

# On certain equations and inequalities involving the arithmetical functions $\varphi(n)$ and $d(n)$

József Sándor<sup>1</sup> and Saunak Bhattacharjee<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Babeş-Bolyai University, Department of Mathematics  
Cluj-Napoca, Romania  
e-mail: jsandor@math.ubbcluj.ro

<sup>2</sup> Indian Institute of Science Education and Research  
Tirupati, India  
e-mail: saunakbhattacharjee@students.iisertirupati.ac.in

**Received:** 10 January 2022

**Revised:** 27 May 2022

**Accepted:** 9 June 2022

**Online First:** 14 June 2022

**Abstract:** By using the results and methods of [1], we will study the equation  $\varphi(n) + d(n) = \frac{n}{2}$  and the related inequalities. The equation  $\varphi(n) + d^2(n) = 2n$  will be solved, too.

**Keywords:** Arithmetic functions, Inequalities.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 11A25.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\varphi(n)$  and  $d(n)$  denote Euler's totient function and the number of divisors function, respectively. It is well-known that  $\varphi(1) = d(1) = 1$  and for  $n = p_1^{a_1} \dots p_r^{a_r}$  (prime factorization of  $n > 1$ ) one has

$$\varphi(n) = p_1^{a_1-1} \dots p_r^{a_r-1} \dots (p_1 - 1) \dots (p_r - 1) \text{ and } d(n) = (a_1 + 1) \dots (a_r + 1) \quad (1)$$

with  $p_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ) distinct primes and  $a_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ) positive integers. In paper [1], we have determined the solutions of equation  $\varphi(n) + d(n) = n$ , and proved certain related inequalities.

The aim of this note is to study certain new equations, the first one being

$$\varphi(n) + d(n) = \frac{n}{2} \quad (2)$$

and the related inequalities. Our methods will be based on some methods and results of [1], as well as certain other inequalities, combined with computer computations.

## 2 Main results

First we state some auxiliary results. The first one is proved in [1]:

**Lemma 1.** *For all odd and composite integer  $M$  one has*

$$\varphi(M) + d(M) \leq M \tag{3}$$

*with equality only for  $M = 9$ .*

The following inequality was first proved by W. Sierpiński [4]:

**Lemma 2.** *If  $M$  is composite, then*

$$\varphi(M) \leq M - \sqrt{M}. \tag{4}$$

The next inequality can be found in [3]:

**Lemma 3.** *For any integer  $n \geq 1$  one has*

$$d(n) < 4 \cdot \sqrt[3]{n}. \tag{5}$$

The main result of this paper is contained in:

**Theorem 1.** *The only solution of equation (2) is  $n = 72$ . If  $n$  is even and not of the form  $2^k$  ( $k \geq 1$ ); or  $2^m \cdot p$  ( $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$ )  $p$  odd prime; or  $n = 18, 30, 36, 50$ , then one has*

$$\varphi(n) + d(n) < \frac{n}{2}. \tag{6}$$

*If  $n$  even is of the form  $2^k$  or  $2^m \cdot p$  ( $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ),  $p$  prime or  $n = 18, 30, 36, 50$ , then one has*

$$\varphi(n) + d(n) > \frac{n}{2}. \tag{7}$$

*Proof.* First remark that if  $n = 2^k$ , then  $\varphi(n) + d(n) = 2^{k-1} + k + 1 > 2^{k-1} = \frac{n}{2}$ . Let now  $n = 2^k \cdot M$ , where  $M > 1$  is composite and odd. Then we can write:

$$\varphi(n) + d(n) = 2^{k+1} \cdot \varphi(M) + (k + 1)d(M),$$

as  $\varphi$  and  $d$  are multiplicative functions. Now, remark that for all  $k \geq 3$  one has the inequality  $2^{k-1} \geq k + 1$ ; with equality only for  $k = 3$ . Thus, by using this inequality, combined with Lemma 1, we get

$$\varphi(n) + d(n) \leq 2^{k-1} \cdot [\varphi(M) + d(M)] \leq 2^{k-1} \cdot M = \frac{n}{2}.$$

There is equality here only for  $k = 3$  and  $M = 9$ , thus  $n = 2^3 \cdot 9 = 72$  is a solution of equation (2).

Now, let  $n = 2^k \cdot M$  with  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ . If  $n = 2 \cdot M$ , then

$$\varphi(n) + d(n) = \varphi(M) + 2d(M) < M - \sqrt{M} + 8 \cdot \sqrt[3]{M},$$

by Lemmas 2 and 3. Thus, for  $M - \sqrt{M} + 8 \cdot \sqrt[3]{M} < \frac{n}{2} = M$  we have  $\varphi(n) + d(n) < \frac{n}{2}$ . The above inequality is satisfied only if  $8 \cdot \sqrt[3]{M} < \sqrt{M}$ , i.e.,  $M > 8^6 = 262144$ . A computer verification shows that the only values  $n = 2 \cdot M$ , with  $M < 262144$  for which  $\varphi(n) + d(n) < \frac{n}{2}$  are  $n \neq 18, 30, 50$  in which cases the inequality is reversed. Also, there is no any solution to (2) for these values.

Let now  $n = 4 \cdot M$ . Now, by the same argument as above,

$$\varphi(n) + d(n) = 2\varphi(M) + 3d(M) < \frac{n}{2} = 2M$$

is satisfied if  $2 \cdot (M - \sqrt{M}) + 12 \cdot \sqrt[3]{M} < 2M$ , i.e.,  $6\sqrt[3]{M} < \sqrt{M}$ , or  $M > 6^6 = 46656$ . A computer verification shows again that for  $M \leq 46656$  there is no solution to (2) and that for all  $n \neq 36$  one has  $\varphi(n) = d(n) < \frac{n}{2}$  for these values.

Let now  $n = 2^k \cdot p$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime. Then

$$\varphi(n) + d(n) = 2^{k-1} \cdot (p-1) + 2 \cdot (k+1) \leq 2^{k-1} \cdot p = \frac{n}{2} \Leftrightarrow 2 \cdot (k+1) \leq 2^{k-1}$$

or  $k+1 \leq 2^{k-2}$ . It is immediate that, this inequality is valid for all  $k \geq 5$ , with strict inequality. Thus, for these values of  $n$  one has  $\varphi(n) + d(n) < \frac{n}{2}$ . Finally, for  $n = 2p, 4p, 8p, 16p$  simple verifications show that in all cases we have the reverse inequality. For example, for  $n = 8p$  one has

$$\varphi(n) + d(n) = 4(p-1) + 8 = 4p + 4 > 4p = \frac{n}{2}.$$

These prove the validity of inequalities (6) and (7) in the considered cases.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** *The fact that the equation (2) is not solvable for  $n = 2M$  ( $M > 1$ ) follows without computer verifications. Indeed, in this case the equation becomes*

$$\varphi(M) + 2d(M) = M \tag{8}$$

and as  $M \geq 3$  it is known from (1) that  $\varphi(M)$  is an even number. So, the left-hand side of equation (8) is even, while the right-hand side is odd; which is impossible.

**Remark 2.** *The study of inequalities*

$$\varphi(n) + d(n) < \frac{n}{2} \tag{9}$$

and

$$\varphi(n) + d(n) > \frac{n}{2} \tag{10}$$

for  $n$  odd, is a difficult open problem.

Relation (10) is satisfied clearly for any  $n = p = \text{prime}$ . On the other hand, infinitely many solutions for (9) are provided, e.g., by  $n = 1155 \cdot p$ , where  $p \geq 13$  is a prime. Indeed, as  $1155 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ , one has  $\varphi(n) = 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 10 \cdot (p-1) = 480(p-1)$ , and the inequality  $480 \cdot (p-1) + 32 < \frac{1155}{2} \cdot p$  holds true, as it becomes  $195p > -896$ , which is trivially true.

**Remark 3.** Other related equations and inequalities can be found in the recent book [2].

**Remark 4.** In [1] it was shown that only a verification is necessary for even  $n < 16$  for the even solutions of  $\varphi(n) + d(n) = n$ . It was stated that only  $n = 8$  is acceptable. But  $n = 6$  is acceptable, too; so that we make a correction here, namely that all solutions to the equation are  $n = 6, 8$  and  $9$ .

**Remark 5.** By the methods of [1] we can study also the equation

$$\varphi(n) + d^2(n) = 2n. \quad (11)$$

Clearly if  $n = p$  is prime, then  $p - 1 + 4 = 2p$  only if  $p = 3$ . If  $n$  is composite, and  $n \geq 1262$ , then by  $d(n) < \sqrt{n}$  (see [1]) and  $\varphi(n) \leq n - \sqrt{n}$  we get  $\varphi(n) + d^2(n) < 2n - \sqrt{n} < 2n$ , so for  $n \leq 1261$  a computer verification is necessary to study (11). We get the following:

**Theorem 2.** All solutions to equation (11) are  $n = 3, 10, 40, 84$ .

In a forthcoming paper, more similar equations involving  $\varphi(n)$  and  $d(n)$  will be studied.

## References

- [1] Sándor, J. (2020). On the equation  $\varphi(n) + d(n) = n$  and related inequalities. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 26(3), 1–4.
- [2] Sándor, J., & Atanassov, K. T. (2021). *Arithmetic Functions*. Nova Science Publishers, New York.
- [3] Sándor, J., & Kovács, L. (2009). An inequality for the number of divisors. *Octagon Mathematical Magazine*, 17(2), 746–749.
- [4] Sándor, J., Mitrović, D. S., & Crstici, B. (2005). *Handbook of Number Theory I*. Springer.