Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics Print ISSN 1310–5132, Online ISSN 2367–8275 2023, Volume 29, Number 1, 181–184 DOI: 10.7546/nntdm.2023.29.1.181-184

# Corrigendum to: "Some modular considerations regarding odd perfect numbers – Part II" [Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics, 2020, Vol. 26, No. 3, 8–24]

# Jose Arnaldo Bebita Dris $^1$ and Immanuel Tobias San Diego $^2$

<sup>1</sup> M. Sc. Graduate, Mathematics Department, De La Salle University Manila 1004, Philippines e-mail: josearnaldobdris@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Physical Sciences, Trinity University of Asia Quezon City 1102, Philippines

e-mails: itsandiego@tua.edu.ph, immanuelsandiego28@gmail.com

Received: 9 February 2023 Accepted: 24 March 2023 Online First: 29 March 2023

**Abstract:** In [2], the authors proposed a theorem which they recently found out to contradict Chen and Luo's results [1]. In the present paper, we provide the correct form of this theorem. **Keywords:** Sum of divisors, Sum of aliquot divisors, Deficiency, Odd perfect number, Special prime.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A05, 11A25.

# **1** Introduction

Let  $\sigma(x) = \sigma_1(x)$  denote the *classical sum of divisors* of the positive integer x.

An odd number n satisfying  $\sigma(n) = 2n$  is called an *odd perfect number*. Euler showed that a hypothetical odd perfect number n, if one exists, must necessarily have the form

$$n = p^k m^2$$

where p is the special prime satisfying  $p \equiv k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and gcd(p, m) = 1.



Copyright © 2023 by the Authors. This is an Open Access paper distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

In a part of the abstract of the paper [2], it is claimed that

1.  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  holds only if  $p - k \equiv 4 \pmod{16}$ .

On page 15 of the paper [2], it is stated (without proof) that if  $n = p^k m^2$  is an odd perfect number with special prime p satisfying  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ , then exactly one of the following conditions hold:

1.  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 13 \pmod{16}$ ,

2.  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ ,

3.  $p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 5 \pmod{16}$ ,

4.  $p \equiv 13 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ .

## 2 The correct form of the Abstract and the Theorem

We now give the corrected form of the part of the abstract that is in error and the corresponding theorem:

#### 2.1 Corrected Abstract

If  $n = p^k m^2$  is an odd perfect number with special prime p, then 1.  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  holds only if  $p - k \equiv 12 \pmod{16}$ .

#### 2.2 Corrected Theorem

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that  $n = p^k m^2$  is an odd perfect number with special prime p satisfying  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ . This implies that exactly one of the following conditions hold:

1.  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 5 \pmod{16}$ ,

- 2.  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ ,
- 3.  $p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 13 \pmod{16}$ ,
- 4.  $p \equiv 13 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ .

# **3** A Proof of Theorem 2.1

(This section refers to the results (i.e. lemmas) as stated in the paper [2].)

Let  $n = p^k m^2$  be an odd perfect number with special prime p, satisfying  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $p \equiv k + 4 \pmod{8}$  holds.

We now consider each of the resulting possible congruences for p and k modulo 16:

1. 
$$p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 5 \pmod{16}$$
,

- 2.  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 13 \pmod{16}$ ,
- 3.  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ ,
- 4.  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ ,
- 5.  $p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 5 \pmod{16}$ ,
- 6.  $p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 13 \pmod{16}$ ,
- 7.  $p \equiv 13 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ ,
- 8.  $p \equiv 13 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ .

Recall that we have the equation

$$2D(m^2)s(m^2) = (\gcd(m^2, \sigma(m^2)))^2 D(p^k)s(p^k)$$
(\*)

where  $D(x) = 2x - \sigma(x)$  is the *deficiency* of x and  $s(x) = \sigma(x) - x$  is the *aliquot sum* of x.

First, suppose that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ ,  $k \equiv 5 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $D(p^k) \equiv 12 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $D(m^2) \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $s(p^k) \equiv 5 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $s(m^2) \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ .

Thus, symbolically we obtain from Equation (\*) that

$$2(8a+7)(8b+2) = (8c+1)(16d+12)(16e+5)$$

which is solvable over the positive integers, per WolframAlpha.

Next, suppose that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ ,  $k \equiv 13 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $D(p^k) \equiv 4 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $D(m^2) \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $s(p^k) \equiv 13 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $s(m^2) \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ .

Thus, symbolically we obtain from Equation (\*) that

$$2(8a+7)(8b+2) = (8c+1)(16d+4)(16e+13)$$

which is NOT solvable over the positive integers, per WolframAlpha.

Next, suppose that  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{16}$ ,  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $D(p^k) \equiv 4 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $D(m^2) \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $s(p^k) \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $s(m^2) \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ .

Thus, symbolically we obtain from Equation (\*) that

$$2(8a+7)(8b+2) = (8c+1)(16d+4)(16e+1)$$

which is NOT solvable over the positive integers, per WolframAlpha.

Next, suppose that  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{16}$ ,  $k \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $D(p^k) \equiv 12 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $D(m^2) \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $s(p^k) \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $s(m^2) \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ .

Thus, symbolically we obtain from Equation (\*) that

$$2(8a+7)(8b+2) = (8c+1)(16d+12)(16e+9)$$

which is solvable over the positive integers, per WolframAlpha.

Next, suppose that  $p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ ,  $k \equiv 5 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $D(p^k) \equiv 4 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $D(m^2) \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $s(p^k) \equiv 5 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $s(m^2) \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ .

Thus, symbolically we obtain from Equation (\*) that

$$2(8a+7)(8b+2) = (8c+1)(16d+4)(16e+5)$$

which is NOT solvable over the positive integers, per WolframAlpha.

Next, suppose that  $p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ ,  $k \equiv 13 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $D(p^k) \equiv 12 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $D(m^2) \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $s(p^k) \equiv 13 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $s(m^2) \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ .

Thus, symbolically we obtain from Equation (\*) that

$$2(8a+7)(8b+2) = (8c+1)(16d+12)(16e+13)$$

which is solvable over the positive integers, per WolframAlpha.

Next, suppose that  $p \equiv 13 \pmod{16}$ ,  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $D(p^k) \equiv 12 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $D(m^2) \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $s(p^k) \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $s(m^2) \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ .

Thus, symbolically we obtain from Equation (\*) that

2(8a+7)(8b+2) = (8c+1)(16d+12)(16e+1)

which is solvable over the positive integers, per WolframAlpha.

Lastly, suppose that  $p \equiv 13 \pmod{16}$ ,  $k \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $D(p^k) \equiv 4 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $D(m^2) \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $s(p^k) \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $s(m^2) \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ .

Thus, symbolically we obtain from Equation (\*) that

2(8a+7)(8b+2) = (8c+1)(16d+4)(16e+9)

which is NOT solvable over the positive integers, per WolframAlpha.

# 4 Conclusion

To summarize: If  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ , then exactly one of the following conditions hold:

1.  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 5 \pmod{16}$ ,

2.  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ ,

3.  $p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 13 \pmod{16}$ ,

4.  $p \equiv 13 \pmod{16}, k \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ .

In other words, the congruence  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  holds only if  $p \equiv k + 12 \pmod{16}$ .

Our findings now match Chen and Luo's results [1]. The rest of the paper [2] is unaffected.

# Acknowledgements

The authors thank Joshua Zelinsky for hinting over Mathematics Stack Exchange that the paper [2] may partly be in error.

### References

- [1] Chen, S.-C., & Luo, H. (2013). Odd multiperfect numbers. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 88(1), 56–63.
- [2] Dris, J. A., & San Diego, I. (2020). Some modular considerations regarding odd perfect numbers Part II. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 26(3), 8–24.