Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics Print ISSN 1310–5132, Online ISSN 2367–8275

2023, Volume 29, Number 1, 48–61 DOI: 10.7546/nntdm.2023.29.1.48-61

Transcendental properties of the certain mix infinite products

Eiji Miyanohara

Tokyo, Japan

e-mail: j1o9t5acrmo@fuji.waseda.jp

Received: 10 August 2022 **Revised:** 3 January 2023 **Accepted:** 15 February 2023 **Online First:** 18 February 2023

Abstract: Let k and l be two multiplicatively independent positive integers and b be an integer with $b \geq 2$. Let S be a finite set of integers. Nishioka proved that for any algebraic number α with $0 < |\alpha| < 1$ the infinite products $\prod_{y=0}^{\infty} (1-\alpha^{d^y})$ ($d=2,3,\ldots$) are algebraically independent over \mathbb{Q} . As her result, for example, the transcendence of $\prod_{y=0}^{\infty} (1-\frac{1}{b^{2y}}) \prod_{y=0}^{\infty} (1-\frac{1}{b^{3y}})$ is deduced. On the other hand, Tachiya, Amou-Väänänen investigated the certain infinite products which satisfy infinite chains of Mahler functional equation. The special case of the result of Tachiya shows that the infinite product $\prod_{y\geq 0} (1+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\tau(i,y)}{b^{iky}})$ with $\tau(i,y)\in S$ $(1\leq i\leq k-1,y\geq 0)$ is either rational or transcendental.

In this paper, we prove that the infinite product $\prod_{y\geq 0}(1+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\frac{\tau(i,y)}{b^{iky}})\prod_{y\geq 0}(1+\sum_{j=1}^{l-1}\frac{\delta(j,y)}{b^{jly}})$ with $\tau(i,y),\delta(j,y)\in S$ $(1\leq i\leq k-1,1\leq j\leq l-1,y\geq 0)$ is either rational or transcendental. Moreover, we give sufficient conditions that $\prod_{y\geq 0}(1+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\frac{\tau(i,y)}{b^{iky}})\prod_{y\geq 0}(1+\sum_{j=1}^{l-1}\frac{\delta(j,y)}{b^{jly}})$ is transcendental.

Keywords: Infinite product, Transcendence, Infinite chains of Mahler functional equations.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11J91, 11J87.

1 Introduction

Let k and l be two multiplicatively independent positive integers and b be an integer with $b \ge 2$. Let S be a finite set of integers. Let z, w be two complex variables. In 1994, Nishioka [11] proved



Copyright © 2023 by the Author. This is an Open Access paper distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

that for any algebraic number α with $0<|\alpha|<1$ the infinite products $\prod_{y=0}^{\infty}(1-\alpha^{d^y})$ $(d=2,3,\ldots)$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb Q$. As her result, for example, the transcendence of $\prod_{y=0}^{\infty}(1-\frac{1}{b^{2y}})\prod_{y=0}^{\infty}(1-\frac{1}{b^{3y}})$ is deduced. On the other hand, Tachiya [13], Amou–Väänänen [2, 3] investigated the following infinite products. For any non-negative integers e and h, we define two infinite products $f_e(z)$, $g_h(w)$ and two sequences $(a_e(n))_{n\geq 0}$, $(b_h(m))_{m\geq 0}$ by

$$f_e(z) := \prod_{y>e} (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \tau(i, y) z^{ik^{y-e}}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_e(n) z^n$$

and

$$g_h(w) := \prod_{y \ge h} (1 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \delta(j, y) w^{jl^{y-h}}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_h(m) w^m$$

with $\tau(i,y), \delta(j,y) \in S$ ($1 \le i \le k-1, 1 \le j \le l-1, y \ge 0$). By the definitions of $f_e(z)$ and $g_h(w)$, for any non-negative integers e and h, we get the following infinite chains of Mahler functional equations

$$f_0(z) = f_e(z^{k^e}) \prod_{y=0}^{e-1} (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \tau(i, y) z^{ik^y})$$

and

$$g_0(w) = g_h(w^{l^h}) \prod_{y=0}^{h-1} (1 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \delta(j, y) w^{jl^y}).$$
 (1)

Tachiya [13], Amou–Väänänen [2, 3] investigated the arithmetical properties of the infinite products $f_0(z)$ which satisfy infinite chains of Mahler functional equation (1). The special case of Theorem 1 in [13] shows that the infinite product $f_0(\frac{1}{b})$ is either rational or transcendental.

In this paper, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. The infinite product $f_0(\frac{1}{h})g_0(\frac{1}{h})$ is either rational or transcendental.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on the method of the proof of Theorem 2.5.1 in [6] (see also [4]), Nishioka's asymptotic lower bounds (see Lemma 2.2) and the infinite chains of Mahler functional equations (1).

Remark 1.1. The sequences $(a_0(n))_{n\geq 0}$ and $(b_0(n))_{n\geq 0}$ are in the set of q-multiplicative sequences introduced by Gel'fond [9] and Delange [7] (see also 1p in [14]). In this paper, for the analysis of arithmetical properties of $f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})$, we assumed that $(a_0(n))_{n\geq 0}$ and $(b_0(n))_{n\geq 0}$ lie in the set of integers and the set of $\tau(i,y),\delta(j,y)$ ($1\leq i\leq k-1,1\leq j\leq l-1,y\geq 0$) lie in S.

Moreover, we give sufficient conditions that $f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})$ is transcendental as follows.

Amou–Väänänen [2, 3] introduce the following notion of the irrationality measure of formal power series. Let X be a complex variable. The irrationality measure $\mu(F)$ of $F(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[[X]]$ is defined to be the infimum of μ such that

$$\operatorname{ord}(A(X)F(X) - B(X)) \le \mu M$$

holds for all $A(X), B(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$, not both zero, satisfying $\max(\deg A, \deg B) \leq M$ provided that $M \geq M_0$ with some sufficiently large M_0 depending only on F(X), where for $g(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[[X]]$ we denote by $\operatorname{ord} g(X)$ the zero order of g(X) at X = 0. If there does not exist such a μ , we define $\mu(F) := \infty$. We give a sufficient condition that $f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})$ is transcendental by using the irrationality measure of formal power series as follows.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that $(1+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\frac{\tau(i,y)}{b^{iky}})(1+\sum_{j=1}^{l-1}\frac{\delta(j,y)}{b^{jly}})\neq 0$ for all $y\geq 0$. If the irrationality measures of $f_0(z)$ and $g_0(w)$ are finite, then the infinite product $f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})$ is transcendental.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 relies on Theorem 1.1, Nishioka's the asymptotic lower bounds and the most classical Mahler method (see p. 20 in [10]). By Remark 2 in [2], Amou–Väänänen proved that if $\mu(f_0) < \infty$ and $(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\tau(i,y)}{b^{ik}y}) \neq 0$ for all $y \geq 0$, then $f_0(\frac{1}{b})$ is either a Mahler's S-number or a T-number. Moreover, if $f_0(z)$ is irrational with $\mu(f_0) = \infty$ and $(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\tau(i,y)}{b^{ik}y}) \neq 0$ for all $y \geq 0$, then $f_0(\frac{1}{b})$ is a Mahler's U-number. Therefore, from the property of Mahler's classification of real numbers and Theorem 1.2, we also get the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. Assume that $(1+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\frac{\tau(i,y)}{b^{ik^y}})(1+\sum_{j=1}^{l-1}\frac{\delta(j,y)}{b^{jl^y}})\neq 0$ for all $y\geq 0$. If the irrationality measure of $f_0(z)$ is finite, then the infinite product $f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})$ is transcendental.

From Lemma 9 in [2], one can give concrete examples of Theorem 1.3. Moreover, by Theorem 4.3 in [12] and Theorem 1.3, we also get the following corollary.

Corollary 1.1. Assume that $(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\tau(i,y)}{b^{iky}})(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{\delta(j,y)}{b^{jly}}) \neq 0$ and $\tau(i,y) = \tau(i,y+1)$ for all $y \geq 0$ and all i with $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. If $f_0(z)$ $(= f_e(z)$ for all $e \geq 0$) is irrational, then the infinite product $f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})$ is transcendental.

Remark 1.2. Assume that $(1+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\frac{\tau(i,y)}{b^{iky}})(1+\sum_{j=1}^{l-1}\frac{\delta(j,y)}{b^{jly}})\neq 0$ and $\tau(i,y)=\tau(i,y+1)$ for all $y\geq 0$ and all i with $0\leq i\leq k-1$. From Theorem 1, Theorem 6-(ii) in [13] and Example 1.3.1 in [12], one can give the necessary-sufficient conditions that $f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})$ is rational. Especially, $f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})$ is rational if and only if $f_0(z)$ and $g_0(w)$ are rational.

From Example 1.3.1 in [12], one can give concrete examples of Corollary 1.1. Indeed, we can find the following example of Corollary 1.1.

Corollary 1.2. Assume that $\delta(1,y) \in \{1,-1\}$ for all $y \ge 0$. Then $\prod_{y \ge 0} (1 - \frac{1}{b^{2y}}) \prod_{y \ge 0} (1 + \frac{\delta(1,y)}{b^{3y}})$ is transcendental.

Finally, we propose the following problem.

Problem 1.1. Assume that $(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\tau(i,y)}{b^{iky}})(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{\delta(j,y)}{b^{jly}}) \neq 0$ for all $y \geq 0$. Do there exist two irrational infinite products $f_0(z)$, $g_0(w)$ with $\mu(f_0) = \infty$, $\mu(g_0) = \infty$ and an integer b with $b \geq 2$ such that the infinite product $f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})$ is rational?

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we gather lemmas for the proof of theorems. In Section 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

2 Preliminaries

The following lemma is known as Siegel's lemma (see Lemma 1.4.1 in [12]).

Lemma 2.1. Consider the m equations in n unknowns

$$a_{i1}x_1 + \dots + a_{in}x_n = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$
 (2)

with integer coefficients a_{ij} and 0 < m < n. Let A be a positive integer such that $A \ge |a_{ij}|$, for all i and j. Then there is a nontrivial solution x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n in integers of equations (2) such that

$$|x_i| < 1 + (nA)^{\frac{n}{(n-m)}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The following lemma is a special case of Lemma 2 in [11].

Lemma 2.2. Let $(e_i(n))_{n\geq 0} (1 \leq i \leq 2)$ be sequences of positive integer with $\lim_{n\to\infty} e_i(n) = +\infty$. Moreover, assume that k and l are two multiplicatively independent positive integers with $k, l \geq 2$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{e_1(n)}{e_2(n)}$ converges to an irrational number as $n\to +\infty$. Let a_0, a_1 be two integers with $a_0 \neq 0$. Let $0 < \gamma < 1$. Then, we have

$$|a_0k^{e_1(n)} + a_1l^{e_2(n)}| > k^{e_1(n)}\gamma^{e_1(n)}$$

for all large integer n.

The following lemma is in Lemma 2.5.9 in [1]

Lemma 2.3. If k and l are two multiplicatively independent positive integers with $k, l \geq 2$, then the set $\{\frac{k^e}{lf} \mid e, f \geq 0\}$ is dense in the positive reals.

The following lemma is known as p-adic Schmidt subspace theorem (see Theorem E.10 in [5] or Theorem 2.5.4 in [6]).

Lemma 2.4. Let $n \geq 2$, $\epsilon > 0$, and let p_1, \ldots, p_s be distinct prime numbers. Further, let $L_{1,\infty}, \ldots, L_{n,\infty}$ be linearly independent linear forms in X_1, \ldots, X_n with algebraic coefficients in \mathbb{C} , and for $j = 1, \ldots, s$, $L_{1,p_j}, \ldots, L_{n,p_j}$ be linearly independent linear forms in X_1, \ldots, X_n with algebraic coefficients in \mathbb{Q}_{p_j} . Consider the inequality

$$|L_{1,\infty}(\mathbf{x})\cdots L_{n,\infty}(\mathbf{x})|\prod_{j=1}^{s}|L_{1,p_j}(\mathbf{x})\cdots L_{n,p_j}(\mathbf{x})|_{p_j}<\max\{|x_1|,\ldots,|x_n|\}^{-\epsilon}$$
(3)

with $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in \mathbb{Z}^n . There are a finite number of proper linear subspaces T_1, \dots, T_t of \mathbb{Q}^n such that all solutions of (3) lie in $T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_t$.

From Lemma 8 in [2] and Theorem 5 in [13] (or Theorem 5 in [8]), we get the following lemma (see also Remark 4 in [2]).

Lemma 2.5. Assume that $\mu(f_0) < \infty$. Then, for any $P(z), Q(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$ with $\max(\deg P, \deg Q) \le M$, $Q(z) \ne 0$ and $M \ge 1$, there exists a constant C such that $\operatorname{ord}(Q(z)f_e(z) - P(z)) \le CM$ for all $e \ge 0$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. Let r be a positive integer parameter with $[\sqrt{2}r]-r-6>0$. We define the integer A by $A:=\max\{|a|\mid a\in S\cup\{2\}\}$.

Lemma 3.1. Notation is the same as above. Then, for any non-negative integers e, h, n and m,

$$|a_e(n)| \leq A^n$$
 and $|b_h(m)| \leq A^m$

Proof. For any non-negative integer n, we define the base k-representation of n by

$$n = \sum_{y=0} n_y k^y,$$

where $0 \le n_y \le k-1$. For any integer i with $0 \le i \le k-1$ and any non-negative integer y, we define the digital counting function $d(n; ik^y)$ by

$$d(n;ik^y) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{there exists an integer } q \text{ such that } n_q k^q = ik^y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the definition of $f_e(z)$, $(a_e(n))_{n\geq 0}$ is decomposed into the following infinite product

$$a_e(n) = \prod_{y=0}^{\infty} a_e(n_y k^y) = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \prod_{y=0}^{\infty} \tau(i, y + e)^{d(n; ik^y)}$$
(4)

and $a_e(0) = 1$. By (4), for any non-negative integer n, we get

$$|a_e(n)| \le A^{1 + \log_k n} \le A^n.$$

By the same way, for any non-negative integers h and m, we also get

$$|b_h(m)| \le A^{1 + \log_l m} \le A^m.$$

Lemma 3.2. Notation is the same as for Section 1. For any non-negative integers e and h, there exist auxiliary functions

$$Q_{e,h}(z,w)f_e(z)g_h(w) - P_{e,h}(z,w) = \sum_{i+j \ge [\sqrt{2}r]} a_{e,h}(i,j)z^i w^j,$$
 (5)

with polynomials $Q_{e,h}(z,w) = \sum_{i+j \le r} q_{e,h}(i,j) z^i w^j \neq 0, P_{e,h}(z,w) = \sum_{i+j \le r} p_{e,h}(i,j) z^i w^j \in \mathbb{Z}[z,w]$ and

$$|q_{e,h}(i,j)| \le 1 + \left(\frac{(r+1)(r+2)}{2}A^{2r}\right)^{\frac{(r+1)(r+2)}{2}} =: c_{r,1},$$
 (6)

$$|p_{e,h}(i,j)| \le c_{r,1}(r+1)^2 A^r =: c_{r,2},$$
(7)

$$|a_{e,h}(i,j)| \le c_{r,1} A^{2(i+j)}. \tag{8}$$

Proof. For any non-negative integers e, h, n and m, we define the integer $d_{e,h}(n,m)$ by

$$f_e(z)g_h(w) = (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_e(n)z^n)(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_h(m)w^m) =: \sum_{n+m>0} d_{e,h}(n,m)z^nw^m.$$

Let us denote

$$Q_{e,h}(z,w) = \sum_{i+j \le r} q_{e,h}(i,j)z^{i}w^{j}.$$

By the definition of $d_{e,h}(n,m)$, we get

$$Q_{e,h}(z,w)\left(\sum_{n+m<[\sqrt{2}r]-1}d_{e,h}(n,m)z^nw^m\right) = \sum_{i+j\geq 0}\left(\sum_{i_1+i_2=i,j_1+j_2=j}q_{e,h}(i_1,j_1)d_{e,h}(i_2,j_2)\right)z^iw^j.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we consider a system of linear equations

$$\sum_{i_1+i_2=i,j_1+j_2=j} q_{e,h}(i_1,j_1)d_{e,h}(i_2,j_2) = 0, \quad i+j=r+1,r+2,\dots, [\sqrt{2}r]-1.$$
 (9)

where $|d_{e,h}(i_2,j_2)| \le |a_e(i_2)b_h(j_2)| \le A^{i_2+j_2} \le A^{[\sqrt{2}r]-1}$. We also have

$$\frac{(r+1)(r+2)}{2} > \frac{[\sqrt{2}r]([\sqrt{2}r]+1)}{2} - \frac{(r+1)(r+2)}{2}.$$
 (10)

By Lemma 2.1, (9) and (10), there exists a non-zero polynomial $Q_{e,h}(z,w) = \sum_{i+j \le r} q_{e,h}(i,j) z^i w^j \in \mathbb{Z}[z,w]$, which satisfies (5) and (6), and a polynomial $P_{e,h}(z,w) = \sum_{i+j \le r} p_{e,h}(i,j) z^i w^j \in \mathbb{Z}[z,w]$, which satisfies (5) and

$$p_{e,h}(i,j) = \sum_{i_1+i_2=i, j_1+j_2=j} q_{e,h}(i_1,j_1) d_{e,h}(i_2,j_2), \quad i+j=0,1,\ldots,r.$$
(11)

By Lemma 3.1, (6) and (11), we get

$$|p_{e,h}(i,j)| \le (i+1)(j+1)c_{r,1}A^{i+j} \le c_{r,1}(r+1)^2A^r, \quad i+j=0,1,\ldots,r.$$

Therefore, the polynomial $P_{e,h}(z,w)$ satisfies (5) and (7). For any non-negative integers e, h, i and j with $i+j \geq [\sqrt{2}r]$, we define the integer $a_{e,h}(i,j)$ by

$$a_{e,h}(i,j) := \sum_{i_1+i_2=i, j_1+j_2=j} q_{e,h}(i_1,j_1) d_{e,h}(i_2,j_2), \quad i+j \ge [\sqrt{2}r].$$

From Lemma 3.1 and (6), we have

$$|a_{e,h}(i,j)| \le (i+1)(j+1)c_{r,1}A^{i+j} \le c_{r,1}A^{2(i+j)}, \quad i+j \ge [\sqrt{2}r].$$

Lemma 3.3. For any positive integer n, there exists an integer tuple (n_1, n_2) such that

$$1 < \frac{k^{n_1}}{l^{n_2}} < 1 + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3.

For any positive integer n, we define the integers $e_1(n)$, $e_2(n)$ by

$$e_1(n) := \min\{n_1 \mid 1 < \frac{k^{n_1}}{l^{n_2}} < 1 + \frac{1}{n}\}, e_2(n) := \min\{n_2 \mid 1 < \frac{k^{e_1(n)}}{l^{n_2}} < 1 + \frac{1}{n}\}.$$

From the definitions of $e_1(n)$ and $e_2(n)$, we get

$$1 < \frac{k^{e_1(n)}}{l^{e_2(n)}} < 1 + \frac{1}{n}. (12)$$

By the definition of $e_1(n)$, we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} e_1(n) = +\infty. \tag{13}$$

By (13) and the definition of $e_2(n)$, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} e_2(n) = +\infty. \tag{14}$$

By (12), we have

$$\frac{e_1(n)\log k}{\log l} - \frac{\log(1+\frac{1}{n})}{\log l} < e_2(n) < \frac{e_1(n)\log k}{\log l}.$$
 (15)

From (15), we also have

$$\frac{\log l}{\log k} < \frac{e_1(n)}{e_2(n)} < \frac{\log l}{\log k} + \frac{\log(1 + \frac{1}{n})}{e_2(n)\log k}.$$
 (16)

By (14), (16) and the assumption that k and l are multiplicatively independent, $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{e_1(n)}{e_2(n)}$ converges to an irrational number $\frac{\log l}{\log k}$ as $n\to +\infty$. By the pigeonhole principle, there exist a subset I of indexes of $Q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(z,w)$ and an infinite subset N_1 of $\mathbb N$ such that

$$N_1 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{for any } (i,j) \in I, q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(i,j) \neq 0 \text{ and for any } (i,j) \notin I, q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(i,j) = 0\}.$$

By the pigeonhole principle and Lemma 2.2, there exist an index $(I_M, J_M) \in I$ and an infinite subset N_2 of N_1 such that

$$N_2 = \{ n \in N_1 \mid \text{for any } (i,j) \in I \setminus \{ (I_M, J_M) \}, I_M k^{e_1(n)} + J_M l^{e_2(n)} > i k^{e_1(n)} + j l^{e_2(n)} \}.$$

Lemma 3.4. Let $Q_{e,h}(z,w)$ be defined in Lemma 3.2. There exists an integer M_1 such that

$$Q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(\frac{1}{b^{k^{e_1(n)}}},\frac{1}{b^{l^{e_2(n)}}}) \neq 0$$

for all $n \geq M_1$ with $n \in N_2$.

Proof. For any integer n in N_2 , we have

$$\mathbb{Z} \ni b^{I_M k^{e_1(n)} + J_M l^{e_2(n)}} Q_{e_1(n), e_2(n)} \left(\frac{1}{b^{k^{e_1(n)}}}, \frac{1}{b^{l^{e_2(n)}}} \right) \\
= q_{e_1(n), e_2(n)} (I_M, J_M) + \sum_{(i, j) \in I, (i, j) \neq (I_M, J_M)} q_{e_1(n), e_2(n)} (i, j) b^{(I_M - i)k^{e_1(n)} + (J_M - j)l^{e_2(n)}}.$$
(17)

Let $\frac{1}{2} < \gamma < 1$. By Lemma 2.2, (13), (14) and the irrationality of $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{e_1(n)}{e_2(n)}$, for any index (i,j) with $(i,j) \neq (I_M,J_M)$, we have

$$(I_{M} - i)k^{e_{1}(n)} + (J_{M} - j)l^{e_{2}(n)} > k^{e_{1}(n)}\gamma^{e_{1}(n)}$$
or
$$(I_{M} - i)k^{e_{1}(n)} + (J_{M} - j)l^{e_{2}(n)} > l^{e_{2}(n)}\gamma^{e_{2}(n)}$$
(18)

for all large integer n in N_2 . We assume that there exist infinitely many integers n with $n \in N_2$ such that

$$Q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(\frac{1}{b^{k^{e_1(n)}}},\frac{1}{b^{l^{e_2(n)}}}) = 0.$$
(19)

From (17) and (19), we get

$$-q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(I_M,J_M) = \sum_{(i,j)\in I, (i,j)\neq (I_M,J_M)} q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(i,j)b^{(I_M-i)k^{e_1(n)}+(J_M-j)l^{e_2(n)}}.$$
 (20)

Let p be a prime factor of b. By (20), (18), (6) and the p-adic valuation of $q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(I_M,J_M)$, for any sufficiently large integer n in N_2 which satisfies (19), we get $q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(I_M,J_M)=0$. This contradicts $q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(I_M,J_M)\neq 0$ with $n\in N_2$. Therefore, for any sufficiently large integer n in N_2 , we get

$$Q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(\frac{1}{b^{k^{e_1(n)}}},\frac{1}{b^{l^{e_2(n)}}}) \neq 0.$$

By the pigeonhole principle and Lemma 2.2, there exist an index (I_m, J_m) in I and an infinite subset N_3 of N_2 such that

$$\begin{split} N_3 &= \{n \in N_2 \mid \text{for any } (i,j) \in I \setminus \{(I_m,J_m)\}, \\ &I_m k^{e_1(n)} + J_m l^{e_2(n)} < ik^{e_1(n)} + jl^{e_2(n)} \text{ and } n \geq M_1\}. \end{split}$$

Lemma 3.5. For any positive integer n,

$$|Q_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(\frac{1}{b^{k_{e_{1}(n)}}},\frac{1}{b^{l_{e_{2}(n)}}})f_{e_{1}(n)}(\frac{1}{b^{k_{e_{1}(n)}}})g_{e_{2}(n)}(\frac{1}{b^{l_{e_{2}(n)}}}) - P_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(\frac{1}{b^{k_{e_{1}(n)}}},\frac{1}{b^{l_{e_{2}(n)}}})|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j\geq [\sqrt{2}r]} \frac{c_{r,1}A^{2j}(j+1)}{b^{jl_{e_{2}(n)}}} \leq \sum_{j\geq [\sqrt{2}r]} \frac{c_{r,1}(A^{3})^{j+1}}{b^{jl_{e_{2}(n)}}}.$$
(21)

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, (12) and $A \ge 2$.

Lemma 3.6. For any integer n in N_3 , we define a rational number p_n by

$$p_n := \prod_{y=0}^{e_1(n)-1} (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\tau(i,y)}{b^{ik^y}}) \cdot \prod_{y=0}^{e_2(n)-1} (1 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{\delta(j,y)}{b^{jl^y}}) \cdot P_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(\frac{1}{b^{k^{e_1(n)}}}, \frac{1}{b^{l^{e_2(n)}}}).$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \in N_3, n \to \infty} \frac{p_n}{Q_{e_1(n), e_2(n)}(\frac{1}{b^{k^{e_1(n)}}}, \frac{1}{b^{l^{e_2(n)}}})} = \lim_{n \in N_3, n \to \infty} \frac{b^{I_m k^{e_1(n)} + J_m l^{e_2(n)}} p_n}{q_{e_1(n), e_2(n)}(I_m, J_m)} = f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b}).$$
 (22)

Proof. From the definition of N_3 and (12), then

$$|Q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(\frac{1}{b^{k^{e_1(n)}}},\frac{1}{b^{l^{e_2(n)}}})| \ge \frac{1}{b^{rk^{e_1(n)}}}$$
(23)

for all integer n in N_3 . By Lemma 3.5, we get

$$|Q_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(\frac{1}{b^{k_{e_{1}(n)}}},\frac{1}{b^{l_{e_{2}(n)}}})f_{0}(\frac{1}{b})g_{0}(\frac{1}{b}) - p_{n}|$$

$$\leq |\prod_{y=0}^{e_{1}(n)-1}(1+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\frac{\tau(i,y)}{b^{ik^{y}}})\prod_{y=0}^{e_{2}(n)-1}(1+\sum_{j=1}^{l-1}\frac{\delta(j,y)}{b^{jl^{y}}})|\sum_{j\geq \lfloor \sqrt{2}r\rfloor}c_{r,1}\frac{(A^{3})^{j+1}}{b^{jl^{e_{2}(n)}}}$$

$$\leq A^{e_{1}(n)}A^{e_{2}(n)}\frac{b^{2}}{(b-1)^{2}}\sum_{j\geq \lfloor \sqrt{2}r\rfloor}\frac{c_{r,1}(A^{3})^{j+1}}{b^{jl^{e_{2}(n)}}}$$

$$\leq \frac{b^{2}}{(b-1)^{2}}b^{k^{e_{1}(n)}}b^{l^{e_{2}(n)}}\sum_{j> \lfloor \sqrt{2}r\rfloor}\frac{c_{r,1}(A^{3})^{j+1}}{b^{jl^{e_{2}(n)}}}.$$

$$(24)$$

By dividing (24) by $Q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(\frac{1}{b^{k^{e_1(n)}}},\frac{1}{b^{l^{e_2(n)}}}) \neq 0$, (23) and (12), for any sufficiently large integer n in N_3 , we get

$$|f_{0}(\frac{1}{b})g_{0}(\frac{1}{b}) - \frac{p_{n}}{Q_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(\frac{1}{b^{k^{e_{1}(n)}}},\frac{1}{b^{l^{e_{2}(n)}}})}| \leq \frac{b^{2}}{(b-1)^{2}}b^{(r+1)k^{e_{1}(n)}}b^{l^{e_{2}(n)}} \sum_{j\geq [\sqrt{2}r]} \frac{c_{r,1}(A^{3})^{j+1}}{b^{jl^{e_{2}(n)}}}$$

$$\leq \frac{b^{2}}{(b-1)^{2}}b^{(r+2)l^{e_{2}(n)}\frac{1+n}{n}} \sum_{j\geq [\sqrt{2}r]} \frac{c_{r,1}(A^{3})^{j+1}}{b^{jl^{e_{2}(n)}}}$$

$$\leq \frac{b^{2}}{(b-1)^{2}}b^{(r+3)l^{e_{2}(n)}} \sum_{j\geq [\sqrt{2}r]} \frac{c_{r,1}(A^{3})^{j+1}}{b^{jl^{e_{2}(n)}}}.$$

$$(25)$$

By $[\sqrt{2}r] - r - 6 > 0$, (14) and n tends to infinity in (25), we get (22).

Now we assume that $f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})$ is a non-zero algebraic number. We define the integer s by s:=#I. We align elements (i,j) of I as $(i_1,j_1):=(I_m,J_m),\ldots,(i_t,j_t),\ldots,(i_s,j_s):=(I_M,J_M)$. Let T be the set of prime factors of b. We define the linearly independent linear forms $L_{t,\infty}(\mathbf{x}):=L_{t,\infty}(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{s+1})$ $(1\leq t\leq s+1)$ by

$$L_{t,\infty}(\mathbf{x}) := x_t \ (1 \le t \le s) \ \text{ and } \ L_{s+1,\infty}(\mathbf{x}) := f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})\sum_{t=1}^s x_t + x_{s+1}.$$

For any prime p in T, we also define the linearly independent linear forms $L_{t,p}(\mathbf{x})$ $(1 \le t \le s+1)$ by

$$L_{t,p}(\mathbf{x}) := x_t \ (1 \le t \le s+1).$$

For any integer n in N_3 , we define the integer tuple $\mathbf{x}(n) := (x_1(n), \dots, x_t(n), \dots, x_{s+1}(n))$ by

$$\mathbf{x}(n) := (x_1(n), \dots, x_t(n), \dots, x_{s+1}(n))$$

$$= (b^{(r+2-I_m)k^{e_1(n)} - J_m l^{e_2(n)}} q_{e_1(n), e_2(n)} (I_m, J_m), \dots,$$

$$b^{(r+2-i_t)k^{e_1(n)} - j_t l^{e_2(n)}} q_{e_1(n), e_2(n)} (i_t, j_t), \dots, -b^{(r+2)k^{e_1(n)}} p_n).$$

where $(i_t, j_t) \in I$ $(1 \le t \le s)$. By (12) and Lemma 3.2, for any sufficiently large integer n in N_3 , we have

$$\max\{|x_{t}(n)| \mid 1 \leq t \leq s+1\} \leq b^{(r+2)k^{e_{1}(n)}} c_{r,1} \frac{b^{2}}{(b-1)^{2}} A^{e_{1}(n)} A^{e_{2}(n)} \frac{(r+1)(r+2)}{2} c_{r,2}$$

$$\leq b^{(r+3)k^{e_{1}(n)}} b^{k^{e_{1}(n)}} b^{l^{e_{2}(n)}}$$

$$\leq b^{(r+3)l^{e_{2}(n)}(1+\frac{1}{n})} b^{l^{e_{2}(n)}(1+\frac{1}{n})} b^{l^{e_{2}(n)}} \leq b^{(r+6)l^{e_{2}(n)}}.$$
(26)

For any integer t with $1 \le t \le s$, by the definition of T and $q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(i_t,j_t) \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get

$$|L_{t,\infty}(\mathbf{x}(n))| \prod_{p \in T} |L_{t,p}(\mathbf{x}(n))|_p = |q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(i_t,j_t)| \prod_{p \in T} |q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(i_t,j_t)|_p$$

$$\leq |q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(i_t,j_t)| \leq c_{r,1}.$$
(27)

By (24), we have

$$|L_{s+1,\infty}(\mathbf{x}(n))| \le \frac{b^2}{(b-1)^2} b^{(r+3)k^{e_1(n)}} b^{l^{e_2(n)}} \sum_{j > [\sqrt{2}r]} \frac{c_{r,1}(A^3)^{j+1}}{b^{jl^{e_2(n)}}}.$$
 (28)

From $-b^{(r+2)k^{e_1(n)}}p_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get

$$\prod_{p \in T} |L_{s+1,p}(\mathbf{x}(n))|_p \le 1. \tag{29}$$

By (26)–(29) and (12), for any sufficiently large integer n in N_3 , we have

$$|L_{1,\infty}(\mathbf{x}(n))\cdots L_{s+1,\infty}(\mathbf{x}(n))| \prod_{p\in T} |L_{1,p}(\mathbf{x}(n))\cdots L_{s+1,p}(\mathbf{x}(n))|_{p}$$

$$\leq c_{r,1}^{s} \frac{b^{2}}{(b-1)^{2}} b^{(r+3)k^{e_{1}(n)}} b^{le_{2}(n)} \sum_{j\geq \lfloor \sqrt{2}r \rfloor} \frac{c_{r,1}(A^{3})^{j+1}}{b^{jle_{2}(n)}}$$

$$\leq c_{r,1}^{s} \frac{b^{2}}{(b-1)^{2}} b^{(r+3)l^{e_{2}(n)}(1+\frac{1}{n})} b^{2l^{e_{2}(n)}} \sum_{j\geq \lfloor \sqrt{2}r \rfloor} \frac{c_{r,1}(A^{3})^{j+1}}{b^{jle_{2}(n)}}$$

$$\leq \frac{b^{(r+6)l^{e_{2}(n)}}}{b^{\lfloor \sqrt{2}r \rfloor l^{e_{2}(n)}}} \leq \frac{1}{(\max\{|x_{t}(n)| \mid 1 \leq t \leq s+1\})^{\frac{\lfloor \sqrt{2}r \rfloor - r - 6}{r+6}}}.$$
(30)

By (30), $[\sqrt{2}r]-r-6>0$ and Lemma 2.4, for any sufficiently large integer n in N_3 , $(x_1(n),\ldots,x_{s+1}(n))$ lie in finitely many proper linear subspaces of \mathbb{Q}^{s+1} . There exist an infinite subset $N_4\subset N_3$ and a non-zero integer tuple (z_1,\ldots,z_{s+1}) such that, for any n in N_4 ,

$$z_{1}b^{(r+2-I_{m})k^{e_{1}(n)}-J_{m}l^{e_{2}(n)}}q_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(I_{m},J_{m})$$

$$+\cdots+z_{t}b^{(r+2-i_{t})k^{e_{1}(n)}-j_{t}l^{e_{2}(n)}}q_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(i_{t},j_{t})$$

$$+\cdots+z_{s+1}b^{(r+2)k^{e_{1}(n)}}p_{n}=0.$$
(31)

We define the integer u as $u := \min\{i \mid z_i \neq 0\}$. If 1 < u, we have

$$z_{u}b^{(r+2-i_{u})k^{e_{1}(n)}-j_{u}l^{e_{2}(n)}}q_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(i_{u},j_{u})$$

$$+\cdots+z_{M}b^{(r+2-i_{M})k^{e_{1}(n)}-j_{M}l^{e_{2}(n)}}q_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(i_{M},j_{M})$$

$$=-z_{s+1}b^{(r+2)k^{e_{1}(n)}}p_{n}.$$
(32)

By $f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b}) \neq 0$, $|q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(I_m,J_m)| \geq 1$ and (22), for any sufficiently large integer n in N_4 , we have

$$|b^{I_m k^{e_1(n)} + J_m l^{e_2(n)}} p_n| \ge |\frac{f_0(\frac{1}{b}) g_0(\frac{1}{b})}{2}| \ne 0.$$
(33)

By (32) and (33), for any sufficiently large integer n in N_4 , we have

$$|z_{u}b^{(r+2-i_{u})k^{e_{1}(n)}-j_{u}l^{e_{2}(n)}}q_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(i_{u},j_{u})+\cdots+z_{M}b^{(r+2-i_{M})k^{e_{1}(n)}-j_{M}l^{e_{2}(n)}}q_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(i_{M},j_{M})|$$
(34)

$$\geq |z_{s+1}b^{(r+2-I_m)k^{e_1(n)}-J_ml^{e_2(n)}}\frac{f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})}{2}|.$$

By (33), Lemma 2.2, (6), the minimality of (I_m, J_m) , dividing (34) by $b^{(r+2-I_m)k^{e_1(n)}-J_m l^{e_2(n)}}$ and n tends to infinity, we get $z_{s+1}\frac{f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})}{2}=0$. From $f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})\neq 0$, we have $z_{s+1}=0$. We define the integer v by $v:=\#\{t\mid z_t\neq 0,\ 1\leq t\leq s\}$. Let $z_{u_1},z_{u_2},\ldots,z_{u_v}$ be v pairwise distinct non-zero coordinates of (z_1,\ldots,z_{s+1}) . We define the subindex I_{sub} by $I_{sub}:=\{(i_{u_1},j_{u_1}),\ldots,(i_{u_v},j_{u_v})\}$. By the pigeonhole principle and Lemma 2.2, there exist an index $(i_{u_m},j_{u_m})\in I_{sub}$ and an infinite subset N_5 of N_4 such that

$$N_5 = \{n \in N_4 \mid \text{for any } (i,j) \in I_{sub} \setminus \{(i_{u_m},j_{u_m})\}, i_{u_m}k^{e_1(n)} + j_{u_m}l^{e_2(n)} < ik^{e_1(n)} + jl^{e_2(n)}\}.$$

By (32) and $z_{s+1} = 0$, we have

$$z_{u_1}b^{(r+2-i_{u_1})k^{e_1(n)}-j_{u_1}l^{e_2(n)}}q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(i_u,j_u)$$

$$+\cdots+z_{u_m}b^{(r+2-i_{u_m})k^{e_1(n)}-j_{u_m}l^{e_2(n)}}q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(i_{u_m},j_{u_m})$$

$$+\cdots+z_{u_v}b^{(r+2-i_{u_v})k^{e_1(n)}-j_{u_v}l^{e_2(n)}}q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(i_{u_v},j_{u_v})=0.$$
(35)

By dividing (35) by $b^{(r+2-i_{u_m})k^{e_1(n)}-j_{u_m}l^{e_2(n)}}$, Lemma 2.2, (6) and n tends to infinity, we get $\lim_{n\in N_5, n\to\infty} z_{u_m} q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(i_{u_m},j_{u_m})=0$. This contradicts $|z_{u_m} q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(i_{u_m},j_{u_m})|\geq 1$. Therefore u=1. By dividing (31) by $b^{(r+2-I_m)k^{e_1(n)}-J_ml^{e_2(n)}}q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(I_m,J_m)$, Lemma 2.2, (22) and n (in N_4) tends to infinity, we get

$$z_1 + z_{s+1} f_0(\frac{1}{b}) g_0(\frac{1}{b}) = 0. (36)$$

By (36), $z_1 \neq 0$ and $f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b}) \neq 0$, $f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})$ is a rational number. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. By the pigeonhole principle and $Q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(z,w) \neq 0$, there exist an infinite subset N_6 of N_3 and an integer u with $0 \leq 2u \leq r$ such that

$$\tilde{Q}_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(z,w) := \frac{Q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(z,w)}{z^u w^u} \in \mathbb{Z}[z,w]$$

and

$$\tilde{Q}_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(z,0) \neq 0 \text{ or } \tilde{Q}_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(0,w) \neq 0.$$
 (37)

for all n in N_6 . By (5), we have

$$\tilde{Q}_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(z,w)f_{e_{1}(n)}(z)g_{e_{2}(n)}(w) - \frac{P_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(z,w)}{z^{u}w^{u}}$$

$$= \sum_{i+j\geq [\sqrt{2}r]-2u} a_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(i+u,j+u)z^{i}w^{j} = \sum_{i+j\geq [\sqrt{2}r]-2u} \tilde{a}_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(i,j)z^{i}w^{j}$$
(38)

and $\tilde{P}_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(z,w):=\frac{P_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(z,w)}{z^uw^u}\in\mathbb{Z}[z,w].$ By Lemma 2.5, (37) and (38), there exists an infinite subset N_7 of N_6 , a constant C with $C>[\sqrt{2}r]-2u$ and an index (i_C,j_C) with $i_C+j_C=C$ such that

$$\tilde{a}_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(i_C,j_C) \neq 0 \text{ and } \tilde{a}_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(i,j) = 0$$
 (39)

for all (i, j) with i + j < C and all n in N_7 . From the pigeonhole principle and Lemma 2.2, there exist an index (I_o, J_o) with $I_o + J_o = C$ and an infinite subset N_8 of N_7 such that

$$N_8 = \{ n \in N_7 \mid \text{for any } (i,j) \neq (I_o, J_o) \text{ with } i+j = C,$$

$$I_o k^{e_1(n)} + J_o l^{e_2(n)} < ik^{e_1(n)} + jl^{e_2(n)} \text{ and } \tilde{a}_{e_1(n), e_2(n)}(I_o, J_o) \neq 0 \}.$$

$$(40)$$

By (38) and (39), we have

$$\tilde{Q}_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}\left(\frac{1}{b^{k_{e_{1}(n)}}},\frac{1}{b^{l_{e_{2}(n)}}}\right)f_{e_{1}(n)}\left(\frac{1}{b^{k_{e_{1}(n)}}}\right)g_{e_{2}(n)}\left(\frac{1}{b^{l_{e_{2}(n)}}}\right) - \tilde{P}_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}\left(\frac{1}{b^{k_{e_{1}(n)}}},\frac{1}{b^{l_{e_{2}(n)}}}\right) \\
= \sum_{i+j\geq C} \tilde{a}_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(i,j)\frac{1}{b^{ik_{e_{1}(n)}+jl_{e_{2}(n)}}}.$$
(41)

By Lemma 2.2 with $\frac{1}{2} < \gamma < 1$, (8), (12), (39), (40) and $|\tilde{a}_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(I_o,J_o)| \ge 1$, for any sufficiently large integer n in N_8 , we get

$$\begin{split} &|\sum_{i+j\geq C}\tilde{a}_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(i,j)\frac{1}{b^{ik^{e_{1}(n)}+jl^{e_{2}(n)}}}| \\ &\geq |\sum_{i+j=C}\tilde{a}_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(i,j)\frac{1}{b^{ik^{e_{1}(n)}+jl^{e_{2}(n)}}}| - |\sum_{i+j\geq C+1}\tilde{a}_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(i,j)\frac{1}{b^{ik^{e_{1}(n)}+jl^{e_{2}(n)}}}| \\ &\geq |\sum_{i+j=C}\tilde{a}_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(i,j)\frac{1}{b^{ik^{e_{1}(n)}+jl^{e_{2}(n)}}}| - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{c_{r,1}A^{r}(A^{3})^{j+1}}{b^{(C+1+j)l^{e_{2}(n)}}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{b^{I_{o}k^{e_{1}(n)}+J_{o}l^{e_{2}(n)}}} - \frac{c_{r,1}CA^{r}(A^{3})^{C+1}}{b^{I_{o}k^{e_{1}(n)}+J_{o}l^{e_{2}(n)}+k^{e_{1}(n)}\gamma^{e_{1}(n)}}} - \frac{c_{r,1}CA^{r}(A^{3})^{C+1}}{b^{I_{o}k^{e_{1}(n)}+J_{o}l^{e_{2}(n)}}} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{c_{r,1}A^{r}(A^{3})^{j+1}}{b^{(C+1+j)l^{e_{2}(n)}}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{b^{I_{o}k^{e_{1}(n)}+J_{o}l^{e_{2}(n)}}}(1 - \frac{c_{r,1}CA^{r}(A^{3})^{C+1}}{b^{k^{e_{1}(n)}\gamma^{e_{1}(n)}}} - \frac{c_{r,1}CA^{r}(A^{3})^{C+1}}{b^{l^{e_{2}(n)}\gamma^{e_{2}(n)}}}) - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{c_{r,1}A^{r}(A^{3})^{j+1}}{b^{(C+1+j)l^{e_{2}(n)}}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2b^{I_{o}k^{e_{1}(n)}+J_{o}l^{e_{2}(n)}}} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{c_{r,1}A^{r}(A^{3})^{j+1}}{b^{(C+1+j)l^{e_{2}(n)}}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2b^{C}(1+\frac{1}{n})^{l^{e_{2}(n)}}} - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{c_{r,1}A^{r}(A^{3})^{j+1}}{b^{(C+1+j)l^{e_{2}(n)}}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2b^{C}(1+\frac{1}{n})^{l^{e_{2}(n)}}} - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{c_{r,1}A^{r}(A^{3})^{j+1}}{b^{(C+1+j)l^{e_{2}(n)}}} \\ &\geq 0. \end{split}$$

By Theorem 1.1, we only prove that $f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})$ is irrational. We assume that $f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})=\frac{p}{q}$ where $p,q\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $q\neq 0$. By (41), (42), (24) and $(1+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\frac{\tau(i,y)}{b^{iky}})(1+\sum_{j=1}^{l-1}\frac{\delta(j,y)}{b^{jly}})\neq 0$ (for all $y\geq 0$), we have

$$0 < |Q_{e_{1}(n),e_{2}(n)}(\frac{1}{b^{k^{e_{1}(n)}}}, \frac{1}{b^{l^{e_{2}(n)}}})f_{0}(\frac{1}{b})g_{0}(\frac{1}{b}) - p_{n}|$$

$$\leq \frac{b^{2}}{(b-1)^{2}}b^{k^{e_{1}(n)}}b^{l^{e_{2}(n)}}\sum_{j\geq [\sqrt{2}r]}\frac{c_{r,1}(A^{3})^{j+1}}{b^{jl^{e_{2}(n)}}}.$$

$$(43)$$

By the definitions of $Q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(\frac{1}{b^{k^e_1(n)}},\frac{1}{b^{l^e_2(n)}})$ and p_n , we have

$$M_n := b^{(r+2)k^{e_1(n)}} q(Q_{e_1(n),e_2(n)}(\frac{1}{b^{k^{e_1(n)}}}, \frac{1}{b^{l^{e_2(n)}}}) f_0(\frac{1}{b}) g_0(\frac{1}{b}) - p_n) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$(44)$$

On the other hand, by (43), $[\sqrt{2}r] - r - 6 > 0$ and (12), for any sufficiently large integer n in N_8 , we get

$$0 < |M_n| < 1.$$

This contradicts (44). Therefore, $f_0(\frac{1}{b})g_0(\frac{1}{b})$ is irrational.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the referees for careful reading, valuable comments, suggestions and the corrections of Lemma 3.2.

References

- [1] Allouche, J.-P., & Shallit, J. (2003). *Automatic sequences: Theory, Applications, Generalizations*. Cambridge University Press.
- [2] Amou, M., & Väänänen, M. (2015). Arithmetical properties of certain infinite products. *Journal of Number Theory*, 153, 283–303.
- [3] Amou, M., & Väänänen, K. (2017). On algebraic independence of a class of infinite products. *Journal of Number Theory*, 172, 114–132.
- [4] Bell, J. P., Bugeaud, Y., & Coons, M. (2015). Diophantine approximation of Mahler numbers. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, (3) 110, 1157–1206.
- [5] Bugeaud, Y. (2012). *Distribution modulo one and Diophantine approximation*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Vol. 193. Cambridge University Press.
- [6] Coons, M., & Spiegelhofer, L. (2018). Number Theoretic Aspects of Regular Sequences. In V. Berthé, & M. Rigo (Eds.), Sequences, Groups, and Number Theory, Trends in Mathematics Series, Springer, pp. 37–87.

- [7] Delange, H. (1972). Sur les fonctions *q*-additives ou *q*-multiplicatives. *Acta Arithmetica*, 21, 285–298.
- [8] Duverney, D., & Nishioka, K. (2003). An inductive method for proving the transcendence of certain series. *Acta Arithmetica*, 110(4), 305–330.
- [9] Gel'fond, A. O. (1968). Sur les nombres qui ont des propriétés additives et multiplicatives données. *Acta Arithmetica*, 13, 259–265.
- [10] Masser, D. (2016). *Auxiliary Polynomials in Number Theory*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics Book 207.
- [11] Nishioka, K. (1994). Algebraic independence by Mahler's method and S-unit equations. *Compositio Mathematica*, 92, 87–110.
- [12] Nishioka, K. (1996). *Mahler Functions and Transcendence*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1631 Springer.
- [13] Tachiya, Y. (2007). Transcendence of certain infinite products. *Journal of Number Theory*, 125(1), 182–200.
- [14] Uchida, Y. (1999). On p and q-additive functions. *Tokyo Journal of Mathematics*, 22(1), 83–97.