

# On certain rational perfect numbers

József Sándor

Department of Mathematics, Babeş-Bolyai University  
Cluj-Napoca, Romania  
e-mail: jsandor@math.ubbcluj.ro

Received: 12 March 2022

Revised: 11 May 2022

Accepted: 11 May 2022

Online First: 12 May 2022

**Abstract:** We study equations of type  $\sigma(n) = \frac{k+1}{k} \cdot n + a$ , where  $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , where  $k$  and  $n$  are positive integers, while  $\sigma(n)$  denotes the sum of divisors of  $n$ .

**Keywords:** Sum of divisors, Perfect numbers.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 11A25.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\sigma(n)$  denote the sum of distinct positive divisors of  $n > 1$ . Let  $k \geq 1$  be a positive integer. The equation

$$\sigma(n) = \frac{k+1}{k} \cdot n, \quad (1)$$

where  $k = 1$ , has a long history in mathematics, as these are the famous perfect numbers  $n$  for which  $\sigma(n) = 2n$  (see [5, 6]).

For  $k > 1$ , however, these are certain “rational perfect” numbers  $n$ . For  $k = p - 1$ , with  $p \geq 3$  a prime, we have introduced Equation (1) in [3]. A similar equation, namely

$$\sigma(n) = \frac{k+1}{k} \cdot n + 1 \quad (2)$$

for  $k = 2$  has been considered in our note [4].

In this paper we will solve completely these equations, as well as the following new ones:

$$\sigma(n) = \frac{k+1}{k} \cdot n + 2, \quad (3)$$

$$\sigma(n) = \frac{k+1}{k} \cdot n + 3. \quad (3')$$

## 2 Main results

The following auxiliary result will be used more times:

**Lemma 1.**  $\sigma(n)$  is a multiplicative function, i.e.,  $\sigma(mn) = \sigma(m)\sigma(n)$  for all  $(m, n) = 1$ . One has the inequality

$$\sigma(a \cdot b) \geq a \cdot \sigma(b) \quad (4)$$

with equality only for  $a = 1$ .

For a proof of (4), see our paper [1], as well as [2].

**Lemma 2.** Let  $x > 1$  be a positive integer. Then

$$\sigma(x) = x + 1 \text{ iff } x \geq 2 \text{ is a prime;} \quad (5)$$

$$\sigma(x) = x + 2 \text{ is not solvable;} \quad (6)$$

$$\sigma(x) = x + 3 \text{ iff } x = 4. \quad (7)$$

$$\sigma(x) = x + 4 \text{ iff } x = 9. \quad (7')$$

*Proof.* (5) is well-known and follows from  $\sigma(x) \geq x + 1$ , with equality only if  $x$  is a prime.

As  $\sigma(x) = (x + 1) + 1$  and 1 and  $x > 1$  are distinct divisors of  $x$ , clearly (6) is not possible.

Writing (7) as  $\sigma(x) = x + 1 + 2$ , it follows that  $2 \parallel x$ , and there are no other divisors; so  $x = 2^k$ ; and it is immediate that  $k = 2$ ; so  $x = 4$ .

Writing (7') as  $\sigma(x) = x + 1 + 3$ , it follows in a similar manner that  $x = 9$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** If Equation (1) is solvable, then we must have  $n = k = p$ , where  $p$  is a prime number.

*Proof.* As  $(k, k + 1) = 1$  we must have  $n = \text{multiple of } k$ , i.e.,  $n = k \cdot A$  ( $A \geq 1$  integer). Thus (1) becomes

$$\sigma(k \cdot A) = (k + 1) \cdot A. \quad (8)$$

By applying inequality (4), one has  $\sigma(k \cdot A) \geq A \cdot \sigma(k)$ , with equality only for  $A = 1$ , so by (8) we get  $\sigma(k) \leq k + 1$ . By (5) we get that  $k = p = \text{prime}$ . As  $A = 1$ , we have  $n = p \cdot 1 = p$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Suppose that  $k = q - 1$ , where  $q \geq 3$  is a prime. On the basis of Theorem 1, the equation

$$\sigma(n) = \frac{q}{q - 1} \cdot n \quad (9)$$

can be solved only if  $q - 1 = p$  is a prime. This is possible only if  $q = 3, p = 2$ , so the only solution of the Equation (9) is  $n = 2$ . This offers a complete solution of equation from [3].

**Theorem 2.** If Equation (2) is solvable, then  $k$  must be a prime ( $k = p$ ) and  $n = p^2$ .

*Proof.* Equation (2) with  $n = k \cdot A$  ( $A \geq 1$ ) can be rewritten as  $\sigma(kA) = (k + 1) \cdot A + 1$ . As by (4) one has  $\sigma(kA) \geq A \cdot \sigma(k)$ , we get that one must have

$$A \cdot [\sigma(k) - (k + 1)] \leq 1. \quad (10)$$

As  $\sigma(k) - (k + 1) \geq 0$  and  $A \geq 1$ , inequality (10) can be true only if:

- i)  $\sigma(k) - (k + 1) = 0$  and  $A$  arbitrary;
- ii)  $A = 1, \sigma(k) - (k + 1) = 1$ .

Remark that Case ii) is not possible by (6), so remains only Case i), when we get that  $k$  is prime, and  $A$  is arbitrary. Let  $k = p$ , and write  $n = p^a \cdot N$  ( $a \geq 1, N \geq 1$ ) and  $(p, N) = 1$ .

As  $\sigma(n) = \sigma(p^a)\sigma(N) = \frac{p^{a+1} - 1}{p - 1} \cdot \sigma(N)$ , Equation (2) can be rewritten as

$$p \cdot (p^{a+1} - 1)\sigma(N) = (p^2 - 1)p^a \cdot N + p \cdot (p - 1). \quad (11)$$

Let  $\sigma(N) = N + T$ , where  $T \geq 0$  is an integer. Equation (11) can be rewritten as

$$N \cdot (p^a - p) + T \cdot (p^{a+2} - p) = p^2 - p. \quad (12)$$

If  $a = 1$ , (12) is possible only if  $N = 1$  and  $T = 0$ ; thus  $n = 1$ , which is not possible as we have assumed  $n > 1$ .

If  $a \geq 2$ , (12) is possible only if  $N = 1, T = 0$  and  $a = 2$ , so we get  $n = p^2$ , and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** Particularly, when  $k = 2$ , we get that only solution of (2) is  $n = 4$ , proved in [4].

**Theorem 3.** Equation (3) is solvable only if  $n = k = 4$ .

*Proof.* As  $n = k \cdot A$  ( $A \geq 1$ ), Equation (3) can be rewritten as

$$\sigma(kA) = (k + 1) \cdot A + 2. \quad (13)$$

As  $\sigma(kA) \geq A\sigma(k)$ , from (13) we get the inequality

$$A \cdot [\sigma(k) - (k + 1)] \leq 2. \quad (14)$$

Logically, there are possible four distinct cases:

- i)  $A$  arbitrary,  $\sigma(k) - (k + 1) = 0$ ;
- ii)  $A = 1, \sigma(k) - (k + 1) = 2$ ;
- iii)  $A = 2, \sigma(k) - (k + 1) = 1$ ;
- iv)  $A = 1, \sigma(k) - (k + 1) = 1$ .

Clearly, Cases iii), iv) are not possible by (6). In Case ii) one has by (7) that  $k = 4$  and  $A = 1$ ; so  $n = 4$ .

Let us consider now Case i). In this case  $k = p = \text{prime}$ . Similarly to Equation (11), we get:

$$N \cdot (p^a - p) + T \cdot (p^{a+2} - p) = 2 \cdot (p^2 - p). \quad (15)$$

If  $T \geq 1$ , as  $a \geq 1$  remark that  $T \cdot (p^{a+2} - p) > 2(p^2 - p)$ , as  $p^{a+2} - p > 2(p^2 - p)$  can be written as  $p \cdot (p^{a+1} - 2p + 1) > 0$ , which is true, as  $p^{a+1} - 2p = p(p^a - 2) \geq 0$ . Thus one must have  $T = 0$ , in which case  $n = 1$  and (15) is not possible.

Therefore, only the Case ii) is possible, i.e.,  $n = p = 4$ , and this finishes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** All solutions of Equation (3') are  $n = 9$  and  $n = 2q$ , where  $q \geq 3$  is an arbitrary prime.

*Proof.* Proceeding similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3, one can deduce that when  $k = p$  is a prime, and for the solutions  $n = p^a \cdot N$  one must have an analogue of Equation (15):

$$N \cdot (p^a - p) + T \cdot (p^{a+2} - p) = 3 \cdot (p^2 - p), \quad (16)$$

where  $\sigma(N) = N + T$ . Now the situation is distinct from the case of (15), as now for  $a = 1$ , the equation  $T \cdot (p^3 - p) = 3(p^2 - p)$  has the solution  $T = 1, p = 2$ . As  $T = 1$  and  $\sigma(N) = N + 1$ , we get that  $N$  is a prime:  $N = q$ . In this case  $n = 2q$ , so the Equation (3') has infinitely many solutions, contrary to Equation (3).

Similarly to (14), one can have also the cases  $A = 1$  and  $\sigma(k) - (k + 1) = 2$ , in which case  $k = 4$  and  $n = 4$ , and we do not have a solution; or  $A = 1$  and  $\sigma(k) - (k + 1) = 3$ , so on the basis of (7') we get  $k = 9$  and  $n = 9$ , which is a solution.  $\square$

Finally, an extension of Theorems 3 and 4 is contained in the following Theorem 5.

**Theorem 5.** The equation

$$\sigma(n) = \frac{k+1}{k} \cdot n + r, \quad (17)$$

where  $r$  is a given positive integer can have at most a finite number of solutions  $n$ , and/or an infinite number solutions of type  $n = q \cdot N$ , where  $q$  is an arbitrary prime, and  $N$  can take at most a finite number of values.

*Proof.* As in the proof of the preceding theorems, for  $n = kA$  one gets the inequality

$$A \cdot [\sigma(k) - (k + 1)] \leq r. \quad (18)$$

As  $r$  is finite,  $A$  and  $\sigma(k) - (k + 1)$  can have at most a finite number of values. The equation  $\sigma(k) - (k + 1) = m$ , or  $\sigma(k) = k + M$  ( $M = m + 1$ ) can have at most a finite number of solutions, as all divisors of  $k$  must be certain divisors of  $M$ , and there are at most a finite number of such values.

In the case when  $\sigma(k) - (k + 1) = 0$ , i.e.,  $k = p = \text{prime}$ , one gets  $n = p^a \cdot N$  with  $(p, N) = 1$ , and writing  $\sigma(N) = N + T$ , the equation similar to (16) will be

$$N \cdot (p^a - p) + T \cdot (p^{a+2} - p) = r \cdot (p^2 - p). \quad (19)$$

When  $a = 1$ , the equation  $T \cdot (p^3 - p) = r(p^2 - p)$  can be written as  $T \cdot (p + 1) = r$ , which can have a finite number of values of  $T$ , so by  $\sigma(N) = N + T$ , there will be a finite number of values of  $N$ . Thus  $n = p \cdot N$  and the proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Sándor, J. (1989). On the composition of some arithmetic functions. *Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai, Mathematica*, 34(1), 7–14.

- [2] Sándor, J. (2001). On even perfect and superperfect numbers. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 7(1), 4–5.
- [3] Sándor, J. (2006). An extension of  $k$ -perfect numbers. *Research Group in Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, 9(4), Article 4.
- [4] Sándor, J. (2008). On  $k_p$ -perfect numbers. *Matlap*, 12(8), 297–298 (in Hungarian).
- [5] Sándor, J., & Atanassov, K. T. (2021). *Arithmetic Functions*. Nova Science Publishers, New York.
- [6] Sándor, J., Mitrinović, D. S., & Crstici, B. (2006). *Handbook of Number Theory I*. Springer, Dordrecht.