Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics Print ISSN 1310–5132, Online ISSN 2367–8275 Vol. 27, 2021, No. 3, 12–15 DOI: 10.7546/nntdm.2021.27.3.12-15

# A short remark on an arithmetic function

Anthony G. Shannon<sup>1</sup> and Krassimir T. Atanassov<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Warrane College, The University of New South Wales Sydney 2033, Australia email: tshannon38@gmail.com

 <sup>2</sup> Department of Bioinformatics and Mathematical Modelling IBPhBME – Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Acad. G. Bonchev Str. Bl. 105, Sofia-1113, Bulgaria

and

Intelligent Systems Laboratory, Prof. Asen Zlatarov University 1 Prof. Yakimov Blvd, Bourgas-8010, Bulgaria email: krat@bas.bg

Received: 3 February 2021	<b>Revised:</b> 17 July 2021	Accepted: 30 July 2021
---------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------

Abstract: An explicit form of A. Shannon's arithmetic function  $\delta$  is given. A possible application of it is discussed for representation of the well-known arithmetic functions  $\omega$  and Kronecker's delta-function  $\delta_{m,s}$ .

**Keywords:** Arithmetic function,  $\delta$ -function,  $\omega$ -function. **2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 11A25.

## **1** Introduction

45 years ago, in [7], A. G. Shannon introduced the following, back then new, arithmetic function:

$$\delta(m,s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m \mid s \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(1)

Here, we give an explicit representation of (1).

### 2 Preliminaries

For the needs of the next section, we introduce two functions.

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all positive integers. If n > 1 and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then n has the form

$$n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\alpha_i}$$

that is called a canonical factorization of n, where  $k, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1, p_1, \ldots, p_k$  are different primes. For n it is defined the set-theoretical function (see [1])

$$\underline{\operatorname{set}}(n) = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\},\$$

and the arithmetic function (see [2])

$$\overline{\mathrm{sg}}(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

.

#### 3 Main result

Having in mind that the natural number m divides the natural number s if and only if (iff) each divisor of m is a divisor of s and the degree with this divisor participate in m is smaller or equal to the degree with this divisor participate in s, we can represent this assertion in the following predicate logical form:

$$P(m,s) = "(\forall p \in \underline{\operatorname{set}}(m))(\deg_m(p) \le \deg_s(p))",$$

where  $\deg_m(p)$  is the degree with which the prime number p participates in the natural number m.

It is clear that if the predicate P(m, s) is true, then  $\underline{set}(m) \subseteq \underline{set}(s)$ . Now, we give an arithmetic form of predicate P(m, s). It is

$$P(m,s) = \prod_{p \in \underline{\operatorname{set}}(m)} \overline{\operatorname{sg}}(\deg_m(p) - \deg_s(p)).$$

Really,

$$\overline{\mathrm{sg}}(\mathrm{deg}_m(p) - \mathrm{deg}_s(p)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathrm{deg}_m(p) \leq \mathrm{deg}_s(p) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$P(m,s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (\forall p \in \underline{\text{set}}(m))(\deg_m(p) \leq \deg_s(p)) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence, giving an arithmetic form of the predicate P(m, s), we proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** For every two natural numbers m and s:

$$\delta(m,s) = \prod_{p \in \underline{\operatorname{set}}(m)} \overline{\operatorname{sg}}(\deg_m(p) - \deg_s(p)).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

The  $\delta$ -function can be used for representation, e.g., of the prime omega function  $\omega(n)$  giving the number of the distinct prime divisors of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , n > 1 and  $\omega(1) = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.** For each natural number  $n \ge 2$ :

$$\omega(n) = \sum_{p \le n} \delta(p, n),$$

where the variable p represents a prime number. *Proof.* Let  $n \ge 2$  be given. Then for each  $p (2 \le p \le n)$ , from (2) we obtain:

$$\delta(p,n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p \mid n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence,  $\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \delta(p, n)$  is the number of the divisors of the natural number n.

### 4 Conclusion

The delta symbol was obviously chosen because of a connection with the Kronecker delta  $\delta_{m,s}$ :

$$\delta_{m,s} = \delta(m,s)\delta(s,m),$$

since

$$\delta(m,s)\delta(s,m)=1$$

iff  $m \mid s$  and  $s \mid m$ ; that is, iff m = s; otherwise

$$\delta(m,s)\delta(s,m) = 0.$$

The  $\delta(m, s)$  was used in solving a problem of Morgan Ward [8] for a generalization of the Staudt–Clausen problem [3]. Further, Mollie Horadam [4] defined the function

$$e(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

.

Note that  $e(n) = \delta(n, 1), n \ge 1$ , and

$$e(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d),$$

where  $\mu(d)$  is the Möbius function. Of more immediate relevance to this paper is that e(n) acts as an identity element for  $\delta(n, n)$ . Popken [6] defined the convolution product of two arithmetical functions f(n) and g(n) as

$$f(n) * g(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)g\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

For notational convenience for convolutions associated with the delta functions, we shall consider the first term in the parentheses as the one indexed, so that

$$e(n) * \delta(n,n) = \sum_{d|n} e(d)\delta\left(\frac{n}{d},n\right) = e(1)\delta(n,n) + 0 = \delta(n,n),$$

as required.

The use of notation can itself be mathematically creative and stimulate further enquiry which sometimes leads to patterns of importance [5].

## References

- Atanassov, K. (1984). On one problem of A. Mullin. Bulletin of Number Theory and Related Topics, VIII(3), 1–5.
- [2] Atanassov, K. (2006). On some intuitionistic fuzzy implications. *Comptes Rendus de l'Academie bulgare des Sciences*, 59(1), 19–24.
- [3] Horadam, A. F., & Shannon, A. G. (1976). Ward's Staudt–Clausen problem. *Mathematica Scandinavica*, 29, 239–250.
- [4] Horadam, E. M. (1963). The Euler  $\phi$  function for generalized integers. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 14, 754–762.
- [5] Iverson, K. E. (1980). Notation as a Tool of Thought. *Communications of the Association for Computing Machinery*, 23, 444–465.
- [6] Popken, J. (1995). On convolutions in number theory. *Indagationes Mathematicae*, 17, 10–15.
- [7] Shannon, A. G. (1976). Some number theoretic properties of arbitrary order recursive sequences. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 14, 149–151.
- [8] Ward, M. (1936). A calculus of sequences. American Journal of Mathematics, 58, 255–266.