# Note on the general monic quartic equation 

Ioana Petkova<br>Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Sofia University<br>Sofia, Bulgaria<br>e-mail: ioana_petkovaa@abv.bg

Received: 22 September 2023 Accepted: 27 October 2023 Online First: 21 November 2023


#### Abstract

In this paper we present a new approach to solving the general monic quartic equation. Moreover, we show that each quartic equation could be considered as a quasi-reciprocal equation, after a suitable translation of the variable.
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## 1 Introduction and historical data

Let

$$
f(x)=x^{4}+a x^{3}+b x^{2}+c x+d \in \mathbb{C}[x] .
$$

The question for solving the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a long history. There are known three fundamental ways to solve (1). They are based on Vieta's substitution $x=z-\frac{a}{4}$, which transforms (1) into the depressed equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=z^{4}+p z^{2}+q z+r=0, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $p, q, r$ depend on $a, b, c, d$.
The Italian mathematician Ludovico Ferrari (1522-1565) solved (2) with the help of the method known as Ferrari's method (see [4]). After Ferrari, the French mathematician René
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Descartes (1596-1650) proposed another method for solving (2), using factorisation of $h(z)$ with the help of two quadratic polynomials (see [9] and [7], p. 361). The third way for solving (2) was proposed by the Swiss mathematician Leonhard Euler (1707-1783). His method is a generalization of Hüdde's method for solving the trinomial cubic equation

$$
x^{3}+p x+q=0
$$

(see [7], pp. 358-360).
We shall describe the above three ways for solving (2) in the appendix of this paper.
A general approach to solving (1) and other algebraic equations (using symmetric functions of their roots) was developed by the French mathematician Joseph-Louis Lagrange (1736-1813) (see [7], pp. 363-368, and [5]).

More details about solving (1) are contained in [10] and [11].
The topic for the quartic equation and its solving is still actual (see for example [1-3, 6]). In [8] a review of the known algorithms for solving (1) is made and a universal algorithm that generalizes them is proposed.

## 2 Our approach to solving (1)

An essential difference between our approach to solving (1) and the ways mentioned above is that we keep the term $y^{3}$, which plays a fundamental role. First, we substitute in (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=y+\lambda, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is an arbitrary parameter, and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(y)=y^{4}+A(\lambda) y^{3}+B(\lambda) y^{2}+C(\lambda) y+D(\lambda)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D(\lambda)=f(\lambda)=\lambda^{4}+a \lambda^{3}+b \lambda^{2}+c \lambda+d ;  \tag{5}\\
& C(\lambda)=\frac{f^{\prime}(\lambda)}{1!}=f^{\prime}(\lambda)=4 \lambda^{3}+3 a \lambda^{2}+2 b \lambda+c ;  \tag{6}\\
& B(\lambda)=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)}{2!}=6 \lambda^{2}+3 a \lambda+b ;  \tag{7}\\
& A(\lambda)=\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\lambda)}{3!}=4 \lambda+a . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\lambda$ satisfy the equation:

$$
\left(4 \lambda+a^{2}\right) f(\lambda)=\left(f^{\prime}(\lambda)\right)^{2},
$$

which is equivalent to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A(\lambda))^{2} D(\lambda)=(C(\lambda))^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5), (6), (8), after computation (9) yields the following cubic equation with respect to $\lambda$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a^{3}-4 a b+8 c\right) \lambda^{3}+\left(a^{2} b-4 b^{2}+2 a c+16 d\right) \lambda^{2}+\left(a^{2} c+8 a d-4 b c\right) \lambda+a^{2} d-c^{2}=0 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we shall consider some cases:

1) $\lambda_{0}=-\frac{a}{4}$ is a root with multiplicity 3 of (10).

Hence, $A\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=0$ and (9) implies $C\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=0$. Then for $\lambda=\lambda_{0}(4)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{4}+B\left(\lambda_{0}\right) y^{2}+D\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (11) is a biquadratic equation, it is trivial to be solved. Let $y_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$ are all roots of (11). Then, from (3) we obtain that

$$
x_{i}=-\frac{a}{4}+y_{i}, i=1,2,3,4,
$$

are all roots of (1);
2) The Equation (10) has a root $\lambda^{*}$ different from $-\frac{a}{4}$.

Then $A\left(\lambda^{*}\right) \neq 0$.
Now we consider the following subcases.
2.1) For each root $\lambda$ of the Equation (10), such that $\lambda \neq-\frac{a}{4}, D(\lambda)=0$ (in particular, $\left.D\left(\lambda^{*}\right)=0\right)$.
Then (9) implies $C\left(\lambda^{*}\right)=0$ and (4) for $\lambda=\lambda^{*}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{4}+A\left(\lambda^{*}\right) y^{3}+B\left(\lambda^{*}\right) y^{2}=0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Equation (12) has roots $y_{1}=0, y_{2}=0$, while its roots $y_{3}$ and $y_{4}$ satisfy the quadratic equation

$$
y^{2}+A\left(\lambda^{*}\right) y+B\left(\lambda^{*}\right)=0 .
$$

Then (3) implies that

$$
x_{i}=y_{i}+\lambda^{*}, i=1,2,3,4,
$$

are all roots of (1).
The main subcase of Case 2 ) is:
2.2) There exists a root $\tilde{\lambda}$ of the Equation (10), such that $\tilde{\lambda} \neq-\frac{a}{4}$ (i.e., $A(\tilde{\lambda}) \neq 0$ ) and $C(\tilde{\lambda}) \neq 0$.
Then for $\lambda=\tilde{\lambda}$ we rewrite (9) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\tilde{\lambda})=\frac{(C(\tilde{\lambda}))^{2}}{(A(\tilde{\lambda}))^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $C(\tilde{\lambda}) \neq 0$, then $D(\tilde{\lambda}) \neq 0$. Therefore, $y=0$ is not a root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{4}+A(\tilde{\lambda}) y^{3}+B(\tilde{\lambda}) y^{2}+C(\tilde{\lambda}) y+D(\tilde{\lambda})=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives us the possibility to divide both sides of (14) by $y^{2}$ (like in the case of reciprocal equations of degree 4) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}+D(\tilde{\lambda}) \frac{1}{y^{2}}+A(\tilde{\lambda})\left(y+\frac{C(\tilde{\lambda})}{A(\tilde{\lambda})} \frac{1}{y}\right)+B(\tilde{\lambda})=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must note that the Equation (14) is not a reciprocal equation, but it is similar, since the method for its solving is almost the same. For this reason we call (14) quasi-reciprocal equation. To solve (15), we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=y+\frac{C(\tilde{\lambda})}{A(\tilde{\lambda})} \cdot \frac{1}{y} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (16) yields

$$
z^{2}=y^{2}+\frac{(C(\tilde{\lambda}))^{2}}{(A(\tilde{\lambda}))^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{y^{2}}+2 \frac{C(\tilde{\lambda})}{A(\tilde{\lambda})}
$$

and from (13) we obtain

$$
z^{2}=y^{2}+D(\tilde{\lambda}) \frac{1}{y^{2}}+2 \frac{C(\tilde{\lambda})}{A(\tilde{\lambda})}
$$

Hence,

$$
y^{2}+D(\tilde{\lambda}) \frac{1}{y^{2}}=z^{2}-2 \frac{C(\tilde{\lambda})}{A(\tilde{\lambda})}
$$

Thus (15) yields the quadratic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2}+A(\tilde{\lambda}) z+B(\tilde{\lambda})-2 \frac{C(\tilde{\lambda})}{A(\tilde{\lambda})}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the roots of (17) be $z_{i}, i=1,2$. Then from (16) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{i}=y+\frac{C(\tilde{\lambda})}{A(\tilde{\lambda})} \cdot \frac{1}{y}, i=1,2 . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously (18) gives us two quadratic equations for $y$. Let us denote their roots by $y_{1}, y_{2}$, $y_{3}$ and $y_{4}$. Then from (3) we obtain that

$$
x_{i}=y_{i}+\tilde{\lambda}, i=1,2,3,4,
$$

are all roots of (1).

## 3 Conclusion

Our method for solving (1) shows that for every monic quartic equation there exists a translation of its variable, which turns it into a quasi-reciprocal equation. Moreover, the number of these translations for our method is not greater than 3 (because of (10)).

An open problem is if there exists a similar method for solving algebraic equations of degree $n \geq 5$.

## 4 Appendix

### 4.1 A short description of Ferrari's method for solving (2)

Ferrari started from the identity

$$
z^{4}+p z^{2}+q z+r=\left(u_{\alpha}(z)\right)^{2}-v_{\alpha}(z)
$$

where:

$$
u_{\alpha}(z)=z^{2}+\frac{p}{2}+\alpha ; v_{\alpha}(z)=2 \alpha z^{2}-q z+\left(\alpha^{2}+p \alpha-r+\frac{p^{2}}{4}\right)
$$

and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is an arbitrary parameter. After that Ferrari chose such $\alpha$ that the discriminant of $v_{\alpha}(z)$ is 0 , i.e.,

$$
q^{2}-4.2 \alpha\left(\alpha^{2}+p \alpha-r+\frac{p^{2}}{4}\right)=0
$$

The above resolvent equation is a qubic equation with respect to $\alpha$. Let $\lambda$ is one of its roots. Then

$$
v_{\lambda}(z)=2 \lambda(z-\lambda)^{2}=(\sqrt{2 \lambda}(z-\lambda))^{2}=:\left(w_{\lambda}(z)\right)^{2} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
z^{4}+p z^{2}+q z+r=\left(u_{\lambda}(z)\right)^{2}-v_{\lambda}(z)=\left(u_{\lambda}(z)\right)^{2}-\left(w_{\lambda}(z)\right)^{2} .
$$

Hence,

$$
z^{4}+p z^{2}+q z+r=\left(u_{\lambda}(z)+w_{\lambda}(z)\right)\left(u_{\lambda}(z)-w_{\lambda}(z)\right) .
$$

Therefore, (2) is equivalent to the following two quadratic equations:

$$
u_{\lambda}(z)+w_{\lambda}(z)=0 ; \quad u_{\lambda}(z)-w_{\lambda}(z)=0 .
$$

### 4.2 A short description of Descartes' method for solving (2)

To solve (2), Descartes set

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{4}+p z^{2}+q z+r=\left(z^{2}+u z+v\right)\left(z^{2}-u z+t\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u, v, t$ are unknown coefficients. Hence,

$$
z^{4}+p z^{2}+q z+r=z^{4}+\left(t+v-u^{2}\right) z^{2}+(u t-u v) z+v t .
$$

Therefore,

$$
t+v=p+u^{2} ; t-v=\frac{q}{u} ; v t=r .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
t & =\frac{1}{2}\left(p+u^{2}+\frac{q}{u}\right) ;  \tag{20}\\
v & =\frac{1}{2}\left(p+u^{2}-\frac{q}{u}\right) ;  \tag{21}\\
v t & =r .
\end{align*}
$$

After eliminitation of $t$ and $v$ from the above three equalities, Descartes obtained the resolvent equation

$$
u^{6}+2 p u^{4}+\left(p^{2}-4 r\right) u^{2}-q^{2}=0 .
$$

The last after substituton $u^{2}=y$ yields the cubic equation

$$
y^{3}+2 p y^{2}+\left(p^{2}-4 r\right) y-q^{2}=0 .
$$

For an arbitrary root $y$ of the above equation the corresponding $u$ is given by $u=\sqrt{y}$ and then the corresponding $t$ and $v$ are obtained from (20) and (21). For these $u, v, t$ (19) shows that (2) is reduced to the following two quadratic equations:

$$
z^{2}+u z+v=0 ; z^{2}-u z+t=0
$$

### 4.3 A short description of Euler's method for solving (2)

To solve (2), Euler used Hüdde's substitution (but for three variables):

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=u+v+w, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u, v, w$ are unknown variables. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{2}= & u^{2}+v^{2}+w^{2}+2(u v+u w+v w) ; \\
z^{4}= & \left(u^{2}+v^{2}+w^{2}\right)^{2}+4\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+w^{2}\right)(u v+u w+v w)+4\left(u^{2} v^{2}+u^{2} w^{2}+v^{2} w^{2}\right) \\
& +8 u v w(u+v+w) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Eliminating the expression $u v+u w+v w$ from the above two equalities and using (22), Euler obtained

$$
z^{4}-2\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+w^{2}\right) z^{2}-8 u v w z+\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+w^{2}\right)^{2}-4\left(u^{2} v^{2}+u^{2} w^{2}+v^{2} w^{2}\right)=0 .
$$

Comparing the last equation with (2) Euler received:

$$
u^{2}+v^{2}+w^{2}=-\frac{p}{2} ; \quad u v w=-\frac{q}{8} ; \quad u^{2} v^{2}+u^{2} w^{2}+v^{2} w^{2}=\frac{p^{2}-4 r}{16} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{2}+v^{2}+w^{2} & =-\frac{p}{2} ; \\
u^{2} v^{2}+u^{2} w^{2}+v^{2} w^{2} & =\frac{p^{2}-4 r}{16} ; \\
u^{2} v^{2} w^{2} & =\frac{q^{2}}{64} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, the above three equalities are Vieta's formulae for the cubic (resolvent) equation

$$
t^{3}+\frac{p}{2} t^{2}+\frac{p^{2}-4 r}{16} t-\frac{q^{2}}{64}=0
$$

with roots: $t_{1}=u^{2}, t_{2}=v^{2} ; t_{3}=w^{2}$.
Thus, using (22), Euler finally obtained that the roots of (2) are given by

$$
z=\sqrt{t_{1}}+\sqrt{t_{2}}+\sqrt{t_{3}}
$$

where $\sqrt{t_{1}}, \sqrt{t_{2}}, \sqrt{t_{3}}$ are chosen so that

$$
\sqrt{t_{1}} \sqrt{t_{2}} \sqrt{t_{3}}=-\frac{q}{8} .
$$
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