Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics Print ISSN 1310–5132, Online ISSN 2367–8275 2024, Volume 30, Number 3, 557–574 DOI: 10.7546/nntdm.2024.30.3.557-574

Divisibility of the sums of the power of consecutive integers

Tian-Xiao He¹ and Peter J.-S. Shiue²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Illinois Wesleyan University Bloomington, Illinois 61702, United States e-mail: the@iwu.edu

² Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nevada, Las Vegas Vegas, Nevada, 89154-4020, United States e-mail: shiue@unlv.nevada.edu

> Dedicated to Professor Anthony G. Shannon on the occasion of his 86th birthday

Received: 11 September 2024 Accepted: 1 October 2024 Online First: 3 October 2024

Abstract: We study the divisibility of the sums of the odd power of consecutive integers, $S(m,k) = 1^{mk} + 2^{mk} + \cdots + k^{mk}$ and $1^k + 2^k + \cdots + n^k$ for odd integers m and k, by using the Girard–Waring identity. Faulhaber's approach for the divisibilities is discussed. Some expressions of power sums in terms of Stirling numbers of the second kind are represented.

Keywords: Divisibility, Sum of powers of consecutive integers, Girard–Waring identity, Recursive sequence, Arithmetic series, Faulhaber's theorem.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05A15, 11B99, 11B83.

1 Introduction

Sums of powers of integers $1^n + 2^n + \cdots + m^n$ have been studied for hundreds of years and even now there is still a steady stream of articles published on the subject. Jacques Bernoulli ([1], pp. 95–97) had introduced the numbers called after his name to evaluate the sum of the *n*-th powers



Copyright © 2024 by the Authors. This is an Open Access paper distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

of the first m integers. He then proved the following summation formula (see, for example, (6.78) of Graham, Knuth, and Patashnik [6] and Theorem 1.19 of Kerr [16]).

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \ell^{k} = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} (-1)^{\ell} B_{\ell} {\binom{k+1}{\ell}} n^{k-\ell+1}$$
$$= \frac{1}{k+1} \left(B_{k+1}(n+1) - B_{k+1}(1) \right), \tag{1}$$

where $n, k \ge 1$ and B_{ℓ} are the Bernoulli numbers with $B_1 = -1/2$.

The Faulhaber theorem states that the sum of the odd powers

$$1^{2m-1} + 2^{2m-1} + \dots + n^{2m-1}$$

can be expressed as a polynomial of the triangular number $T_n = n(n + 1)/2$. Let $\lambda = m(m + 2x + 1)$ be the sum of x + 1, x + 2, ..., x + m. Then extended and generalized results of the sums of integer powers in terms of the sum of arithmetic series, λ , are surveyed and developed in Chen, Fu, and Zhang [2], which are given as

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} (x+\ell)^{2k-1} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda^{\ell}}{2k} \sum_{j=\ell}^{k} \binom{2k}{2j} \binom{j}{\ell} \left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2j-2\ell} B_{2k-2j}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right).$$
(2)

In this paper, we will study the divisibility of the sums of powers by using the Girard–Waring identity of the sums of two power terms, $x^n + y^n$. Albert Girard published a class of identities in Amsterdam in 1629 and Edward Waring published similar material in Cambridge in 1762–1782, which are referred as the Girard–Waring identities later. These identities may be derived from the earlier work of Sir Isaac Newton. Surveys and some applications of these identities can be found in Comtet [3] (p. 198), Gould [5], Shapiro and one of the authors [8], and [10]. Nie, Chen and the authors [12] give a different approaches to derive the Girard–Waring identities by using the Binet formula of recursive sequences and divided differences. Meanwhile, this approach offers some formulas and identities that have more wider applications, for instance, the transformations of certain recursive sequences to the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind and the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind shown in Weng and the authors [13]. Recently, [11] present a general rule of construction of identities for recursive sequences by using sequence transformation techniques developed in [12]. In this sense, this paper is a derivative, successor, and development of [12] and [11].

The Girard-Waring identity and its Binet form can be presented by

$$x^{n} + y^{n} = \sum_{0 \le k \le [n/2]} (-1)^{k} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k} (xy)^{k} (x+y)^{n-2k},$$
(3)

$$\frac{x^{n+1} - y^{n+1}}{x - y} = \sum_{0 \le k \le [n/2]} (-1)^k \binom{n-k}{k} (xy)^k (x+y)^{n-2k}.$$
 (4)

Shapiro and one of the authors [8] used Riordan array approach to establish the Binet type Girard–Waring identity (4). Paper [12] establishes the formula

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_1 - ya_0}{x - y} \end{pmatrix} x^n - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_1 - xa_0}{x - y} \end{pmatrix} y^n = a_1 (x + y)^{n-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{[n/2]} \frac{1}{j} \binom{n - j - 1}{j - 1} (-1)^j (x + y)^{n-2j-1} (xy)^j \left(j(x + y)a_0 + (n - 2j)a_1 \right),$$

which includes Binet Girard–Waring identity as a special case of $a_0 = 0$ and $a_1 = 1$.

There are some alternative forms of the Girard–Waring identity. As an example, we present the following one. If x + y + z = 0, then the Girard–Waring identity gives

$$x^{n} + y^{n} = \sum_{0 \le k \le [n/2]} (-1)^{k} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k} (-z)^{n-2k} (xy)^{k}$$
$$= (-1)^{n} z^{n} + \sum_{1 \le k \le [n/2]} (-1)^{n-k} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k} z^{n-2k} (xy)^{k},$$

which implies

$$x^{n} + y^{n} - (-1)^{n} z^{n} = \sum_{1 \le k \le [n/2]} (-1)^{n-k} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k} z^{n-2k} (xy)^{k}.$$

Thus, when n is even, we have formula

$$x^{n} + y^{n} - z^{n} = \sum_{1 \le k \le n/2} (-1)^{n-k} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k} z^{n-2k} (xy)^{k},$$
(5)

while for odd n we have

$$x^{n} + y^{n} + z^{n} = \sum_{1 \le k \le [n/2]} (-1)^{n-k} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k} z^{n-2k} (xy)^{k}, \tag{6}$$

where x + y + z = 0. Particularly, if n = 3, then

$$x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 3xyz, (7)$$

which was shown in [10]. Furthermore, the following proposition was represented in [10], which can also be observed directly from (6).

Proposition 1.1. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $pxy(x+y) | (x^p + y^p - (x+y)^p)$ when $p \ge 3$ is a prime.

In the next section and Section 3, we give an approach to find divisibility of two type of sums of powers of consecutive integers, respectively. In Section 4, we present another approach to study the divisibility of the powers of integers in terms of arithmetic series by using the Faulhaber's theorem and the formula shown in Chen, Fu, and Zhang [2]. Finally, we discuss the power sums expressed in terms of Stirling numbers of the second kind in Section 5.

2 Divisibility of sums of powers of consecutive integers

It is well known that the sum of cubes of three consecutive integers is always divisible by 9 (see, for example, Rosen [17]). Does it hold for other positive integer k for which the sum of k-th powers of k consecutive integers is divisible for k^2 ? It is easy to check the answer is negative for k = 2, 4, etc. However, for odd k the situation is quite different. In Ho, Mellblom, and Frodyma [14], it has been shown that for any odd positive integer k and m, the sum of the (mk)-th powers of consecutive integers

$$n^{mk} + (n+1)^{mk} + \dots + (n+k-1)^{mk}$$
(8)

of any k consecutive integers is always divisible by k^2 . We will show that this result can be proved by using the Girard–Waring identity. More precisely, let us denote by S(m, k, n) the above sum (mod k^2) of (mk)-th powers of k consecutive terms beginning with the integer n. We may drop the symbol n and write S(m, k) instead, namely,

$$S(m,k) := 1^{mk} + 2^{mk} + \dots + k^{mk}.$$
(9)

Proposition 2.1. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $p \ge 3$ be a prime number. If x + y = p, then $x^p + y^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$. Furthermore, for any odd positive integer m, there holds

$$x^{pm} + y^{pm} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$
(10)

Proof. Substituting x + y = z = p into Proposition 1.1, we immediately get $p^2 xy | (x^p + y^p - p^p)$, which implies $x^p + y^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$, or equivalently, $x^p \equiv -y^p \pmod{p^2}$. If m is an odd positive integer, then

$$(x^p)^m \equiv (-y^p)^m \pmod{p^2}.$$

Hence, we obtain (10).

Corollary 2.2. Let $p \ge 3$ be a prime number, and let m be an odd positive integer. Denote

$$S(m,p) := 1^{mp} + 2^{mp} + \dots + p^{mp}$$

and

$$S'(m,p) := 1^{mp} + 2^{mp} + \dots + (p-1)^{mp}.$$

Then S(m, p) and $S'(m, p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$.

Proof. From Proposition 2.1 for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor p/2 \rfloor$

$$k^{mp} + (p-k)^{mp} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$$

Hence

$$S(m,p) = \sum_{k=1}^{[p/2]} (k^{mp} + (p-k)^{mp}) + p^{mp} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

 $S'(m,p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ can be obtained because $p^{mp} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ $(p \ge 3)$.

Remark 2.3. The result on S(m, p) of Corollary 2.2 is included in Theorem 2 of [14] by using a different approach.

A similar process can be applied to extend the above result.

Proposition 2.4. Let $p \ge 3$ be a prime number, and let m be an odd positive integer. For any $t \in \mathbb{N}$, denote

$$\hat{S}(m, p^t) := 1^{mp} + 2^{mp} + \dots + (p^t)^{mp}$$

and

$$\hat{S}'(m, p^t) := 1^{mp} + 2^{mp} + \dots + (p^t - 1)^{mp}$$

Then $\hat{S}(m, p^t)$ and $\hat{S}'(m, p^t) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{t+1}}$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x + y = p^t$, and let $p \ge 3$ be a prime number. Then from Proposition 1.1,

$$p^{t+1}xy \mid (x^p + y^p - (p^t)^p),$$

which implies $x^p + y^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{t+1}}$. If m is an odd positive integer, then

$$x^{pm} + y^{pm} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{t+1}}$$

when $x + y = p^t$. Thus

$$\hat{S}(m, p^{t}) = 1^{mp} + 2^{mp} + \dots + (p^{t} - 2)^{pm} + (p^{t} - 1)^{pm} + (p^{t})^{mp}$$

= $(p^{t})^{mp} + (1^{mp} + (p^{t} - 1)^{mp}) + (2^{mp} + (p^{t} - 2)^{pm}) + \dots$
= $0 \pmod{p^{t+1}}.$

 $\hat{S}'(m, p^t) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{t+1}}$ follows. Here, $\hat{S}(m, p^t) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{t+1}}$ because $(p^t)^{mp} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{t+1}}$.

Remark 2.5. Formula (4) of Hsu [15] presents

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{m} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} j! \binom{m}{j} \binom{n+1}{j+1}$$

where ${m \atop j}$ are the Stirling numbers of the second kind. Thus for an odd integer m

$$1^{mp} + 2^{mp} + \dots + (p^t)^{mp} = \sum_{j=1}^{mp} j! {mp \\ j} {p^t + 1 \\ j+1}.$$

Then, from Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{mp} j! {mp \atop j} {p^t + 1 \atop j+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{t+1}}$$

for any prime $p \geq 3$.

We now consider the general case of $p = k \in \mathbb{N}$ is any odd positive integer.

Proposition 2.6. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ with x + y = k, an odd positive integer. Then

$$x^k + y^k \equiv 0 \pmod{k^2}.$$
(11)

Proof. Note [k/2] = (k-1)/2 when $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is an odd number, and for $1 \le i \le (k-1)/2 \frac{k}{k-i} \binom{k-i}{i}$ are integers, since they are the coefficients of the Lucas polynomial. It can also be proved from the following observation:

$$\frac{k}{k-i}\binom{k-i}{i} = \binom{k-i}{i} + \binom{k-i-1}{i-1}$$
$$= 2\binom{k-i}{i} - \binom{k-i-1}{i}.$$

Then, from the Girard–Waring identity (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{k} + y^{k} - (x+y)^{k} &= \sum_{1 \le i \le (k-1)/2} (-1)^{i} \frac{k}{k-i} \binom{k-i}{i} (x+y)^{k-2i} (xy)^{i} \\ &= \sum_{1 \le i \le (k-3)/2} (-1)^{i} \frac{k}{k-i} \binom{k-i}{i} (x+y)^{k-2i} (xy)^{i} \\ &+ (-1)^{(k-1)/2} \frac{2k}{k+1} \binom{\frac{k+1}{2}}{\frac{k-1}{2}} (x+y) (xy)^{(k-1)/2} \\ &= \sum_{1 \le i \le (k-3)/2} (-1)^{i} \frac{k}{k-i} \binom{k-i}{i} k^{k-2i} (xy)^{i} + (-1)^{(k-1)/2} k^{2} (xy)^{(k-1)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where every term of the sum on the rightmost contains the factor k^2 because that the exponent of k^{k-2i} , $k-2i \ge 3$. Note the coefficient of the last term comes from

$$(-1)^{(k-1)/2} \frac{2}{k+1} \left(\frac{\frac{k+1}{2}}{\frac{k-1}{2}}\right) = (-1)^{(k-1)/2} \frac{2}{k+1} \frac{k+1}{2} = (-1)^{(k-1)/2}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$x^k + y^k - k^k \equiv 0 \pmod{k^2},$$

which implies (11).

Proposition 2.7. Let k be an odd positive integer, and let m be an odd positive integer. Denote

$$S(m,k) := 1^{mk} + 2^{mk} + \dots + k^{mk}$$

and

$$S'(m,k) := 1^{mk} + 2^{mk} + \dots + (k-1)^{mk}.$$

Then

$$S(m,k), S'(m,k) \equiv 0 \pmod{k^2}.$$
(12)

Proof. From Proposition 2.6, we have $x^k \equiv -y^k \pmod{k^2}$. For an odd positive integer m, $(x^k)^m \equiv -(y^k)^m \pmod{k^2}$ follows. Thus $x^{km} + y^{km} \equiv 0 \pmod{k^2}$ when x + y = k. Consequently, we have (12).

Remark 2.8. The result on S(m, k) of Proposition 2.7 is included in Theorem 1 of [14] by using a different approach.

Considering the case of odd k and even m, we may have the following result.

Proposition 2.9. Let k be an odd positive integer, and let m be an even positive integer. Then

$$S(m,k) := 1^{mk} + 2^{mk} + \dots + k^{mk}$$

$$\equiv 2(-1)^{m/2} \sum_{u+v=k,u (13)$$

Proof. From Proposition 2.6, we have $x^k + y^k \equiv 0 \pmod{k^2}$ if x + y = k. Denote $a = x^k$ and $b = y^k$. From (3), for an even positive integer m

$$\begin{aligned} a^{m} + b^{m} &= \sum_{0 \le i \le m/2} (-1)^{i} \frac{m}{m-i} \binom{m-i}{i} (a+b)^{m-2i} (ab)^{i} \\ &= \frac{m}{m} \binom{m}{0} (a+b)^{m} + (-1) \frac{m}{m-1} \binom{m-1}{1} (a+b)^{m-2} (ab)^{1} + \cdots \\ &+ (-1)^{(m/2)-1} \frac{m}{m-(m/2)+1} \binom{\frac{m}{2}+1}{\frac{m}{2}-1} (a+b)^{2} (ab)^{(m/2)-1} \\ &+ (-1)^{m/2} \frac{m}{m/2} \binom{\frac{m}{2}}{\frac{m}{2}} (ab)^{m/2}. \end{aligned}$$

On the rightmost side of the above equation, all terms except the last one contain factor k^2 because $a + b = x^k + y^k$. Thus,

$$a^m + b^m = x^{mk} + y^{mk} \equiv 2(-1)^{m/2} (xy)^{mk/2} \pmod{k^2}.$$

Consequently,

$$S(m,k) := 1^{mk} + 2^{mk} + \dots + k^{mk}$$

= $(1^{km} + (k-1)^{km}) + (2^{km} + (k-2)^{km}) + \dots$
+ $\left(\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]^{km} + \left(\left[\frac{k}{2}\right] + 1\right)^{km}\right) + k^{km}$
= $2(-1)^{m/2} \sum_{u+v=k,u$

Example 2.10. As examples of Proposition 2.9, we have

$$S(2,3) = (1^3)^2 + (2^3)^2 + (3^3)^2 \equiv 2(-1) \sum_{u+v=3,0 < u < v} (uv)^3 \pmod{3^2}$$
$$\equiv -2(1 \cdot 2)^3 \pmod{3^2} \equiv 2 \pmod{3^2}$$
$$S(4,3) = (1^3)^4 + (2^3)^4 + (3^3)^4 \equiv 2(-1)^2 \sum_{u+v=3,0 < u < v} (uv)^6 \pmod{3^2}$$
$$\equiv 2(1 \cdot 2)^6 \pmod{3^2} \equiv 2 \pmod{3^2}.$$

In general, we have the following result for sequence $(S(2\ell, 3))_{\ell \geq 1}$.

Corollary 2.11. *For* $\ell = 1, 2, ...,$

$$S(2\ell, 3) \equiv 2 \pmod{3^2}.$$
 (14)

Proof. Example shows that $S(2,3) \equiv 2 \pmod{3^2}$. Using mathematical induction, one may prove that (14) is true. However, a direct proof can be given without using induction.

$$S(2\ell, 3) \equiv 2(-1)^{\ell} \sum_{u+v=3, 0 \le u \le v} (uv)^{3\ell} \pmod{3^2}$$

= $2(-1)^{\ell} 2^{3\ell} \pmod{3^2}$
= $2(-1)^{\ell} (2^3)^{\ell} \pmod{3^2}$
= $2(-1)^{\ell} (-1)^{\ell} \pmod{3^2}$
= $2 \pmod{3^2}$.

Example 2.12. *This example gives a divisible case for odd k and even m. From Proposition 2.9 we obtain*

$$S(2,7) := 1^7 + (2^7)^2 + \dots + (7^7)^2 \equiv -2 \sum_{u+v=7, u < v} (uv)^k \pmod{7^2}$$
$$\equiv -2 \left((1 \cdot 6)^7 + (2 \cdot 5)^7 + (3 \cdot 4)^7 \right) \pmod{7^2}$$
$$\equiv -92223488 \pmod{7^2} \equiv 0 \pmod{7^2}.$$

We now extend the result shown in Corollary 2.11 to S(m, p) for a prime $p \ge 3$ and some m, which is a special case of S(m, k) with odd integer k. In fact, [14] gives the following result.

Proposition 2.13. [14] Let $p \ge 3$ be a prime number, and let ℓ be a positive integer. Then

$$S((p-1)\ell, p) \equiv (p-1) \pmod{p^2}.$$
 (15)

Proof. We present a brief proof here for the convenience of the readers. We consider two cases. The first case is for (a, p) = 1. Then, from Euler's phi function theorem, we have $a^{\phi(p^2)} = a^{p(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$. Thus, for $1 \le i \le p-1$, we have $(i^\ell)^{p(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$. The second case is for $p \mid a$. Then, $(p^\ell)^{p(p-1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$. Thus, we have

$$S((p-1)\ell, p) = (1^{\ell})^{p(p-1)} + (2^{\ell})^{p(p-1)} + \dots + ((p-1)^{\ell})^{p(p-1)} + (p^{\ell})^{p(p-1)}$$
$$\equiv (p-1) \pmod{p^2}.$$

Corollary 2.14. Let $p \ge 3$ be a prime number. Then

$$2\sum_{u+v=p,0
(16)$$

Proof. From Proposition 2.9 we have

$$S(p-1,p) := 1^{p(p-1)} + 2^{p(p-1)} + \dots + p^{p(p-1)}$$

$$\equiv 2(-1)^{(p-1)/2} \sum_{u+v=p,0 < u < v} (uv)^{p(p-1)/2} \pmod{p^2},$$
(17)

which implies (16) after using (15) for $\ell = 1$.

Remark 2.15. An alternative proof of (15) can be represented by considering two cases. The first case is for even ℓ . Then, by using Proposition 2.9 and noting that $p \ge 3$ is an odd positive integer, we have

$$S((p-1)\ell, p) := 1^{p(p-1)\ell} + 2^{p(p-1)\ell} + \dots + p^{p(p-1)\ell}$$

$$\equiv 2(-1)^{(p-1)\ell/2} \sum_{u+v=p,0 < u < v} (uv)^{p(p-1)\ell/2} \pmod{p^2}.$$
(18)

Thus, for all 0 < u < v with u + v = p

$$(uv)^{p(p-1)\ell/2} = ((uv)^{\ell/2})^{p(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$$

which yields

$$S((p-1)\ell, p) \equiv 2(-1)^{(p-1)\ell/2} \left[\frac{p}{2}\right] \pmod{p^2} \equiv 2\frac{p-1}{2} \pmod{p^2}.$$

The second case is for odd ℓ . Then, by noting that $\ell = 2k + 1$ for a positive integer k and $p \ge 3$ is an odd positive integer, from Proposition 2.9 we have

$$2(-1)^{(p-1)\ell/2} \sum_{u+v=p,0
$$= 2(-1)^{(p-1)/2} \sum_{u+v=p,0
$$\equiv 2(-1)^{(p-1)/2} \sum_{u+v=p,0$$$$$$

Thus, the problem is reduced to prove

$$2(-1)^{(p-1)/2} \sum_{u+v=p, 0 < u < v} (uv)^{p(p-1)/2} \equiv (p-1) \pmod{p^2},$$

completing the proof. A short cut process for the case of odd ℓ maybe done as follows

$$S((p-1)\ell, p) \equiv S(p-1, p) \pmod{p^2} \equiv (p-1) \pmod{p^2}.$$

3 Divisibility of the sum $1^k + 2^k + \cdots + n^k$

We now establish the following general results on the divisibility of the sum

$$S_k(n) := 1^k + 2^k + \dots + n^k$$
(19)

for odd integers $k \geq 3$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $S_k(n)$ be the sum defined by (19), and let n and $k \ge 3$ be odd integers satisfying $k \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Then

$$S_k(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{n^2}.$$
(20)

Proof. Noting for odd integers n and $k \ge 3$

$$i^{k} + (n-i)^{k} \equiv i^{k} + kn(-i)^{k-1} + (-i)^{k} \equiv kni^{k-1} \pmod{n^{2}},$$

we may write $S_k(n)$ as

$$S_{k}(n) = \left[1^{k} + (n-1)^{k}\right] + \left[2^{k} + (n-2)^{k}\right] + \cdots + \left[\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{k} + \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^{k}\right] + n^{k}$$
$$\equiv kn \left[1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \cdots + \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{k-1}\right] + n^{k} \qquad (21)$$
$$\equiv 0 \pmod{n^{2}}, \qquad (22)$$

$$\equiv 0 \pmod{n^2},\tag{22}$$

where, in the last step, we used $k \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. This completes the proof.

In Damianou and Schumer [4], Von Staudt-Clausen Theorem (see Theorem 118 of Hardy and Wright [7]) is applied to establish the following result.

Theorem 3.2. [4] Let $S_k(n)$ be the sum defined by (19). Then $S_k(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ if and only if for every prime p that divides n, $p - 1 \nmid k$.

By using Theorem 3.2 and noting the decomposition formula shown in (22) we obtain the following conditions of n and k for the divisibility $n^2 | S_k(n)$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $S_k(p^{\alpha})$ be the sum defined by (19), and let p be an odd prime, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, and $k \geq 3$ an odd integers. Then the divisibility $(p^{\alpha})^2 \mid S_k(p^{\alpha})$ holds if $p-1 \nmid (k-1)$.

Proof. From (22), we have

$$S_k(n) \equiv kn \left[1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{n-1}{2} \right)^{k-1} \right] + n^k \pmod{n^2}.$$

Since for $1 \le i \le n-1$ and odd $k \ge 3$, $i^{k-1} \equiv (n-i)^{k-1} \pmod{n}$ we have

$$1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{k-1} \equiv (n-1)^{k-1} + (n-2)^{k-1} + \dots + \left(n - \frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{k-1} \pmod{n}$$
$$= (n-1)^{k-1} + (n-2)^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^{k-1} \pmod{n}.$$

Consequently, for $k \ge 3$

$$S_{k-1}(n) \equiv S_{k-1}(n-1) \equiv 2 \left[1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{n-1}{2} \right)^{k-1} \right] \pmod{n}.$$

Particularly,

$$S_{k-1}(p^{\alpha}) \equiv S_{k-1}(p^{\alpha}-1) \equiv 2\left[1^{k-1}+2^{k-1}+\dots+\left(\frac{p^{\alpha}-1}{2}\right)^{k-1}\right] \pmod{p^{\alpha}}.$$

Thus, from Theorem 3.2 we obtain that $p - 1 \nmid (k - 1)$ implies

$$1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{p^{\alpha} - 1}{2}\right)^{k-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\alpha}},$$

so that

$$S_k(p^{\alpha}) \equiv kp^{\alpha} \left[1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{p^{\alpha} - 1}{2} \right)^{k-1} \right] + (p^{\alpha})^k \equiv 0 \pmod{(p^{\alpha})^2}.$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $S_k(pq)$ be the sum defined by (19), and let p and q be distinct odd primes and $k \ge 3$ an odd integers. Then the divisibility $(pq)^2 |S_k(pq)|$ holds if $k \in A \setminus B$, where

 $A = \{k : k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}\} \text{ and } B = \{k : k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } k \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}\}.$ Furthermore, the divisibility $(pq)^2 | d \cdot S_k (pq) \text{ holds if } k \in A$, where

$$d = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1} \text{ and } k \neq q \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We now prove the first part of the theorem. Since k is odd, in view of (22), we have

$$S_k(n) \equiv kn \left(1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{n-1}{2} \right)^{k-1} \right) + n^k \pmod{n^2}.$$
 (23)

Owing to $p - 1 \nmid k - 1$ and $q - 1 \nmid k - 1$, by Theorem 3.2, we have

$$S_{k-1}(pq) \equiv 0 \pmod{pq}.$$
(24)

Since k is odd, we get $i^{k-1} \equiv (n-i)^{k-1} \pmod{n}$. It follows that

$$S_{k-1}(pq) = 1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{pq-1}{2}\right)^{k-1} + \left(\frac{pq+1}{2}\right)^{k-1} + \dots + (pq-1)^{k-1} + (pq)^{k-1} = 2\left(1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{pq-1}{2}\right)^{k-1}\right) \pmod{pq}.$$
(25)

Combining (24) and (25) yields that

$$2\left(1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{pq-1}{2}\right)^{k-1}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{pq}.$$

Under the condition that p and q are odd primes, we see that

$$\left(1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{pq-1}{2}\right)^{k-1}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{pq}.$$

which, together with (23), implies that

$$S_k(pq) \equiv 0 \pmod{(pq)^2}.$$

To prove the second part of the theorem, we use (23) to get

$$qS_k(n) \equiv knq\left(1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{k-1}\right) + qn^k \pmod{n^2}.$$

Since $k \ge 3$, we get

$$qS_k(pq) \equiv kpq^2 \left(1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{pq-1}{2}\right)^{k-1}\right) \pmod{(pq)^2}.$$
 (26)

Next we show that if $p-1 \nmid k-1$, then

$$p \mid \left(1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{pq-1}{2}\right)^{k-1}\right).$$

Since

$$\frac{pq-1}{2} = p \cdot \frac{q-1}{2} + \frac{p-1}{2},$$

we have

$$1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{pq-1}{2}\right)^{k-1}$$

$$= (1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + p^{k-1}) + ((p+1)^{k-1} + (p+2)^{k-1} + \dots + (2p)^{k-1})$$

$$+ \dots + \left(\left(p\frac{q-3}{2} + 1\right)^{k-1} + \left(p\frac{q-3}{2} + 2\right)^{k-1} + \dots + \left(p\frac{q-3}{2} + p\right)^{k-1}\right)$$

$$+ \left(\left(p\frac{q-1}{2} + 1\right)^{k-1} + \left(p\frac{q-1}{2} + 2\right)^{k-1} + \dots + \left(p\frac{q-1}{2} + \frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{k-1}\right)$$

$$\equiv \frac{q-1}{2}(1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + p^{k-1}) + \left(1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{k-1}\right) \pmod{p}. \quad (27)$$

Recalling that $p-1 \nmid k-1$, we obtain

$$S_{k-1}(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$
(28)

The condition that $k \ge 3$ is odd implies $i^{k-1} \equiv (p-i)^{k-1} \pmod{p}$. Thus,

$$S_{k-1}(p) = 1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{k-1} + \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^{k-1} + \dots + (p-1)^{k-1} + p^{k-1} = 2\left(1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{k-1}\right) \pmod{p}.$$
(29)

Combining (28) and (29) and noting that p is an odd prime, we obtain that

$$\left(1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{k-1}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$
(30)

Therefore, if $k \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$ and $k \neq q$, by (26), we have

$$qS_k(pq) \equiv 0 \,(\bmod \,(pq)^2).$$

If k = q, (23) and (30) imply that

$$S_k(pq) \equiv 0 \pmod{(pq)^2}.$$

This completes the proof.

Example 3.5. For p = 5 and q = 7, $p-1 \nmid (k-1)$ or $k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ implies $k \in \{4\ell+3 : \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$. From Theorem 3.4, $S_k(35) \equiv 0 \pmod{35^2}$ for all $k \in \{4\ell+3 : \ell \in \mathbb{N}\} \setminus \{6\ell+1 : \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For instance, k = 3, 11, 15, 23, 27, 35, 39, etc. Furthermore, $dS_k(35) \equiv 0 \pmod{35^2}$ for all $k \in \{4\ell+3 : \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where

$$d = \begin{cases} 7, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \text{ and } k \neq 7, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus $dS_k(35) \equiv 0 \pmod{35^2}$ for k = 3, 7, 11, 15, 19, 23, 27, 31, 35, 39, etc. Similarly, $dS_k(55) \equiv 0 \pmod{55^2}$, where

$$d = \begin{cases} 11, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{10} \text{ and } k \neq \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for k = 3, 7, 11, 15, 19, 23, 27, 31, 35, 39, 43, 47, 51, 55, etc. $S_k(55) \equiv 0 \pmod{55^2}$ for k = 3, 7, 11, 15, 19, 23, 27, 35, 39, 43, 47, 55, etc.

Example 3.6. $S_k(6n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{(6n+1)^2}$ for all $0 \le n \le 20$ and the following k:

3, 5, 7, 11, 15, 23, 27, 35, 39, 47, 59, 63, 75, 83, 87, 95, 99, 105, 107, 119, 123, etc.

If the 6n + 1 is replaced by 30n + 1, then we may add 9, 17, 29, 53, and 57 in the above list.

4 Faulhaber's approach for the divisibility of sums of powers of integers

Faulhaber's formula, named after Johann Faulhaber, expresses the sum of the k-th powers of the first n positive integers $S_k(n)$ as a (k + 1)-th degree polynomial function of n, the coefficients involving Bernoulli numbers B_j , in the form

$$S_k(n) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \ell^k = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k+1}{\ell} B_\ell n^{k+1-\ell},$$
(31)

11

where we use the Bernoulli number of the second kind $B_1 = 1/2$. If we use the Bernoulli number of the first kind $B_1 = -1/2$ and noting all Bernoulli numbers with odd index $k \ge 3$ are zero, then formula (31) becomes (1), i.e.,

$$S_k(n) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \ell^k = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{\ell=0}^k (-1)^\ell B_\ell \binom{k+1}{\ell} n^{k+1-\ell},$$
(32)

where $B_1 = -1/2$.

We first give a corollary of Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.3.

Corollary 4.1. Let $S_k(n)$ be the sum defined by (19) with odd integers n and $k \ge 3$. Then if either (i) $k \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ or (ii) $n = p^{\alpha}$, a positive integer power of a prime p, and $p - 1 \nmid (k - 1)$ implies

$$\frac{1}{k+1}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k}\binom{k+1}{\ell}B_{\ell}n^{k-\ell-1}\in\mathbb{N},$$
(33)

where $B_1 = 1/2$ *, and*

$$\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} (-1)^{\ell} B_{\ell} \binom{k+1}{\ell} n^{k-\ell-1} \in \mathbb{N},$$
(34)

where $B_1 = -1/2$.

Proof. In case (i), by using Proposition 3.1 we know $n^2 | S_k(n)$. Thus, from Faulhaber's formulas (31) and (32), we obtain (33) and (34).

In case (ii), by using Theorem 3.3 we know $(p^{\alpha})^2 | S_k(p^{\alpha})$. Thus, from Faulhaber's formulas (31) and (32), we get (33) and (34).

We now consider the divisibility of the sums of powers of arithmetic sequence $\{a+(i-1)d\}_{1 \le i \le k}$, where (d, k) = 1, denoted by S(a, d; k) and defined by

$$S(a,d;k) := \sum_{i=1}^{k} (a+(i-1)d)^{k} = a^{k} + (a+d)^{k} + \dots + (a+(k-1)d)^{k}.$$
 (35)

Theorem 4.2. Let a, d, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where k is an odd number with (d, k) = 1, and let S(a, d; k) be the series defined by (35). Then $S(a, d; k) \equiv 0 \pmod{k^2}$.

Proof. For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ we have

$$(a + (i - 1)d) \equiv j_i \pmod{k},\tag{36}$$

where $j_i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$. Since $(d, k) = 1, j_1, j_2, ...,$ and j_k are distinct, otherwise $j_i = j_m$ for some i > m implies

$$(a + (i - 1)d) - (a + (m - 1)d) = (i - m)d \equiv 0 \pmod{k},$$

From $(i-m)d \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, we have $k \mid d(i-m)$. Under the condition (d, k) = 1, we infer that $k \mid (i-m)$, which is contrary to the fact that $k \nmid (i-m)$ for any $1 \le m < i \le k$. Therefore, j_1, j_2, \ldots , and j_k are distinct.

From (36), for some ℓ we have

$$(a + (i - 1)d)^{k} - j_{i}^{k} = (j_{i} + \ell k)^{k} - j_{i}^{k}$$

$$= j_{i}^{k-1} \binom{k}{1} (\ell k) + j_{i}^{k-2} \binom{k}{2} (\ell k)^{2} + \dots + \binom{k}{k} (\ell k)^{k}$$

$$\equiv 0 \pmod{k^{2}}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (a + (i-1)d)^k \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k} j_i^k = \sum_{j=1}^{k} j^k \equiv 0 \pmod{k^2},$$

completing the proof of the theorem.

Remark 4.3. If a = d = 1, then S(1, 1; k) = S(1, k) and the result of Theorem 4.2 reduces to *Proposition 2.7.*

Because of the relation $(a + bi)^m = b^m (a/b + i)^m$, there is no loss of generality to consider the sum of the powers of x + i, namely, $\sum_{i=1}^n (x + i)^m$. If x is a positive integer, then the last sum can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x+i)^m = \sum_{i=1}^{n+x} i^m - \sum_{i=1}^{x} i^m.$$

By Faulhaber's theorem, the two sums on the right-hand side are polynomials in (n+x)(n+x+1)and x(x+1), respectively. Using the relation

$$(n+x)(n+x+1) = n(n+2x+1) + x(x+1),$$

we see that

$$[(n+x)(n+x+1)]^{i} - [x(x+1)]^{i} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} \binom{i}{k} [n(n+2x+1)]^{k} [x(x+1)]^{i-k},$$

which is a polynomial in n(n + 2x + 1). Hence, we have the following result.

Proposition 4.4. Let $p \ge 3$ be a prime number, and let n be a positive integer with p as a factor. If x is a positive integer satisfying $p \mid (2x + 1)$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x+i)^m \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$$

for all $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$

Proof. It is sufficient to notice that

$$p^{2} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{i} {i \choose k} [n(n+2x+1)]^{k} [x(x+1)]^{i-k} \right| \square$$

5 Power sum and Stirling numbers of the second kind

The Stirling numbers of the second kind, denoted by $\binom{n}{k}$, count the number of ways to partition a set of n labelled objects into k nonempty unlabelled subsets. The Stirling numbers of the second kind may also be characterized as the coefficients of the expansion of powers of an indeterminate x in terms of the falling factorials $(x)_n = x(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-n+1)$. In particular, $(x)_0 = 1$ because it is an empty product. Hence,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \\ k}(x)_k = x^n.$$

$$(37)$$

Substituting $n \to n+1$ into (37) and noting $\binom{n+1}{0} = 0$ for all $n \ge 0$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} {n+1 \choose k} (x-1)_{k-1} = x^n.$$
(38)

Expression of x^k shown in (37) may help us to write the power sum $S_k(n) = \sum_{j=1}^n j^k$ as

$$S_k(n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^k {k \\ i } (j)_i$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^k {k \\ i } i! {j \choose i}$$

Since ${k \atop 0} = 0$ for all k > 1, by interchanging the sums of the rightmost side of the above equation and noting

$$\binom{n+1}{i+1} = \binom{n}{i} + \binom{n-1}{i} + \dots + \binom{i+1}{i} + \binom{i+1}{i+1}$$
$$= \binom{n}{i} + \binom{n-1}{i} + \dots + \binom{i+1}{i} + \binom{i}{i},$$

we obtain

$$S_{k}(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} {k \choose i} i! \left(\sum_{j=i}^{n} {j \choose i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} {k \choose i} i! {n+1 \choose i+1}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} {k \choose i} \frac{1}{i+1} (n+1)_{i+1} = (n+1) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{i+1} {k \choose i} (n)_{i}.$$

Substituting (38) into $S_k(n) = \sum_{j=1}^n j^k$ and noting $(j-1)_{i-1} = (i-1)! \binom{j-1}{i-1}$ and

$$\binom{n}{i} = \binom{n-1}{i-1} + \binom{n-2}{i-1} + \dots + \binom{i-1}{i-1},$$

we have

$$S_{k}(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} {k+1 \atop i} (j-1)_{i-1}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} {k+1 \atop i} (i-1)! {j-1 \choose i-1}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} {k+1 \atop i} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{n} (i-1)! {j-1 \choose i-1} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} {k+1 \atop i} (i-1)! {n \choose i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{i} {k+1 \atop i} (n)_{i}.$$

Hence, we obtain the following results.

Proposition 5.1. Denote $S_k(n) = \sum_{j=1}^n j^k$ and let $\binom{n}{k}$ be the Stirling numbers of the second kind. Then

$$S_k(n) = (n+1) \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{i+1} {k \choose i} (n)_i$$
(39)

$$S_k(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{i} \left\{ \begin{cases} k+1 \\ i \end{cases} \right\} (n)_i.$$
(40)

Remark 5.2. Formula (39) is familiar, for instance, see (4) in [15].

Since an odd prime $p \mid {p \\ i}$ for all $2 \le i \le p-1$ and ${p \\ 1} = {p \\ p} = 1$, if n = k = p, from (39) we know that

$$\frac{n+1}{i+1}(n)_i = \frac{p+1}{i+1}i!\binom{p}{i}$$

is divisible by p when i = 1 and i = p. Hence we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.3. Denote $S_k(n) = \sum_{j=1}^n j^k$. Then $p \mid S_p(p)$, where $p \ge 3$ is a prime.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank Professor William Y. C. Chen for his twice carefully reading and helpful comments of about ten pages, particularly, his full proof of Theorem 3.4 and his improvements, modification and/or simplification of the proofs of Corollary 2.11, Proposition 2.13, and Remark 2.15, as well as his corrections of typos and grammar checking, all of those lead to a quietly improved and revised version of the original manuscript. We would like to express our gratitude to Dr. Vassia Atanassova, the Executive Editor of NNTDM, for her excellent editing and all her help throughout the process, especially in preparing the current journal format.

References

 Bernoulli, J. (1713). Ars conjectandi, opus posthumum. Accedit Tractatus de seriebus infinitis, et epistola gallicé scripta de ludo pilae reticularis. Basileae: impensis Thurnisiorum, fratrum, 1713.

- [2] Chen, W. Y. C., Fu, A. M., & Zhang, I. F. (2009). Faulhaber's theorem on power sums. Discrete Mathematics, 309(10), 2974–2981.
- [3] Comtet, L. (1974). Advanced Combinatorics. Reidel, Dordrecht.
- [4] Damianou, P., & Schumer, P. (2003). A theorem involving the denominators of Bernoulli numbers. *Mathematics Magazine*, 76(3), 219–224.
- [5] Gould, H. W. (1999). The Girard–Waring power sum formulas for symmetric functions and Fibonacci sequences. *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, 37(2), 135–140.
- [6] Graham, R. L., Knuth, D. E., & Patashnik, O. (1994). Concrete Mathematics. A Foundation for Computer Science (2nd ed.). Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, MA.
- [7] Hardy, G. H.. & Wright, E. M. (1979). *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers* (5th ed.). Oxford, Clarenden Press.
- [8] He, T.-X., & Shapiro, L. W. (2016). Row sums and alternating sums of Riordan arrays. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 507, 77–95.
- [9] He, T.-X., & Shiue, P. J.-S. (2009). On sequences of numbers and polynomials defined by linear recurrence relations of order 2. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, Article ID 709386.
- [10] He, T.-X., & Shiue, P. J.-S. (2020). On the applications of the Girard–Waring identities. *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, 28 (4), 698–708.
- [11] He, T.-X., & Shiue, P. J.-S. (2021). Identities for linear recursive sequences of order 2. *Electronic Research Archive*, 29 (5), 3489–3507.
- [12] He, T.-X., Shiue, P. J.-S., Nie, Z., & Chen, M. (2020). Recursive sequences and Girard– Waring identities and their applications. *Electronic Research Archive*, 28 (2), 1049–1062.
- [13] He, T.-X., Shiue, P. J.-S., & Weng, T.-W. (2014). Hyperbolic expressions of polynomial sequences and parametric number sequences defined by linear recurrence relations of order 2. *Journal of Concrete and Applicable Mathematics*, 12, 63–85.
- [14] Ho, C., Mellblom, G., & Frodyma, M. (2018). On the sum of powers of consecutive integers. *The College Mathematics Journal*, 45 (1), 1–7.
- [15] Hsu, L. C. (1993). A summation rule using Stirling numbers of the second kind. The Fibonacci Quarterly, 31(3), 256–262.
- [16] Kerr, M. (2018). Counting, sums, and series. Available online at: https://www.math.wustl.edu/~matkerr/ASMI.pdf.
- [17] Rosen, K. H. (2000). *Elementary Number Theory and Its Applications* (4th ed.). Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA.