Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics Print ISSN 1310–5132, Online ISSN 2367–8275 2024, Volume 30, Number 2, 436–442 DOI: 10.7546/nntdm.2024.30.2.436-442

# **On unitary Zumkeller numbers**

# **Bhabesh Das**

Department of Mathematics, Arya Vidyapeeth College (Autonomous) Guwahati – 16, Assam, India e-mail: mtbdas99@gmail.com

Received: 31 March 2023 Accepted: 12 July 2024 Revised: 31 May 2024 Online First: 16 July 2024

Abstract: It is well known that if n is a Zumkeller number, then the positive divisors of n can be partitioned into two disjoint subsets of equal sum. Similarly for unitary Zumkeller number n, the unitary divisors of n can be partitioned into two disjoint subsets of equal sum. In this article, we have derived some results related to unitary Zumkeller number, unitary half-Zumkeller number and also presented some numerical examples.

**Keyword:** Zumkeller number, Unitary Zumkeller number, Divisor function, Unitary divisor function.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A25.

# **1** Introduction

The well-known classical perfect numbers are the solution of the functional equation  $\sigma(n) = 2n$ , where the divisor function  $\sigma(n)$  denotes the sum of all positive divisors of n. So far 51 (till May, 2024) such even perfect numbers are discovered [2]. All even perfect numbers [4] are of the form  $n = 2^{p-1}(2^p - 1)$ , where p and  $2^p - 1$  are primes. The prime of the form  $2^p - 1$  is called Mersenne prime. There is no example of an odd perfect number. Using the notion of classical perfect numbers, a generalized notion of perfect numbers had been developed in recent years.

Zumkeller numbers are one of the generalizations of the classical perfect numbers. A positive integer n is said to be a Zumkeller number if the positive divisors of n can be partitioned into two



Copyright © 2024 by the Author. This is an Open Access paper distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

disjoint subsets *A* and *B* such that  $\theta(A) = \theta(B)$ , where  $\theta(D)$  denotes the sum of all elements of the set *D*. For the Zumkeller number *n*,  $\theta(A) + \theta(B) = \sigma(n)$ . In 2003, the idea of Zumkeller number was first introduced by Zumkeller, [5]. Some examples of Zumkeller numbers are 6, 12, 20, 24, 28, 30, 40, etc. A positive integer *n* is said to be a half-Zumkeller number [3] if the proper positive divisors of *n* can be partitioned into two disjoint non-empty subsets of equal sum. For more results on Zumkeller and half-Zumkeller number, see [3].

Unitary perfect numbers [4] are also another generalization of perfect numbers. If *n* is a unitary perfect number, then  $\sigma^*(n) = 2n$ , where the unitary divisor function  $\sigma^*(n)$  denotes the sum of all unitary divisors of *n*. A positive integer *d* is a unitary divisor of a positive integer *n* if  $d \mid n$  and  $gcd(d, \frac{n}{d}) = 1$ . If n > 1 has the prime factorization  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ , then *d* is a unitary divisor of *n* if and only if  $d = p_1^{u_1} p_2^{u_2} \cdots p_r^{u_r}$ , where  $u_i = 0$  or  $u_i = \alpha_i$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, r\}$ . For example, the unitary divisors of 18 are 1, 2, 9 and 18. Note that  $\sigma^*(n)$  is a multiplicative function, i.e., if gcd(m,n) = 1, then  $\sigma^*(nm) = \sigma^*(n)\sigma^*(m)$ .

The following is a standard well-known result for unitary divisor function  $\sigma^*$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** If  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$  is the prime factorization of the number n > 1, then

$$\sigma^*(n) = \prod_{i=1}^r (1+p_i^{\alpha_i}) \text{ and } \frac{\sigma^*(n)}{n} = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{(1+p_i^{\alpha_i})}{p_i^{\alpha_i}} \le \prod_{i=1}^r (\frac{1+p_i}{p_i})$$

### 2 Unitary Zumkeller numbers

**Definition 2.1**. A positive integer *n* is said to be a unitary Zumkeller number [6] if the unitary positive divisors of *n* can be partitioned into two disjoint subsets *A* and *B* such that  $\theta(A) = \theta(B)$ , where  $\theta(D)$  denotes the sum of all elements of the set *D*. Following are some examples of unitary Zumkeller numbers, [6]:

6, 30, 42, 60, 66, 70, 78, 90, 102, 114, 138, 150, 174, 186, ...

The following proposition gives necessary conditions for a unitary Zumkeller number.

**Proposition 2.1.** If n is a unitary Zumkeller number, then

- (i)  $\sigma^*(n)$  is even.
- (ii)  $\sigma^*(n) \ge 2n$ . If  $\sigma^*(n) = 2n$ , then n is a unitary perfect number, i.e., unitary perfect numbers are unitary Zumkeller numbers.

The following proposition gives a necessary and sufficient condition for n to be a unitary Zumkeller number.

**Proposition 2.2.** The integer *n* is unitary Zumkeller if and only if  $\frac{\sigma^*(n)}{2} - n$  is a sum of some distinct proper positive unitary divisors of *n*.

**Proposition 2.3.** If *n* is a unitary Zumkeller number and *p* is a prime with gcd(n, p) = 1, then  $np^{\alpha}$  is a unitary Zumkeller number for any positive integer  $\alpha$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{A, B\}$  be a unitary Zumkeller partition of *n*, then  $\{A \cup p^{\alpha}A, B \cup p^{\alpha}B\}$  is a unitary Zumkeller partition of  $np^{\alpha}$ .

**Example 2.1.**  $A = \{1, 2, 5, 9, 10, 18, 45\}$  and  $B = \{90\}$  are unitary Zumkeller partitions of 90. Since gcd(90, 7) = 1, so  $A \cup p^{\alpha}A = \{1, 2, 5, 9, 10, 18, 45, 49, 98, 245, 441, 490, 882, 2205\}$  and  $B \cup p^{\alpha}B = \{90, 4410\}$  are unitary Zumkeller partitions of  $4410 = 90 \times 7^2$ .

From the Proposition 2.3, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.1.** If the integer n is unitary Zumkeller and w is relatively prime to n, then nw is a unitary Zumkeller number.

The following proposition follows from Lemma 1.1 which was mentioned earlier before the beginning of Section 2 and Proposition 2.1.

**Proposition 2.4.** If  $n = \prod_{i=1}^{r} p_i^{\alpha_i}$  is the prime factorization of the unitary Zumkeller number *n*, then  $2 \leq \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{p_i + 1}{p_i}.$ 

**Proposition 2.5.** *There is no unitary Zumkeller number of the form*  $n = p^{\alpha}$ *, where p is prime and*  $\alpha \ge 1$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** The only unitary Zumkeller number *n* of the form  $p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}$  is 6, where  $p_i$  are distinct primes and  $\alpha_i \ge 1$ , i = 1, 2.

*Proof.* Let  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}$  be a unitary Zumkeller number. Without loss of generality, let  $p_1 < p_2$ . By Proposition 2.1,  $\sigma^*(n) \ge 2n$ . That is,  $\sigma^*(p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}) \ge 2p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}$ .

This leads us to  $p_1^{\alpha_1}(p_2^{\alpha_2}-1) \le p_2^{\alpha_2}+1$ . This inequality can be written as

$$p_1^{\alpha_1} \le 1 + \frac{2}{(p_2^{\alpha_2} - 1)}$$

 $1 \le \alpha_1$  and since  $2 \le p_1 < p_2$ , we see that  $3 \le p_2$ . But, if  $3 < p_2$  or  $1 < \alpha_2$ , then

$$1 + \frac{2}{(p_2^{\alpha_2} - 1)} < 2$$

Hence  $p_2 = 3$  and  $\alpha_2 = 1$ . Going back to the same inequality, we get that

$$p_1^{\alpha_1} \le 1 + \frac{2}{(3-1)} = 2$$

This gives us that  $p_1 = 2$  and  $\alpha_2 = 1$ . This completes the proof.

438

The above proposition tells us in particular that the only unitary Zumkeller number of the form  $2^{\alpha} p_1^{\alpha_1}$  is 6. It would be interesting to investigate other unitary Zumkeller numbers of the form  $2^{\alpha} p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ . We provide one such result.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let  $n = 2^{\alpha} p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} p_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$  be a unitary Zumkeller number, where  $3 \le p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < \cdots < p_r$  and  $\alpha_i$  are positive integers, then

(i) if  $p_1 = 3$ , then  $p_i \ge 5$ , where  $2 \le i \le r$ .

(*ii*) if r = 2 and  $p_1 = 5$ , then  $p_2 = 7$ .

*Proof.* If  $n = 2^{\alpha} p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$  is a unitary Zumkeller number, then from Proposition 2.4 it is evident that

$$2 \leq \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{p_1 + 1}{p_1}\right) \left(\frac{p_2 + 1}{p_2}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p_r + 1}{p_r}\right).$$

(i) Any prime p,  $1 + \frac{1}{p} > 1$ . If  $p_1 = 3$ , then from the above inequality we can write

$$2 \le \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{p_2}) \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{p_3}) \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{p_r}) \le 2(1 + \frac{1}{p_2}) \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{p_3}) \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{p_r}).$$

The last inequality is true for any odd prime  $p_i \ge 5$ , where  $2 \le i \le r$ .

(ii) If  $p_1 = 5$  and  $p_2 \neq 7$ , then  $2 \le \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdot \frac{12}{11} = 1.93636636 < 2$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore, we must have  $p_2 = 7$ .

Moreover, from this proposition we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $p_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le r$ , be distinct primes and  $\alpha \ge 1$ . If  $n = 2^{\alpha} p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r$  is a unitary *Zumkeller number, then*  $p_1 = 3$  or  $p_1 = 5$  and  $p_2 = 7$ .

## **3** Unitary half-Zumkeller numbers

**Definition 3.1.** A positive integer *n* is said to be a unitary half-Zumkeller number [7] if the proper unitary positive divisors of *n* can be partitioned into two disjoint non-empty subsets *C* and *D* such that  $\theta(C) = \theta(D)$ .

The numbers 6, 12, 20, 30, 42, 56, 60, 66, 70, 72, 78, 84, 90, 120 are some examples of unitary half-Zumkeller number [7]. Unitary half-Zumkeller numbers may not be unitary Zumkeller number. For n = 120,  $\sigma^*(n) = 216 < 2n$ , so 120 is not a unitary Zumkeller number, but the proper unitary divisors of 120 can be partitioned into two disjoint sets  $C = \{8, 40\}$  and  $D = \{1, 3, 5, 15, 24\}$  of equal sums, i.e., 120 is a half-Zumkeller number.

Following is a necessary and sufficient condition for *n* to be a unitary half-Zumkeller number.

**Proposition 3.1.** A positive integer *n* is a unitary half-Zumkeller number if and only if

$$\frac{\sigma^*(n)-n}{2}$$

is a sum of some distinct proper positive unitary divisors of n.

**Proposition 3.2.** *If* m and n are unitary half-Zumkeller numbers with gcd(m, n) = 1, then mn is a unitary half-Zumkeller number.

**Proposition 3.3.** If  $n = 2^{\alpha} p$  is a unitary half-Zumkeller number, then p must be a Mersenne prime or Fermat prime.

*Proof.* The proper unitary divisors of the number  $n = 2^{\alpha} p$  are 1,  $2^{\alpha}$  and p. Let C and D be two partitions of n. Then  $C = \{2^{\alpha}\}$  and  $D = \{1, p\}$  or  $C = \{1, 2^{\alpha}\}$  and  $D = \{p\}$ .

- <u>Case 1.</u> If  $C = \{2^{\alpha}\}$  and  $D = \{1, p\}$ , then  $2^{\alpha} = 1 + p \Rightarrow p = 2^{\alpha} 1$ . The prime number of the form  $p = 2^{\alpha} 1$  is called Mersenne prime, where  $\alpha$  must be a prime number.
- <u>Case 2.</u> If  $C = \{1, 2^{\alpha}\}$  and  $D = \{p\}$ , then  $2^{\alpha} + 1 = p$ . The prime number of the form  $p = 2^{\alpha} + 1$  is called Fermat prime, where  $\alpha$  must be a power of 2.

From the above proposition, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.1.** If  $n = 2^{p-1}(2^p - 1)$  is a perfect number, where  $2^p - 1$  is Mersenne prime, then 2n is a unitary half-Zumkeller number.

**Proposition 3.4.** If  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}$  with  $p_1 < p_2$  is a unitary half-Zumkeller number, then n = 72, *i.e.*,  $p_1 = 2, \alpha_1 = 3, p_2 = 3, \alpha_2 = 2$ .

*Proof.* If  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}$  is an odd and unitary half-Zumkeller number, then  $\sigma^*(n) - n$  must be even. Since the difference between two odd numbers is always even, so  $\sigma^*(n)$  must be odd. But  $\sigma^*(n) = (1 + p_1^{\alpha_1})(1 + p_2^{\alpha_2})$ , which is an even integer. Therefore,  $\sigma^*(n) - n$  cannot be even if  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}$  is odd. Thus, there does not exist any odd unitary half-Zumkeller number of the form  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}$ . This implies that  $p_1 = 2$  and  $\alpha_1 \ge 1$ .

Let  $n = 2^{\alpha_1} p^{\alpha_2}$ . Using Proposition 3.3, we assume without loss of generality that  $\alpha_2 \ge 2$ . Now the unitary divisors of *n* are  $1, 2^{\alpha_1}, p^{\alpha_2}$  and  $2^{\alpha_1} p^{\alpha_2}$ . For *n* to be unitary half-Zumkeller, either  $1 + 2^{\alpha_1} = p^{\alpha_2}$  or  $1 + p^{\alpha_2} = 2^{\alpha_1}$ .

<u>Case 1.</u>  $1 + 2^{\alpha_1} = p^{\alpha_2}$  and  $\alpha_2 = 2m$ . In this case,  $2^{\alpha_1} = p^{2m} - 1$ . Hence  $2^{\alpha_1} = (p^m - 1)(p^m + 1)$ . This implies that  $(p^m + 1) = 2^a$  and  $(p^m - 1) = 2^b$  for some positive integers *a* and *b*. Hence,  $2 = 2^a - 2^b$ . This implies that a = 2 and b = 1. This in turn implies that p = 3 and m = 1. This further implies that  $\alpha_1 = 3$ .

- <u>Case 2.</u>  $1 + 2^{\alpha_1} = p^{\alpha_2}$  and  $\alpha_2 = 2m + 1$ . Here  $2^{\alpha_1} + 1 = p^{2m+1}$ . In this case,  $2^{\alpha_1} = p^{(2m+1)} 1$  and hence  $2^{\alpha_1} = (p-1)(p^{2m} + p^{(2m-1)} + \dots + p + 1)$ . But  $(p^{2m} + p^{(2m-1)} + \dots + p + 1)$  is odd for any odd prime *p*. Therefore,  $2^{\alpha_1} = (p-1)(p^{2m} + p^{(2m-1)} + \dots + p + 1)$  is impossible.
- <u>Case 3.</u>  $1 + p^{\alpha_2} = 2^{\alpha_1}$  and  $\alpha_2 = 2m + 1$ . Here  $2^{\alpha_1} = p^{(2m+1)} + 1 = (p+1)(p^{2m} p^{(2m-1)} + \dots + 1)$ . But  $(p^{2m} p^{(2m-1)} + \dots + 1)$  is odd for any odd prime *p*. Hence,  $2^{\alpha_1} = (p+1)(p^{2m} p^{(2m-1)} + \dots + 1)$  is impossible.
- <u>Case 4.</u>  $1 + p^{\alpha_2} = 2^{\alpha_1}$  and  $\alpha_2 = 2m$ . Here  $2^{\alpha_1} = p^{2m} + 1$ . Clearly,  $\alpha_1 \neq 1, 2$ . Since *p* is an odd prime, *p* is of the form  $4t \pm 1$  for some positive integer *t*. Then,  $p^{2m} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Hence,  $p^{2m} + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . But for  $\alpha_1 \ge 3$ ,  $2^{\alpha_1} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Hence  $2^{\alpha_1} = p^{2m} + 1$  is impossible.

**Proposition 3.5.** There does not exist any odd unitary half-Zumkeller number.

We leave the following problem for other researchers to solve.

**Conjecture 3.1.** If *n* is even and Unitary Zumkeller number, then *n* is unitary half-Zumkeller number.

## 4 Conclusion

We have studied unitary Zumkeller numbers and unitary half-Zumkeller numbers in this paper. Similarly, it would be interesting to study generalizations of Zumkeller numbers of other forms.

### Acknowledgements

The author sincerely thanks the anonymous referees for their valuable feedback and suggestions, which helped in improving the overall presentation of the paper.

#### References

- [1] Clark, S., Dalzell, J., Holliday, J., Leach, D., Liatti, M., & Walsh, M. (2008). Zumkeller numbers. In: *Mathematical Abundance Conference, Illinois State University*, April 18, 2008.
- [2] Great Internet Mersenne Prime Search (2024). Available online at: https://www. mersenne.org
- [3] Peng, Y., & Bhaskara Rao, K. P. S. (2013). On Zumkeller numbers. *Journal of Number Theory*, 133(4), 1135–1155.
- [4] Subbarao, M. V., & Warren, L. J. (1966). Unitary perfect numbers. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 9(2), 147–153.

- [5] The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (2010). Zumkeller or integer-perfect numbers: Numbers n whose divisors can be partitioned into two disjoint sets with equal sum. Available online at: https://oeis.org/A083207.
- [6] The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (2010). Unitary Zumkeller numbers: Numbers k whose unitary divisors can be partitioned into two disjoint subsets whose sums are both usigma(k)/2. Available online at: https://oeis.org/A290466.
- [7] The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (2010). Unitary half-Zumkeller numbers: Numbers k whose unitary proper divisors can be partitioned into two disjoint sets whose sums are equal. Available online at: https://oeis.org/A290467.