

Narayana sequence and the Brocard–Ramanujan equation

Mustafa Ismail¹, Salah Eddine Rihane² and M. Anwar³

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
University of Ain Shams, Egypt
e-mail: mostafaesmail_p@sci.asu.edu.eg

² Department of Mathematics, Institute of Science and Technology,
University Center of Mila, Algeria
e-mail: salahrihane@hotmail.fr

³ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
University of Ain Shams, Egypt
e-mails: mohammed.anwar@hotmail.com, mohamedanwar@sci.asu.edu.eg

Received: 20 July 2022

Revised: 25 April 2023

Accepted: 27 June 2023

Online First: 6 July 2023

Abstract: Let $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be the Narayana sequence defined by the recurrence $a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-3}$ for all $n \geq 3$ with initial values $a_0 = 0$ and $a_1 = a_2 = 1$. In this paper, we fully characterize the 3-adic valuation of $a_n + 1$ and $a_n - 1$ and then we find all positive integer solutions (u, m) to the Brocard–Ramanujan equation $m! + 1 = u^2$ where u is a Narayana number.

Keywords: Narayana sequence, Factorials, p -adic valuation.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B39, 11D72.

1 Introduction

Diophantine equations involving factorial numbers have been studied by many mathematicians in the last few years. By Bertrand's postulate, we can prove that $n!$ is a perfect power only when $n = 1$. However, one of the most famous among such equations was posed by Brocard [4] in 1876



and independently by Ramanujan [12] in 1913. This Diophantine equation

$$m! + 1 = u^2 \tag{1}$$

is now known as *Brocard–Ramanujan equation*.

The three known solutions $m = 4, 5, 7$ are easy to check, meanwhile, no other solutions exist with $m \leq 10^9$ as it has been proved by Berndt and Galaway in [2]. Although Overholt [11] showed that the equation (1) has only many solutions under a weak version of the ABC conjecture, the Brocard–Ramanujan equation is still an open problem. Grossman and Luca [6] showed that if k is fixed, and F_n is the n -th Fibonacci number, then there are only finitely many positive integers n such that

$$F_n = m_1! + m_2! + \dots + m_k!$$

holds for some positive integers m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k . Moreover, all the solutions for the case $k \leq 2$ were determined. In 1999, Luca [7] proved that the n -th Fibonacci number F_n is a product of factorials only when $n = 1, 2, 3, 6$ and 12 . Furthermore, Luca and Stanica [8] showed that the largest product of distinct Fibonacci numbers which is a product of factorials is

$$F_1 F_2 F_3 F_4 F_5 F_6 F_8 F_{10} F_{12} = 11! .$$

In 2012 and 2016, Marques [5,9] proved that $(u, m) = (4, 5)$ is the only solution of Eq. (1) where u is a Fibonacci number and there is no solution of Eq. (1) when u is a Tribonacci number. Let $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be the Narayana sequence defined by the recurrence $a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-3}$ for all $n \geq 3$ with initial values $a_0 = 0$ and $a_1 = a_2 = 1$. The first terms of this sequence are

$$0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 28, 41, 60, 88, 129, 189, 277.$$

Some properties of the Narayana sequence and its generalizations can be found in [1, 3]. We are following the same technique used in [5] by Vinicius Facó and Diego Marques. More precisely, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *There are no positive integer solutions (m, u) with $u = a_n$ for the Brocard–Ramanujan equation (1), where a_n is the n -th member of the Narayana sequence.*

2 Auxiliary results

Before proceeding further, some lemmas will be needed. The next lemma provides a formula for the Narayana numbers.

Lemma 2.1. *For all positive integers m, n , we have*

$$a_{m+n} = a_{m-1}a_{n+2} + a_{m-3}a_{n+1} + a_{m-2}a_n.$$

Proof. We prove this result using induction on n . At $n = 0$, we have $a_{m-1}a_2 + a_{m-3}a_1 + a_{m-2}a_0 = a_m$. So the relation is true at $n = 0$. Now, assume that the relation is true for all $j \leq n$. In particular,

$$a_{m+k} = a_{m-1}a_{k+2} + a_{m-3}a_{k+1} + a_{m-2}a_k$$

and we want to prove this relation at $n = k + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{m+k+1} &= a_{m+k} + a_{m+k-2} \\
&= a_{m-1}a_{k+2} + a_{m-3}a_{k+1} + a_{m-2}a_k + a_{m-1}a_k + a_{m-3}a_{k-1} + a_{m-2}a_{k-2} \\
&= a_{m-1}a_{k+3} + a_{m-3}a_{k+2} + a_{m-2}a_{k+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, the relation is true for every positive integer n . \square

The following lemma gives the upper and lower bound for the Narayana numbers.

Lemma 2.2. *For all integers $n \geq 1$, we have $\alpha^{n-3} \leq a_n \leq \alpha^{n-1}$, where α is the real root of the characteristic polynomial $f(x) = x^3 - x^2 - 1$ given by*

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \sqrt[3]{\frac{29 - 3\sqrt{93}}{2}} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{29 + 3\sqrt{93}}{2}} \right).$$

Proof. Using induction on n . \square

The p -adic order $v_p(k)$ of k is the exponent of the highest power of a prime p , which divides k . The next lemma gives the upper and lower bound of p -adic of factorials.

Lemma 2.3. *For any integer $m \geq 1$ and prime p , we have*

$$\frac{m}{p-1} - \left\lfloor \frac{\log m}{\log p} \right\rfloor - 1 \leq v_p(m!) \leq \frac{m-1}{p-1}.$$

Proof. This formula can be found in [10]. \square

Lemma 2.4.

1. *If $i \equiv 16, 21 \pmod{24}$, then $a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$;*
2. *If $i \equiv 7 \pmod{24}$, then $a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.*

Proof. Case (1): $i \equiv 16, 21 \pmod{24}$.

- Subcase (1): $i \equiv 16 \pmod{24}$. We prove that $a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ using induction. At $k = 16$, we have $a_{16} \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$. Now, assume that $a_{24k+16} \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ and we want to prove that $a_{24(k+1)+16} \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{24(k+1)+16} &= a_{23}a_{24k+18} + a_{21}a_{24k+17} + a_{22}a_{24k+16} \\
&\equiv a_{24k+16} \pmod{9} \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{9}.
\end{aligned}$$

Subcase (2) and Case (2) can be done in the same way. \square

Proposition 2.5. *For all integers s and $n \geq 2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{8s3^n} &\equiv 3^{n+3} \cdot 2s + 3^{n+2} \cdot 2s \pmod{3^{n+4}}, \\
a_{8s3^{n+1}} &\equiv 3^{n+2} \cdot 5s + 3^{n+1} \cdot s + 1 \pmod{3^{n+4}}, \\
a_{8s3^{n+2}} &\equiv 3^{n+3} \cdot 2s + 3^{n+2} \cdot 5s + 1 \pmod{3^{n+4}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Proof. We prove this proposition using induction on n . At $n = 2$ we want to prove the following:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{72s} &\equiv 3^4 \cdot 8s \pmod{3^6}; \\ a_{72s+1} &\equiv 3^3 \cdot 16s + 1 \pmod{3^6}; \\ a_{72s+2} &\equiv 3^4 \cdot 11s + 1 \pmod{3^6}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

We can prove this by using induction on s . At $s = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 374009739309 = a_{72} &\equiv 648 \pmod{3^6}; \\ 548137914373 = a_{73} &\equiv 433 \pmod{3^6}; \\ 803335158406 = a_{74} &\equiv 163 \pmod{3^6}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the initial step. Now, assume that the congruences are true at $s - 1$ and we want to prove them at s . Using the inductive hypothesis on $s - 1$, the definition of the Narayana numbers and Lemma 2.1, one can deduce the following:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{72s} &= a_{72+72(s-1)} = a_{71} a_{72(s-1)+2} + a_{69} a_{72(s-1)+1} + a_{70} a_{72(s-1)} \\ &\equiv 459 (3^5 \cdot 2(s-1) + 3^4 \cdot 5(s-1) + 1) + 189 (3^4 \cdot 5(s-1) + 3^3 \cdot (s-1) + 1) \\ &\quad + 514 (3^5 \cdot 2(s-1) + 3^4 \cdot 2(s-1)) \pmod{729} \\ &\equiv 3^4 \cdot 8s \pmod{729}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same manner, one can deduce the following:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{72s+1} &\equiv 3^3 \cdot 16s + 1 \pmod{729}; \\ a_{72s+2} &\equiv 3^4 \cdot 11s + 1 \pmod{729}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the congruences (3) hold for $s \geq 1$ and $n = 2$. Given $s \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$, assume the congruences (2) are true for $n - 1$ and we want to prove them at n . Using the inductive hypothesis and the definition of the Narayana numbers, one can deduce the following:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{3^{n-1} \cdot 8s} &= 3^{n+2} \cdot 2s + 3^{n+1} \cdot 2s + c_0 \cdot 3^{n+3}; \\ a_{3^{n-1} \cdot 8s+1} &= 3^{n+1} \cdot 5s + 3^n \cdot s + 1 + 3^{n+3} \cdot c_1; \\ a_{3^{n-1} \cdot 8s+2} &= 3^{n+2} \cdot 2s + 3^{n+1} \cdot 5s + 1 + 3^{n+3} \cdot c_2; \\ a_{3^{n-1} \cdot 8s-2} &= -3^{n+2} \cdot s + 3^n \cdot s + 1 + (c_1 - c_0) 3^{n+3}; \\ a_{3^{n-1} \cdot 8s-1} &= 3^{n+2} \cdot 2s - 3^n \cdot s + 3^{n+3} (c_2 - c_1). \end{aligned}$$

where c_0, c_1, c_2 are integers. Using Lemma 2.1 and the previous relations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2(3^{n-1} \cdot 8s)} &= a_{(3^{n-1} \cdot 8s+1)+(3^{n-1} \cdot 8s-1)} \\ &= a_{3^{n-1} \cdot 8s} a_{3^{n-1} \cdot 8s+1} + a_{3^{n-1} \cdot 8s-2} a_{3^{n-1} \cdot 8s} + a_{3^{n-1} \cdot 8s-1} a_{3^{n-1} \cdot 8s-1} \\ &\equiv (3^{n+2} \cdot 4s + 3^{n+3} \cdot 2c_0 + 3^{n+1} \cdot 4s) \pmod{3^{n+4}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same manner, one can deduce the following:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2(3^{n-1} \cdot 8s)+1} &\equiv 1 + 3^{n+1} \cdot 10s + 3^n \cdot 2s + 3^{n+3} \cdot 2c_1 \pmod{3^{n+4}}; \\ a_{2(3^{n-1} \cdot 8s)+2} &\equiv 1 + 3^{n+2} \cdot 4s + 3^{n+1} \cdot 10s + 3^{n+3} \cdot 2c_2 \pmod{3^{n+4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{3^n \cdot 8s} &= a_{3^{n-1} \cdot 8s + 2(3^{n-1} \cdot 8s)} \\
&= a_{3^{n-1} \cdot 8s-1} a_{2(3^{n-1} \cdot 8s)+2} + (a_{3^{n-1} \cdot 8s} - a_{3^{n-1} \cdot 8s-1}) a_{2(3^{n-1} \cdot 8s)+1} + a_{3^{n-1} \cdot 8s-2} a_{2(3^{n-1} \cdot 8s)} \\
&\equiv (3^{n+2} \cdot 2s - 3^n \cdot s + (c_2 - c_1) 3^{n+3}) (1 + 3^{n+2} \cdot 4s + 3^{n+1} \cdot 10s + 3^{n+3} \cdot 2c_2) \\
&\quad + (3^{n+2} \cdot 2s + 3^{n+1} \cdot 2s + c_0 \cdot 3^{n+3} - 3^{n+2} \cdot 2s + 3^n \cdot s + (c_1 - c_2) 3^{n+3}) \\
&\quad (1 + 3^{n+1} \cdot 10s + 3^n \cdot 2s + 2c_1 \cdot 3^{n+3}) + (-3^{n+2} \cdot s + 3^n \cdot s + 1 + (c_1 - c_0) 3^{n+3}) \\
&\quad (3^{n+2} \cdot 4s + 2c_0 \cdot 3^{n+3} + 3^{n+1} \cdot 4s) \pmod{3^{n+4}} \\
&\equiv 3^{n+3} \cdot 2s + 3^{n+2} \cdot 2s \pmod{3^{n+4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same manner, one can deduce the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{3^n \cdot 8s+1} &\equiv 3^{n+2} \cdot 5s + 3^{n+1} \cdot s + 1 \pmod{3^{n+4}}, \\
a_{3^n \cdot 8s+2} &\equiv 3^{n+2} \cdot 5s + 3^{n+3} \cdot 2s + 1 \pmod{3^{n+4}}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.6. For all integers $s \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{8s3^n} &\equiv 3^{n+2} \cdot 2s \pmod{3^{n+3}}, \\
a_{8s3^{n+1}} &\equiv 3^{n+2} \cdot 2s + 3^{n+1} \cdot s + 1 \pmod{3^{n+3}}, \\
a_{8s3^{n+2}} &\equiv 3^{n+2} \cdot 2s + 1 \pmod{3^{n+3}}. \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 2.5. □

Now we fully characterize the 3-adic valuation of $a_i + 1$ and $a_i - 1$.

Theorem 2.7. For all positive integers i , and $a_i \neq 1$, we have

$$v_3(a_i - 1) = \begin{cases} 0, & i \equiv 0, 4, 5, 7 \pmod{8}; \\ v_3(i - 1) + 1, & i \equiv 1 \pmod{8}; \\ v_3(i + 2) + 1, & i \equiv 6 \pmod{8}; \\ v_3(i - 2) + 2, & i \equiv 2 \pmod{24}; \\ 2, & i \equiv 10 \pmod{24}; \\ v_3(i + 6)(i + 30) + 2, & i \equiv 18 \pmod{24}; \\ v_3(i - 3) + 2, & i \equiv 3 \pmod{24}; \\ v_3(i + 13) + 2, & i \equiv 11 \pmod{24}; \\ v_3(i + 5) + 2, & i \equiv 19 \pmod{24}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Case (1): $i \equiv 0, 4, 5, 7 \pmod{8}$.

- Subcase (1): $i \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, then $i = 8k$ for some integer k . We prove that $v_3(a_i - 1) = 0$ using induction. At $k = 0$, we have $a_0 - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{8(k+1)} - 1 &= a_{8k+8} - 1 = a_7 a_{8k+2} + a_5 a_{8k+1} + a_6 a_{8k} - 1 \\
&\equiv a_{8k} - 1 \pmod{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{8k} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ if and only if $a_{8(k+1)} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

- Subcase (2): $i \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, then $i = 8k + 4$ for some integer k . We prove that $v_3(a_i - 1) = 0$ using induction. At $k = 0$, we have $a_4 - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{8(k+1)+4} - 1 &= a_{(8k+4)+8} - 1 = a_7 a_{8k+6} + a_5 a_{8k+5} + a_6 a_{8k+4} - 1 \\ &\equiv a_{8k+4} - 1 \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{8k+4} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ if and only if $a_{8(k+1)+4} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

- Subcase (3): $i \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, then $i = 8k + 5$ for some integer k . We prove that $v_3(a_i - 1) = 0$ using induction. At $k = 0$, we have $a_5 - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{8(k+1)+5} - 1 &= a_{(8k+5)+8} - 1 = a_7 a_{8k+7} + a_5 a_{8k+6} + a_6 a_{8k+5} - 1 \\ &\equiv a_{8k+5} - 1 \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{8k+5} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ if and only if $a_{8(k+1)+5} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

- Subcase (4): $i \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, then $i = 8k + 7$ for some integer k . We prove that $v_3(a_i - 1) = 0$ using induction. At $k = 0$, we have $a_7 - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{8(k+1)+7} - 1 &= a_{(8k+7)+8} - 1 = a_7 a_{8k+9} + a_5 a_{8k+8} + a_6 a_{8k+7} - 1 \\ &\equiv a_{8k+7} - 1 \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{8k+7} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ if and only if $a_{8(k+1)+7} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

Case (2): $i \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. In this case, we have $i - 1 = 3^n \cdot 8s$, where $n \geq 1$ and $3 \nmid s$. Using Corollary 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_i - 1 &= a_{3^n \cdot 8s+1} - 1 \\ &\equiv 1 + 3^{n+1} \cdot s + 3^{n+2} \cdot 2s - 1 \pmod{3^{n+3}} \\ &\equiv 3^{n+1} \cdot s \pmod{3^{n+3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $v_3(a_i - 1) = n + 1 = v_3(i - 1) + 1$.

Case (3): $i \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$. In this case, we have $i + 2 = 3^n \cdot 8s$, where $n \geq 1$ and $3 \nmid s$. Using Corollary 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_i - 1 &= a_{3^n \cdot 8s-2} - 1 \\ &\equiv 1 + 3^{n+1} \cdot s + 3^{n+2} \cdot 2s - 1 \pmod{3^{n+3}} \\ &\equiv 3^{n+1} \cdot s \pmod{3^{n+3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $v_3(a_i - 1) = n + 1 = v_3(i + 2) + 1$.

Case (4): $i \equiv 2 \pmod{24}$. In this case, we have $i - 2 = 3^n \cdot 8s$, where $n \geq 1$ and $3 \nmid s$. Using Corollary 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_i - 1 &= a_{3^n \cdot 8s+2} - 1 \\ &\equiv 1 + 3^{n+2} \cdot 2s - 1 \pmod{3^{n+3}} \\ &\equiv 3^{n+2} \cdot 2s \pmod{3^{n+3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $v_3(a_i - 1) = n + 2 = v_3(i - 2) + 2$.

Case (5): $i \equiv 10 \pmod{24}$, then $i = 24k + 10$ for some integer k . We are going to prove that $v_3(a_i - 1) = 2$ using induction. At $k = 0$, we have $v_3(a_{10} - 1) = 2$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{24(k+1)+10} - 1 &= a_{(24k+10)+24} - 1 = a_{23}a_{24k+12} + a_{21}a_{24k+11} + a_{22}a_{24k+10} - 1 \\ &\equiv (a_{24k+10} - 1) \pmod{9}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{24k+10} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ if and only if $a_{24(k+1)+10} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$. But, using Lemma 2.4

$$\begin{aligned} a_{24(k+1)+10} - 1 &\equiv 9(a_{24k+12} + a_{24k+12} + a_{24k+11} + a_{24k+10}) + a_{24k+10} - 1 \pmod{27} \\ &\equiv 9(3a_{24k+11} + 3a_{24k+9} + a_{24k+7}) + a_{24k+10} - 1 \pmod{27} \\ &\equiv a_{24k+10} - 1 \pmod{27}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{24k+10} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{27}$ if and only if $a_{24(k+1)+10} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{27}$. Therefore, $v_3(a_i - 1) = 2$.

Case (6): $i \equiv 18 \pmod{24}$, then $i = 24k + 18$ for some integer k . We want to prove that:

$$\begin{aligned} v_3(a_{24k+18} - 1) &= v_3((24k + 24)(24k + 48)) + 2 \\ &= v_3(24^2(k + 1)(k + 2)) + 2 \\ &= v_3((k + 1)(k + 2)) + 4. \end{aligned}$$

- **Subcase (1):** $k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. We are going to prove that $v_3(a_{24k+18} - 1) = 4$ using induction. At $k = 0$, we have $a_{18} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{81}$ and $a_{18} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{243}$. We want to prove that $a_{72(k+1)+18} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{81}$ and $a_{72(k+1)+18} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{243}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{72(k+1)+18} - 1 &= a_{(72k+18)+72} - 1 = a_{71}a_{72k+20} + a_{69}a_{72k+19} + a_{70}a_{72k+18} - 1 \\ &\equiv 27(2a_{72k+20} + a_{72k+19} + a_{72k+18}) + a_{72k+18} - 1 \pmod{81} \\ &\equiv a_{72k+18} - 1 \pmod{81}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{72(k+1)+18} - 1 &= 27(8a_{72k+20} + 7a_{72k+19} + a_{72k+18}) + a_{72k+18} - 1 \pmod{243} \\ &= 27(9a_{72k+20} - a_{72k+20} + 7a_{72k+19} + a_{72k+18}) \\ &= 27(9a_{72k+20} + 7a_{72k+16} + 9a_{72k+18} - a_{72k+21}) + a_{72k+18} - 1 \pmod{243} \\ &\equiv a_{72k+18} - 1 \pmod{243}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{72k+18} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{81}$ if and only if $a_{72(k+1)+18} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{81}$ and $a_{72k+18} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{243}$ if and only if $a_{72(k+1)+18} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{243}$. Therefore, $v_3(a_i - 1) = 4$.

- **Subcase (2):** $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. In this case we have $i = 3^n \cdot 8s - 30$ where $n \geq 2$ and $3 \nmid s$. Using Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_i - 1 &= a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 30} - 1 = a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 27} - a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 28} - 1 \\
&= a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 24} - 2a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 25} + a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 26} - 1 \\
&= -3a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 21} - 2a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 22} + 3a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 20} - 1 \\
&= -8a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 18} + 4a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 17} + a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 16} - 1 \\
&= -12a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 12} - 26a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 11} + 21a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 10} - 1 \\
&= 73a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 6} - 63a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 5} + 9a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 4} - 1 \\
&= -64a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 4} + 136a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 3} - 63a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 2} - 1 \\
&= a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 2} - 200a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 1} + 136a_{3^n \cdot 8s} - 1 \\
&= 201a_{3^n \cdot 8s + 1} - 200a_{3^n \cdot 8s + 2} + 135a_{3^n \cdot 8s} - 1 \\
&\equiv 201(3^{n+2} \cdot 5s + 3^{n+1} \cdot s + 1) - 200(3^{n+3} \cdot 2s + 3^{n+2} \cdot 5s + 1) \\
&\quad + 135(3^{n+3} \cdot 2s + 3^{n+2} \cdot 2s) - 1 \pmod{3^{n+4}} \equiv -3^{n+3} \cdot 130s \pmod{3^{n+4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $v_3(a_i - 1) = n + 3 = v_3(i + 30) + 3$.

Case (7): $i \equiv 3 \pmod{24}$. In this case we have $i - 2 = 3^n \cdot 8s$ where $n \geq 1$ and $3 \nmid s$. Using Corollary 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_i - 1 &= a_{3^n \cdot 8s + 3} - 1 \\
&= a_{3^n \cdot 8s} + a_{3^n \cdot 8s + 2} - 1 \\
&\equiv 3^{n+2} \cdot 2s + 1 + 3^{n+2} \cdot 2s - 1 \pmod{3^{n+3}} \\
&\equiv 3^{n+2} \cdot 4s \pmod{3^{n+3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $v_3(a_i - 1) = n + 2 = v_3(i - 3) + 2$.

Case (8): $i \equiv 11 \pmod{24}$. In this case we have $i + 13 = 3^n \cdot 8s$ where $n \geq 1$ and $3 \nmid s$. Using Corollary 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_i - 1 &= a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 13} - 1 \\
&= a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 10} - a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 11} - 1 \\
&= a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 10} - a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 8} - a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 9} - 1 \\
&= a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 7} - 2a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 8} - a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 9} - 1 \\
&= 3a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 6} - 2a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 5} - 1 \\
&= 5a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 3} - a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 4} - 2a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 1} - 1 \\
&= 5a_{3^n \cdot 8s} - 8a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 1} + a_{3^n \cdot 8s - 2} - 1 \\
&= 4a_{3^n \cdot 8s} + 9a_{3^n \cdot 8s + 1} - 8a_{3^n \cdot 8s + 2} - 1 \\
&\equiv 4(3^{n+2} \cdot 2s) + 9(3^{n+2} \cdot 2s + 3^{n+1} \cdot s + 1) - 8(3^{n+2} \cdot 2s + 1) - 1 \pmod{3^{n+3}} \\
&\equiv -4(3^{n+2} \cdot 2s) \pmod{3^{n+3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $v_3(a_i - 1) = n + 2 = v_3(i + 13) + 2$.

Case (9): $i \equiv 19 \pmod{24}$. In this case we have $i + 5 = 3^n \cdot 8s$ where $n \geq 1$ and $3 \nmid s$. Using

Corollary 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_i - 1 &= a_{3^n \cdot 8s-5} - 1 \\
&= a_{3^n \cdot 8s-2} - a_{3^n \cdot 8s-3} - 1 \\
&= -2a_{3^n \cdot 8s} + a_{3^n \cdot 8s+2} - 1 \\
&\equiv -3^{n+2} \cdot 2s \pmod{3^{n+3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $v_3(a_i - 1) = n + 2 = v_3(i + 5) + 2$. □

Theorem 2.8. *For all integers i , we have*

$$v_3(a_i + 1) = \begin{cases} 0, & i \equiv 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 \pmod{8}; \\ 1, & i \equiv 4, 12 \pmod{24}; \\ v_3(i + 4) + 1, & i \equiv 20 \pmod{24}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Case (1): $i \equiv 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 \pmod{8}$.

- Subcase (1): $i \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, then $i = 8k$ for some integer k . We are going to prove that $v_3(a_i + 1) = 0$ using induction. At $k = 0$, we have $a_8 + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{8(k+1)} + 1 &= a_{8k+8} + 1 = a_7 a_{8k+2} + a_5 a_{8k+1} + a_6 a_{8k} + 1 \\
&\equiv (a_{8k} + 1) \pmod{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{8k} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ if and only if $a_{8(k+1)} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Therefore, $v_3(a_i + 1) = 0$.

- Subcase (2): $i \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then $i = 8k + 1$ for some integer k . We prove that $v_3(a_i + 1) = 0$ using induction. At $k = 0$, we have $a_9 + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{8(k+1)+1} + 1 &= a_{8k+8+1} + 1 = a_7 a_{8k+3} + a_5 a_{8k+2} + a_6 a_{8k+1} + 1 \\
&\equiv (a_{8k+1} + 1) \pmod{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{8k+1} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ if and only if $a_{8(k+1)+1} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Therefore, $v_3(a_i + 1) = 0$.

- Subcase (3): $i \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$, then $i = 8k + 2$ for some integer k . We prove that $v_3(a_i + 1) = 0$ using induction. At $k = 0$, we have $a_2 + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{8(k+1)+2} + 1 &= a_{8k+8+2} + 1 = a_7 a_{8k+4} + a_5 a_{8k+3} + a_6 a_{8k+2} + 1 \\
&\equiv (a_{8k+2} + 1) \pmod{3}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{8k+2} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ if and only if $a_{8(k+1)+2} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Therefore, $v_3(a_i + 1) = 0$.

- Subcase (4): $i \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, then $i = 8k + 3$ for some integer k . We prove that $v_3(a_i + 1) = 0$ using induction. At $k = 0$, we have $a_3 + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{8(k+1)+3} + 1 &= a_{8k+8+3} + 1 = a_7 a_{8k+5} + a_5 a_{8k+4} + a_6 a_{8k+3} + 1 \\ &\equiv (a_{8k+3} + 1) \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{8k+3} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ if and only if $a_{8(k+1)+3} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Therefore, $v_3(a_i + 1) = 0$.

- Subcase (5): $i \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, then $i = 8k + 5$ for some integer k . We prove that $v_3(a_i + 1) = 0$ using induction. At $k = 0$, we have $a_5 + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{8(k+1)+5} + 1 &= a_{8k+8+5} + 1 = a_7 a_{8k+7} + a_5 a_{8k+6} + a_6 a_{8k+5} + 1 \\ &\equiv (a_{8k+5} + 1) \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{8k+5} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ if and only if $a_{8(k+1)+5} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Therefore, $v_3(a_i + 1) = 0$.

- Subcase (6): $i \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$, then $i = 8k + 6$ for some integer k . We prove that $v_3(a_i + 1) = 0$ using induction. At $k = 0$, we have $a_6 + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{8(k+1)+6} + 1 &= a_{8k+8+6} + 1 = a_7 a_{8k+8} + a_5 a_{8k+7} + a_6 a_{8k+6} + 1 \\ &\equiv (a_{8k+6} + 1) \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{8k+6} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ if and only if $a_{8(k+1)+6} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Therefore, $v_3(a_i + 1) = 0$.

- Subcase (7): $i \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, then $i = 8k + 7$ for some integer k . We prove that $v_3(a_i + 1) = 0$ using induction. At $k = 0$, we have $a_7 + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{8(k+1)+7} + 1 &= a_{8k+8+7} + 1 = a_7 a_{8k+9} + a_5 a_{8k+8} + a_6 a_{8k+7} + 1 \\ &\equiv (a_{8k+7} + 1) \pmod{3} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{8k+7} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ if and only if $a_{8(k+1)+7} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Therefore, $v_3(a_i + 1) = 0$.

Case (2): $i \equiv 4, 12 \pmod{24}$

- Subcase (1): $i \equiv 4 \pmod{24}$, then $i = 24k + 4$ for some integer k . We are going to prove that $v_3(a_i + 1) = 1$ using induction. At $k = 0$, we have $a_4 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $a_4 + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{9}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{24(k+1)+4} + 1 &= a_{24k+24+4} + 1 = a_{23} a_{24k+6} + a_{21} a_{24k+5} + a_{22} a_{24k+4} + 1 \\ &\equiv (a_{24k+4} + 1) \pmod{9}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{24k+4} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ if and only if $a_{24(k+1)+4} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, and $a_{24k+4} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ if and only if $a_{24(k+1)+4} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{9}$. Therefore, $v_3(a_i + 1) = 1$.

- Subcase (2): $i \equiv 12 \pmod{24}$, then $i = 24k + 12$ for some integer k . We prove that $v_3(a_i + 1) = 1$ using induction. At $k = 0$, we have $a_{12} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{9}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{24(k+1)+12} + 1 &= a_{24k+24+12} + 1 = a_{23}a_{24k+14} + a_{21}a_{24k+13} + a_{22}a_{24k+12} + 1 \\ &\equiv (a_{24k+12} + 1) \pmod{9} \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{9}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{24k+12} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ if and only if $a_{24(k+1)+12} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, and $a_{24k+12} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ if and only if $a_{24(k+1)+12} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{9}$. Therefore, $v_3(a_i + 1) = 1$.

Case (3): $i \equiv 20 \pmod{24}$. In this case we have $i = 3^n \cdot 8s - 4$ where $n \geq 1$ and $3 \nmid s$. Using Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.6. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_i + 1 &= a_{8s3^{n-4}} + 1 = a_{8s3^{n-1}} - a_{8s3^{n-2}} + 1 \\ &= a_{8s3^{n+2}} - 2a_{8s3^{n+1}} + a_{8s3^n} + 1 \\ &\equiv -3^{n+1} \cdot 2s \pmod{3^{n+3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $v_3(a_i + 1) = n + 1 = v_3(i + 4) + 1 = n + 1$. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. If $a_n = 1$, there is no solution for equation (1). Now suppose that $a_n \neq 1$ and using that fact

$$\frac{m}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{\log m}{\log 3} \right\rfloor - 1 \leq v_3(m!);$$

together with Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.8, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{m}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{\log m}{\log 3} \right\rfloor - 1 \\ &\leq v_3(m!) = v_3(a_n - 1) + v_3(a_n + 1) \\ &\leq v_3((n-1)(n+2)(n-2)(n+6)(n+30)(n-3)(n+13)(n+15)(n+4)) + 16. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{m}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{\log m}{\log 3} \right\rfloor - 1 \leq 9v_3(n+w) + 16,$$

where $w \in \{-1, 2, -2, 6, 30, -3, 13, 5, 4\}$. Therefore,

$$3^{\lfloor \frac{1}{9}(\frac{m}{2} - \lfloor \frac{\log m}{\log 3} \rfloor - 17) \rfloor} \leq n+w \leq n+30.$$

By applying the log function, we obtain

$$\left\lfloor \frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{m}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{\log m}{\log 3} \right\rfloor - 17 \right) \right\rfloor \leq \frac{n+30}{\log 3}. \quad (5)$$

On the other hand,

$$(1.64)^{2n-6} \leq a_n^2 = m! + 1 < 2 \left(\frac{m}{2} \right)^m;$$

So

$$n < 4 + (1.33)m \log \left(\frac{m}{2} \right).$$

Substituting in equation (5), we obtain

$$\left\lfloor \frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{m}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{\log m}{\log 3} \right\rfloor - 17 \right) \right\rfloor \leq \frac{34 + 1.33 \log \left(\frac{m}{2} \right)}{\log 3}.$$

This inequality yields $m \leq 221$. Then $n \leq 1386$. Now, we use a simple routine written in SAGE to get the solutions. The proof is completed. \square

References

- [1] Allouche, J. P., & Johnson, T. (1996). Narayana's cows and delayed morphisms. *Journées d'Informatique Musicale*, île de Tatihou, France. Available online at: <https://hal.science/hal-02986050>
- [2] Berndt, B. C., & Galway, W. F. (2000). On the Brocard–Ramanujan Diophantine equation $n! + 1 = m^2$. *The Ramanujan Journal*, 4(2), 41–42.
- [3] Bilgici, G. (2016). The generalized order- k Narayana's cows numbers. *Mathematica Slovaca*, 66(4), 795–802.
- [4] Brocard, H. (1876). Question 166, *Nouv. Corresp. Math.*, 2, 287.
- [5] Facó, V., & Marques, D. (2016). Tribonacci numbers and the Brocard–Ramanujan equation. *Journal of Integer Sequences*, 19(4), 4–16.
- [6] Grossman, G., & Luca, F. (2002). Sums of factorials in binary recurrence sequences. *Journal of Number Theory*, 93(2), 87–107.
- [7] Luca, F. (1999). Products of factorials in binary recurrence sequences. *The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, 29(4), 1387–1411.
- [8] Luca, F., & Stanica, P. (2006). $F_1 F_2 F_3 F_4 F_5 F_6 F_8 F_{10} F_{12} = 11!$. *Portugaliae Mathematica*, 63(3), 251–260.
- [9] Marques, D. (2012). Fibonacci numbers at most one away from the product of factorials. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 18(3), 13–19.
- [10] Marques, D. (2012). The order of appearance of product of consecutive Fibonacci numbers. *Fibonacci Quarterly*, 50(2), 132–139.
- [11] Overholt, M. (1993). The Diophantine equation $n! + 1 = m^2$. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 25(2), 104.
- [12] Ramanujan, S. (1962). *Ramanujan's Collected Works*. Chelsea, New York.
- [13] Zhou, C. (1999). Constructing identities involving K^{th} -order F-L numbers by using the characteristic polynomial. *Applications of Fibonacci Numbers*, 369–379.