime.

Next, let  $2^n - 1$  be composite where  $n$  is a prime number. Then by [1], any prime divisor of  $M_n = 2^n - 1$  is of the form  $2kn + 1$  for some integer  $k$ . More precisely, prime divisor  $q$  of  $M_n$  is of the form  $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  [1]. It is also conjectured that  $2^n - 1$  is square-free if  $n$  is prime. So, we consider  $2^n - 1$  as a square-free number and hence it has at least two distinct prime factors. We start by taking exactly two distinct prime factors say  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  and then generalize the result for all possible distinct prime factors. Now,  $q_1 = 2k_1n + 1 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $q_2 = 2k_2n + 1 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ . Let  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} > 1$  be odd. Then  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} \mid 2^n - 1$  which implies  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = q_1, q_2$ , or  $q_1q_2$ .

Without loss of generality, we may take  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = q_1$ , then  $p = 2q_1 + 1, q_1 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $n = L(p) = \text{ord}_{q_1}(2)$ . As  $q_1 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ , so the Legendre symbol  $\left(\frac{2}{q_1}\right) = 1$ , i.e., 2 is quadratic residue of  $q_1$ , i.e., 2 is not a primitive root of  $q_1$ . Therefore,  $n$  must be a prime factor of  $\frac{q_1-1}{2}$ .

If  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2} = q_1q_2$ , then  $p = 2q_1q_2 + 1, q_i \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $n = L(p) = \text{ord}_{q_1q_2}(2) = \text{lcm}(\text{ord}_{q_1}(2), \text{ord}_{q_2}(2))$ . As  $n$  is prime, so either any one of  $\text{ord}_{q_1}(2)$  and  $\text{ord}_{q_2}(2)$  is equal to  $n$  while the other is 1 or  $\text{ord}_{q_1}(2) = \text{ord}_{q_2}(2) = n$ . Therefore by similar argument as mentioned in the preceding paragraph  $n$  must be prime factor of  $\frac{q_1-1}{2}$  or  $\frac{q_2-1}{2}$  or both, i.e.,  $n$  must be a prime factor of  $\prod_{i=1}^2 \frac{q_i-1}{2}$ . For more than two distinct prime factors of  $2^n - 1$ , we may argue similarly and arrive at a general expression for  $p$  namely,  $p = 2\prod_{i=0}^{\ell} q_i + 1$ , where  $q_i \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $\ell \geq 1$  is the number of prime factors of  $2^n - 1$ .

Now, let  $\frac{\phi(p)}{2}$  be even. In this case also we can similarly show that  $p$  must be a prime of the form  $2^t \prod_{i=0}^{\ell} q_i + 1$ , where  $q_i \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}, \ell \geq 1$  and  $t > 1$ . □

**Remark 3.7.** *The question of deriving an expression for  $p$  when  $n$  and  $2^n - 1$  are both composite remains open.*

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we have derived a general formula to compute  $L(p)$ , where  $p$  is prime. Under the same context we have also characterized  $p$  in terms of the largest cycle consisting of quadratic residues. In case of power digraphs [3] also we encounter with the problem of computing possible number of cycles. The present results easily help us to compute the length of largest cycles for power digraphs modulo  $n$ , where  $n$  is a prime. Similar computation of length of largest cycle for a power digraph modulo  $n$  where  $n$  is composite is yet to be solved in the context of the present study.

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions that improved the quality of the paper.

## References

- [1] Burton, D. M. (2012). *Elementary Number Theory*. (7th ed.). TATA McGraw-Hill Edition.
- [2] Xu, H., (2016). *The largest cycles consist by the quadratic residues and Fermat primes*. Preprint. arXiv:1601.06509v2[math.NT] 27 Jan 2016.
- [3] Somer, L., & Křížek, M. (2004). On a connection of number theory with graph theory. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, 54(129), 465–485.