

Number of tuples with a given least common multiple

K. Siddharth Choudary¹ and A. Satyanarayana Reddy²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Shiv Nadar University
India-201314
e-mail: sk597@snu.edu.in

² Department of Mathematics, Shiv Nadar University
India-201314
e-mail: satyanarayana.reddy@snu.edu.in

Received: 20 June 2019

Revised: 18 March 2020

Accepted: 20 April 2020

Abstract: In this paper, for a given natural number n , we count the number of k -tuples $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with certain conditions such that $\text{lcm}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = n$. In the process, we derived different arithmetic functions.

Keywords: Arithmetic function, Multiplicative function, Least common multiple, Stirling numbers of the second kind.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A41, 11A51, 11A25.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let $n = \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{\alpha_i}$ be the prime factorization of positive integer n and

$$\Delta_n(k) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k \mid \forall j, x_j | n\}.$$

For a given $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in \Delta_n(k)$ we associate a k -tuple $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k)$ such that $p_i^{\beta_j} || x_j$ for each p_i $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since $0 \leq \beta_j \leq \alpha_i$, the total number of possible k -tuples (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) corresponding to p_i is $(\alpha_i + 1)^k$. Thus $|\Delta_n(k)| = \prod_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i + 1)^k$. If $k = 1$, then $\Delta_n(1) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is exactly the set of positive divisors of n . We denote $|\Delta_n(1)|$ as $\tau(n)$, number of positive divisors of n . Hence $|\Delta_n(k)| = \tau(n)^k$.

The following result, also proved by O. Bagdasar [2] we are giving the proof for the sake of completeness. For elementary properties of divisor function, lcm, gcd refer any one of the following [1, 3, 6, 7].

Theorem 1.1 ([2]). Let $n = \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{\alpha_i}$ and

$$A_n(k) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k \mid \text{lcm}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = n\}.$$

$$\text{Then } |A_n(k)| = \prod_{i=1}^m ((\alpha_i + 1)^k - \alpha_i^k).$$

Proof. First note that $A_n(k) \subseteq \Delta_n(k)$. In order to have $\text{lcm}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = n$, at least one of x_j should be equal to $p_i^{\alpha_i}$. Corresponding to each p_i , the number of elements in $\Delta_n(k) \setminus A_n(k)$ are α_i^k . Thus the total number of valid cases for p_i is $(\alpha_i + 1)^k - \alpha_i^k$. Hence the result follows from the product rule. \square

Example 1.2. If $n = 12$ and $k = 2$, then we have $A_{12}(2) = \{(1, 12), (2, 12), (3, 4), (3, 12), (4, 3), (4, 6), (4, 12), (6, 4), (6, 12), (12, 1), (12, 2), (12, 3), (12, 4), (12, 6), (12, 12)\}$ and

$$|A_{12}(2)| = ((2 + 1)^2 - 2^2)((1 + 1)^2 - 1^2).$$

Let P_k denote the product of first k primes. For example $P_1 = 2, P_2 = 6, P_3 = 30$. The sequence whose n -th term is P_n is called *primorial* and P_n is called n -th primorial number.

Corollary 1.3. Let P_n be the n -th primorial number. Then $|A_{P_n}(k)| = (2^k - 1)^n$.

It is easy to see that $\Delta_n(k)$ and $A_n(k)$ are multiplicative functions in n . Recall that a function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is multiplicative if $f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$ whenever $\text{gcd}(m, n) = 1$.

Let $S_n(k) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in A_n(k) \mid 1 < x_1 < \dots < x_k < n\}$. Then it is clear that $S_{p^t}(k)$ is an empty set, where p is a prime number and $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, $2 \leq k \leq \tau(n) - 2$. For example

$$S_{30}(2) = \{(2, 15), (3, 10), (5, 6), (6, 10), (6, 15), (10, 15)\}.$$

Our goal is to find out $|S_n(k)|$ for a given n and k . Before stating main result, we state and prove a couple of results.

Lemma 1.4. Let $n = \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{\alpha_i}$ and $B_n(k) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in A_n(k) \mid \forall i \ x_i < n\}$. Then $|B_n(k)| = |A_n(k)| - (\tau(n)^k - (\tau(n) - 1)^k)$.

Proof. Let $\Delta_n^n(k) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in \Delta_n(k) \mid x_j = n \text{ for some } j\}$. Then $\Delta_n^n(k) \subseteq A_n(k)$ and $B_n(k) = A_n(k) \setminus \Delta_n^n(k)$. It is easy to see that $|\Delta_n(k) \setminus \Delta_n^n(k)| = (\tau(n) - 1)^k$. Hence the result follows. \square

Example 1.5. Let $n = 12$ and $k = 2$. Then $B_{12}(2) = \{(3, 4), (4, 3), (4, 6), (6, 4)\}$. $|B_{12}(2)| = 15 - (6^2 - (6 - 1)^2)$.

The following result follows from Corollary 1.3 and $|B_{P_n}(2)| = |A_{P_n}(2)| - (2^{2n} - (2^n - 1)^2)$.

Corollary 1.6. *Let P_n be the n -th primorial number. Then*

$$|B_{P_n}(2)| = 3^n - 2^{n+1} + 1 = 2 \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+1 \\ 3 \end{matrix} \right\},$$

where $\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}$ is the Stirling numbers of the second kind.

Lemma 1.7. *Let $n = \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{\alpha_i}$ and $C_n(k) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in B_n(k) | \forall i, x_i > 1\}$. Then*

$$|C_n(k)| = \left(\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} |A_n(k-i)| \right) - ((\tau(n) - 1)^k - (\tau(n) - 2)^k).$$

Proof. We have that $|C_n(k)| = |B_n(k)|$ is the number of tuples in $B_n(k)$ that contain 1. Using the principle of inclusion and exclusion, we get that number of tuples in $B_n(k)$ that contain 1 is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \binom{k}{i} |B_n(k-i)| \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \binom{k}{i} |A_n(k-i)| \right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \binom{k}{i} t^{k-i} \right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \binom{k}{i} (t-1)^{k-i} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \binom{k}{i} |A_n(k-i)| \right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} t^{k-i} \right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} (t-1)^{k-i} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \binom{k}{i} |A_n(k-i)| \right) + ((t-1)^k - t^k) - ((t-2)^k - (t-1)^k) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \binom{k}{i} |A_n(k-i)| \right) - (t^k - 2(t-1)^k + (t-2)^k). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $|C_n(k)|$

$$\begin{aligned} &= |B_n(k)| - \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \binom{k}{i} |A_n(k-i)| \right) + (t^k - 2(t-1)^k + (t-2)^k) \\ &= |A_n(k)| - (t^k - (t-1)^k) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} |A_n(k-i)| \right) + (t^k - 2(t-1)^k + (t-2)^k) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} |A_n(k-i)| \right) - ((t-1)^k - (t-2)^k). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Example 1.8. *Since $C_{12}(2) = \{(3, 4), (4, 3), (4, 6), (6, 4)\}$, we have $|C_{12}(2)| = 4$. One can verify that $|C_{12}(2)| = (A_{12}(2) - 2A_{12}(1) + A_{12}(0)) - (5^2 - 4^2)$.*

When $k = 2$, we have that $B_n(2) = C_n(2)$. Thus from Corollary 1.6 we have $|C_{P_n}(2)| = 2 \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+1 \\ 3 \end{matrix} \right\}$.

2 Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let $n = \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{\alpha_i}$. Then

$$|S_n(k)| = \binom{\tau(n) - 2}{k} + \sum_{d|n, d \neq 1} \left(\mu(d) \binom{\tau\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) - 1}{k} \right),$$

where $\mu(\cdot)$ denotes well-known Möbius function.

We use the following lemma to prove above theorem. We omit the proof, as it is easy to derive.

Lemma 2.2. Let $n \geq 2$, $G_n(k) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) | 1 < x_1 < \dots < x_k < n, \forall i x_i | n\}$ and $H_n(k) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) | 1 < x_1 < \dots < x_k \leq n, \forall i x_i | n\}$. Then

$$|G_n(k)| = \binom{\tau(n) - 2}{k}, \quad |H_n(k)| = \binom{\tau(n) - 1}{k}.$$

Proof. Proof of Theorem 2.1. First note that $S_n(k) \subseteq G_n(k)$. Let $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in G_n(k)$. If $\text{lcm}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = n$, then $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in S_n(k)$. Let us assume that $\text{lcm}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = l < n$. Then there exists a prime p such that $p | \frac{n}{l}$. Hence $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in H_{\frac{n}{p}}(k)$ and for every $p|n$, $H_{\frac{n}{p}}(k) \subseteq G_n(k)$. Hence

$$S_n(k) = G_n(k) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{p|n} H_{\frac{n}{p}}(k) \right).$$

Therefore,

$$|S_n(k)| = |G_n(k)| - \left| \left(\bigcup_{p|n} H_{\frac{n}{p}}(k) \right) \right|.$$

Since the prime factors of n are p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m , after applying principle of inclusion and exclusion, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left| \left(\bigcup_{p|n} H_{\frac{n}{p}}(k) \right) \right| \\ &= - \sum_{p_i} \left| H_{\frac{n}{p_i}}(k) \right| + \dots + (-1)^x \sum_{p_{i_1} < \dots < p_{i_x}} \left| H_{\frac{n}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_x}}}(k) \right| + \dots + (-1)^m \left| H_{\frac{n}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_m}}}(k) \right| \\ &= \sum_{d|n, d \neq 1} (\mu(d) |H_{\frac{n}{d}}(k)|) = \sum_{d|n, d \neq 1} \left(\mu(d) \binom{\tau\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) - 1}{k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|S_n(k)| = \binom{\tau(n) - 2}{k} + \sum_{d|n, d \neq 1} \left(\mu(d) \binom{\tau\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) - 1}{k} \right). \quad \square$$

Example 2.3. For $n = 30$ and $k = 3$, we have $S_{30}(3) = \{(2, 3, 5), (2, 3, 10), (2, 3, 15), (2, 5, 6), (2, 5, 15), (2, 6, 10), (2, 6, 15), (2, 10, 15), (3, 5, 6), (3, 5, 10), (3, 6, 10), (3, 6, 15), (3, 10, 15), (5, 6, 10), (5, 6, 15), (5, 10, 15), (6, 10, 15)\}$. Hence $|S_{30}(3)| = 17$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $n \geq 2$ and $Q_n(k) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \mid 1 \leq x_1 < \dots < x_k < n, \text{lcm}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = n\}$. Then

$$|Q_n(k)| = \binom{\tau(n) - 1}{k} + \sum_{d|n, d \neq 1} \left(\mu(d) \binom{\tau\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)}{k} \right).$$

Proof. If $1 < x_1$, then $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in S_n(k)$. If $1 = x_1$, then $(x_2, \dots, x_k) \in S_n(k-1)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_n(k)| &= |S_n(k)| + |S_n(k-1)| \\ &= \binom{\tau(n) - 2}{k} + \sum_{d|n, d \neq 1} \left(\mu(d) \binom{\tau\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) - 1}{k} \right) + \binom{\tau(n) - 2}{k-1} + \sum_{d|n, d \neq 1} \left(\mu(d) \binom{\tau\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) - 1}{k-1} \right) \\ &= \binom{\tau(n) - 2}{k} + \binom{\tau(n) - 2}{k-1} + \sum_{d|n, d \neq 1} \mu(d) \left(\binom{\tau\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) - 1}{k} + \binom{\tau\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) - 1}{k-1} \right) \\ &= \binom{\tau(n) - 1}{k} + \sum_{d|n, d \neq 1} \left(\mu(d) \binom{\tau\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)}{k} \right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.5. For $n = 12$ and $k = 3$, we have $Q_{12}(3) = \{(1, 3, 4), (1, 4, 6), (2, 3, 4), (2, 4, 6), (3, 4, 6)\}$.

$$|Q_{12}(3)| = 10 + (-4 - 1 + 0 + 0 + 0).$$

Corollary 2.6. Let P_n denote the n -th primorial number. Then $|Q_{P_n}(2)| = \left\{ \frac{n+1}{3} \right\}$.

Proof. We have $|Q_{P_n}(2)| = \binom{\tau(P_n) - 1}{2} + \sum_{d|P_n, d \neq 1} \left(\mu(d) \binom{\tau\left(\frac{P_n}{d}\right) - 1}{2} \right)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_{P_n}(2)| &= \binom{2^n - 1}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} \binom{2^{n-i}}{2} \\ &= \binom{2^n - 1}{2} - \binom{2^n}{2} + \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} \binom{2^{n-i}}{2} \\ &= \binom{2^n - 1}{2} - \binom{2^n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} (2^{n-i})(2^{n-i} - 1) \\ &= \binom{2^n - 1}{2} - \binom{2^n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} (4^{n-i} - 2^{n-i}) \\ &= \binom{2^n - 1}{2} - \binom{2^n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} 3^n - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3^n - 2^{n+1} + 1}{2} = \left\{ \frac{n+1}{3} \right\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.7. Let $R_n(k) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in S_n(k) \mid \text{gcd}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 1\}$. Then

$$|R_n(k)| = |S_n(k)| + \sum_{d|n, d \neq 1} \mu(d) |Q_{\frac{n}{d}}(k)|.$$

Proof. Let $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in S_n(k)$ and $\text{gcd}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = d > 1$. Then there exists a prime p such that $p|d$. Hence we can write $(x_1, \dots, x_k) = p\left(\frac{x_1}{p}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{p}\right)$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in pQ_{\frac{n}{p}}(k)$. Since for every prime p , $pQ_{\frac{n}{p}}(k) \subseteq S_n(k)$, $R_n(k) = S_n(k) \setminus \left(\cup_{p|n} pQ_{\frac{n}{p}}(k) \right)$. Hence we have

$$|R_n(k)| = |S_n(k)| - \left| \left(\cup_{p|n} pQ_{\frac{n}{p}}(k) \right) \right|.$$

Let the prime factors of n be $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}$. By applying principle of inclusion and exclusion we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left| \left(\cup_{p|n} p Q_{\frac{n}{p}}(k) \right) \right| \\
&= - \sum_{p_i} \left| Q_{\frac{n}{p_i}}(k) \right| + \dots + (-1)^x \sum_{p_{i_1} < \dots < p_{i_x}} \left| Q_{\frac{n}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_x}}}(k) \right| + \dots + (-1)^m \left| Q_{\frac{n}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_m}}}(k) \right| \\
&= \sum_{d|n, d \neq 1} (\mu(d) |Q_{\frac{n}{d}}(k)|).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|R_n(k)| = |S_n(k)| + \sum_{d|n, d \neq 1} \mu(d) |Q_{\frac{n}{d}}(k)|. \quad \square$$

We noticed that the sequence $|S_{P_n}(2)|$ coincide with the sequence in OEIS: A000392 (<https://oeis.org/A000392>). The following result establishes the same correspondence.

Theorem 2.8. *Let P_n be the n -th primorial number. Then*

$$|S_{P_n}(2)| = \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+1 \\ 3 \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |S_{P_n}(2)| \\
&= \binom{2^n - 2}{2} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} \binom{2^{n-i} - 1}{2} \right) \\
&= (2^{n-1} - 1)(2^n - 3) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} (2^{n-i} - 1)(2^{n-i-1} - 1) \right) \\
&= -2(2^{n-1} - 1) + (-1)^0 \binom{n}{0} (2^n - 1)(2^{n-1} - 1) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} (2^{n-i} - 1)(2^{n-i-1} - 1) \right) \\
&= -2(2^{n-1} - 1) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} (2^{n-i} - 1)(2^{n-i-1} - 1) \right) \\
&= -2(2^{n-1} - 1) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} \left(\frac{1}{2} 4^{n-i} - \frac{3}{2} 2^{n-i} + 1 \right) \right) \\
&= -2(2^{n-1} - 1) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} (4^{n-i}) \right) - \frac{3}{2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} (2^{n-i}) \right) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} \right) \\
&= -2(2^{n-1} - 1) + \frac{3^n - 3}{2} \\
&= \frac{3^n - 2^{n+1} + 1}{2} \\
&= \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+1 \\ 3 \end{matrix} \right\}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.9. Let $n = \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{\alpha_i}$, $k \leq m$ and $F_n(k) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in A_n(k) | x_i \neq 1 \text{ and } \gcd(x_i, x_j) = 1\}$. Then $|F_n(k)| = \left\{ \begin{matrix} m \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} k!$.

Proof. Let $f : \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ be an onto function. Let $f(i)$ denote the position of prime power $p_i^{\alpha_i}$ in the k -tuple. Since f is onto every entry in the k -tuple is a non-unit. Therefore, the number of onto functions is equal to the number of required k -tuples. The number of onto functions from a set of size m to a set of size k is given by $\left\{ \begin{matrix} m \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} k!$. Hence $|F_n(k)| = \left\{ \begin{matrix} m \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} k!$. \square

Example 2.10. $F_{210}(3) = \{(2, 3, 35), (2, 5, 21), (2, 7, 15), (2, 15, 7), (2, 21, 5), (2, 35, 3), (3, 2, 35), (3, 5, 14), (3, 7, 10), (3, 10, 7), (3, 14, 5), (3, 35, 2), (5, 2, 21), (5, 3, 14), (5, 6, 7), (5, 7, 6), (5, 14, 3), (5, 21, 2), (6, 5, 7), (6, 7, 5), (7, 2, 15), (7, 3, 10), (7, 5, 6), (7, 6, 5), (7, 10, 3), (7, 15, 2), (10, 3, 7), (10, 7, 3), (14, 3, 5), (14, 5, 3), (15, 2, 7), (15, 7, 2), (21, 2, 5), (21, 5, 2), (35, 2, 3), (35, 3, 2)\}$.

Hence $|F_{210}(3)| = 36$.

Corollary 2.11. Let $F'_n(k) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in F_n(k) | x_1 < \dots < x_k < n\}$.

Proof. Each tuple in $F'_n(k)$ corresponds to $k!$ tuples in $F_n(k)$. Hence

$$|F'_n(k)| = \frac{|F_n(k)|}{k!} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} m \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}. \quad \square$$

Example 2.12. $F'_{210}(3) = \{(2, 3, 35), (2, 5, 21), (2, 7, 15), (3, 5, 14), (3, 7, 10), (5, 6, 7)\}$. Hence $|F'_{210}(3)| = 6$. It is easy to verify that $R_n(2) = F'_n(2)$.

3 Conclusion

In this article, for a given natural numbers n and k , we derived different arithmetic functions of the form $f_n(k)$ which count the numbers elements in \mathbb{N}^k satisfying few conditions such that whose lcm is n . We associate these functions with Stirling numbers of the second kind for certain values of n and k . In future we will work on applications of these functions on the multiplicative representation of integers studied in [5, 8] in particular, Theorem 2.9. One can also explore sequences obtained by iterating these functions as studied in the recent paper [4].

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the referees for the valuable comments.

References

- [1] Apostol, T. M. (1976). *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag.
- [2] Bagdasar, O. (2014). On some functions involving the lcm and gcd of integer tuples, *Scientific Publications of the State University of Novi Pazar, Ser. A: Appl. Math. Inform. and Mech.*, 6 (2), 91–100.

- [3] Burton, D. M. (2010). *Elementary Number Theory*, McGraw-Hill Higher Education, 7th edition.
- [4] Djamel, B. A., & Özer, Ö. (2019). On a sequence formed by iterating a divisor operator, *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, 69 (4), 1177–1196.
- [5] Erdős, P. (1964). On the multiplicative representation of integers, *Israel J. Math.*, 2, 251–261.
- [6] Hardy, G. H., & Wright, E. M. (1979). *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 5th edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- [7] Jones, G. A., & Jones, J. M. (1998). *Elementary Number Theory*, Springer Undergraduate Mathematics Series.
- [8] Nathanson, M. B. (1987). Multiplicative representations of integers, *Israel J. Math.*, 57, 129–136.