

## On quasiperfect numbers

V. Siva Rama Prasad<sup>1</sup> and C. Sunitha<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Nalla Malla Reddy Engineering College, Divyanagar  
Ghatakesar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana-501301, India  
e-mail: vangalasrp@yahoo.co.in

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, RBVRR Women's College  
Narayanaguda, Hyderabad, Telangana-500027, India  
e-mail: csunithareddy1974@gmail.com

**Received:** 20 November 2016

**Accepted:** 28 April 2017

**Abstract:** A natural number  $N$  is said to be *quasiperfect* if  $\sigma(N) = 2N + 1$  where  $\sigma(N)$  is the sum of the positive divisors of  $N$ . No quasiperfect number is known. If a quasiperfect number  $N$  exists and if  $\omega(N)$  is the number of distinct prime factors of  $N$  then G. L. Cohen has proved  $\omega(N) \geq 7$  while H. L. Abbott et al. have shown  $\omega(N) \geq 10$  if  $(N, 15) = 1$ . In this paper we first prove that every quasiperfect numbers  $N$  has an odd number of *special factors* (see Definition 2.3 below) and use it to show that  $\omega(N) \geq 15$  if  $(N, 15) = 1$  which refines the result of Abbott et al. Also we provide an alternate proof of Cohen's result when  $(N, 15) = 5$ .

**Keywords:** Quasiperfect number, Special factor.

**AMS Classification:** 11A25.

### 1 Introduction

For any natural number  $N$ , let  $\sigma(N)$  denote the sum of its positive divisors. A number  $N$  is called *abundant*, *perfect* or *deficient* if  $\sigma(N) > 2N$ ,  $\sigma(N) = 2N$  or  $\sigma(N) < 2N$  respectively. It is well known that there are infinitely many abundant numbers and infinitely many deficient numbers. In [7] Sierpinski asks whether there is at least one abundant number satisfying

$$\sigma(N) = 2N + 1, \tag{1.1}$$

for which there is no definite answer till date. P. Cattaneo [2] called any  $N$  satisfying (1.1) *quasiperfect*, and initiated a study of such numbers. Later H. L. Abbott, C. E. Aull, Ezra Brown

and D. Suryanarayana [1] continued investigations on quasiperfect numbers and proved the following: If a quasiperfect number  $N$  exists and if  $\omega(N)$  is the number of distinct prime factors of  $N$ , then

$$\omega(N) \geq 5 \quad ([1], \text{Theorem 2}) \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$\omega(N) \geq 10 \text{ if } (N, 15) = 1 \quad ([1], \text{Theorem 5}) \quad (1.3)$$

M. Kishore [5] improved (1.2) to  $\omega(N) \geq 6$  while G. L. Cohen and Peter Hagis Jr. [3] have obtained a refinement to it as

$$\omega(N) \geq 7 \quad (1.4)$$

Further details of research on quasiperfect numbers can be seen in the book by J. Sándor and B. Crstici ([6], pp. 38–39).

In this paper we first prove that if a quasiperfect number  $N$  exists then it has an odd number of *special factors* (defined in Section 2) and use it to refine (1.3) as

$$\omega(N) \geq 15 \text{ if } (N, 15) = 1 \quad (1.5)$$

and also provide an alternate proof of (1.4) in case  $(N, 15) = 5$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

P. Cattaneo [2] has proved the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $N$  is a quasiperfect number then it is of the form*

*$N = p_1^{2e_1} p_2^{2e_2} \dots p_t^{2e_t}$ , where  $p_i$  are distinct odd primes. Also  $e_i \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{4}$  if  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ ;  $e_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  if  $p_i \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ ;  $e_i \equiv 0$  or  $-1 \pmod{4}$  if  $p_i \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $e_i \geq 1$  if  $p_i \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ . Further if  $M$  is a natural number for which  $\sigma(M) \geq 2M$  then no non-trivial multiple of  $M$  is quasiperfect.*

**Remark 2.2.** It follows from the theorem that every quasiperfect number is the square of an odd integer while the last part of it shows that every quasiperfect number is *primitive abundant*, in the sense that it is an abundant number having no non-deficient number as a divisor.

In the canonical representation of a quasiperfect number each factor is of the form  $p_i^{2e_i}$  where  $p_i$  is an odd prime, of which we consider the following special type of factors.

**Definition 2.3.** If  $p$  is an odd prime and  $e \geq 1$  is an integer such that either  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $e \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $e \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$  then  $p^{2e}$  will be called a *special factor*.

For example,  $5^6, 17^{10}$  and  $13^{14}$  are special factors. Precisely the set of all special factors is given by  $S = \{p^{2e} : [p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, e \equiv 1 \pmod{4}] \text{ or } [p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, e \equiv -1 \pmod{4}]\}$ .

Observe that  $5^4, 17^8$  and  $13^{16}$  are not special factors. Also if 3 divides a quasiperfect number then  $3^{2e}$  is among its non-special factors; while if  $5^{2e}$  is a factor of  $N$  then it is a special factor or a non-special factor according as  $e \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $e \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Further any factor  $p^{2e}$  of a quasiperfect number  $N$  is either a special factor or a non-special factor but not both.

### 3 Main results

First we prove the following

**Definition 3.1.** If a quasiperfect number  $N$  exists, then it has an odd number of special factors.

*Proof.* Suppose  $N$  is a quasiperfect number of the form

$$N = p_1^{2e_1} p_2^{2e_2} \dots p_t^{2e_t}, \text{ where } p_i \text{ are odd primes.} \quad (3.2)$$

Then  $p_i^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .

Therefore

$$2N + 1 = 2 \cdot (p_1^2)^{e_1} (p_2^2)^{e_2} \dots (p_t^2)^{e_t} + 1 \equiv 2 \cdot 1 + 1 \pmod{8} \equiv 3 \pmod{8}. \quad (3.3)$$

Also for any  $i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(p_i^{2e_i}) &= 1 + p_i + p_i^2 + \dots + p_i^{2e_i} \\ &= (1 + p_i) + p_i^2(1 + p_i) + \dots + p_i^{2(e_i-1)}(1 + p_i) + p_i^{2e_i} \\ &= (1 + p_i)(1 + p_i^2 + \dots + p_i^{2(e_i-1)}) + p_i^{2e_i} \\ &\equiv (1 + p_i)e_i + 1 \pmod{8} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\sigma(p_i^{2e_i}) \equiv \begin{cases} 3 \pmod{8} & \text{if } p_i^{2e_i} \text{ is a special factor} \\ 1 \pmod{8} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

For example, if  $p_i \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $e_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , say  $p_i = 8u_i + 5$  and  $e_i = 4v_i + 3$  then  $(1 + p_i)e_i + 1 = (8u_i + 6)(4v_i + 3) + 1 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ . Also if  $p_i \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  and  $e_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  then  $(1 + p_i)e_i + 1 = (8u'_i + 4)(2v'_i) + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ .

Hence

$$\sigma(N) = \prod_{i=1}^t \sigma(p_i^{2e_i}) \equiv 3^k \pmod{8}, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $k$  is the number of special factors of  $N$ .

Now (3.3) and (3.5) give  $3^k \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ , which holds only if  $k$  is odd, thus proving the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** If  $N$  is a quasiperfect number of the form (3.2) then it follows from the theorem that not all  $e_i$  can be even showing that  $N$  cannot be the fourth power of a natural number. That is, no number of the form  $m^4$  is quasiperfect. This result has been proved in [3] by a slightly different method.

Using Theorem 3.1 we now improve (1.3) as below:

**Theorem 3.7.** If  $N$  is a quasiperfect number with  $(N, 15) = 1$  then

$$\omega(N) \geq 15.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $N$  is the square of an odd integer of the form

$$N = \prod_{i=1}^s P_i^{2e_i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r Q_j^{2f_j}, \quad (3.8)$$

where  $P_i^{2e_i}$  are special factors,  $Q_j^{2f_j}$  are non-special factors,

$$(P_i, Q_j) = 1, P_1 < P_2 < \dots < P_s \text{ and } Q_1 < Q_2 < \dots < Q_r.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\frac{\sigma(N)}{N} = \prod_{i=1}^s \frac{\sigma(P_i^{2e_i})}{P_i^{2e_i}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\sigma(Q_j^{2f_j})}{Q_j^{2f_j}} < \pi^* \cdot \pi^{**} \quad (3.9)$$

where  $\pi^* = \prod_{i=1}^s \frac{P_i}{P_i - 1}$  and  $\pi^{**} = \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{Q_j}{Q_j - 1}$

Now we introduce a notation: For any integer  $k \geq 1$ , if the  $k$ -tuples  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$  and  $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)$  of primes are such that  $a_i \geq b_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  then we write  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \geq (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)$ . Clearly

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i}{a_i - 1} \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{b_i}{b_i - 1} \text{ if } (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \geq (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k), \quad (3.10)$$

since  $\frac{x}{x-1}$  is a decreasing function for  $x > 1$ .

If  $N$  is of the form (3.8) with  $(N, 15) = 1$ ,  $s$  odd and  $\omega(N) \leq 14$  then we will prove that  $N$  is deficient and hence cannot be quasiperfect, so that the theorem follows.

It is enough to prove in the case  $\omega(N) = 14$ . That is,  $s + r = 14$ , with  $s$  odd and  $(N, 15) = 1$ . The set  $E$  of ordered pairs  $(s, r)$  of positive integers with the above properties is given by  $E = \{(1, 13), (3, 11), (5, 9), (7, 7), (9, 5), (11, 3), (13, 1)\}$ . For each  $(s, r) \in E$ , the primes dividing  $N$  is a 14-tuple of the form  $(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_s, Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_r)$  and we can find a 14-tuple of distinct primes  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{14})$  such that

$$(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_s, Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_r) \geq (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{14}),$$

where  $p_i \equiv 1$  or  $5 \pmod{8}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and  $p_j$  is any prime for  $j = s + 1, \dots, 14$ . Then, by (3.10)

$$\pi^* \cdot \pi^{**} \leq \prod_{k=1}^{14} \frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}. \quad (3.11)$$

Table A below gives the 14-tuple  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{14})$  for each  $(s, r) \in E$  and the corresponding value of  $\prod_{k=1}^{14} \frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}$ . Here each  $p_i \geq 7$  since  $(N, 15) = 1$ . As each entry in the last column is less than 2, it follows from (3.9) and (3.11) that  $N$  is deficient. Thus  $\omega(N) \leq 14$  is not possible for any quasiperfect number  $N$  with  $(N, 15) = 1$ , proving  $\omega(N) \geq 15$ .

I	II	III
$(s, r)$	$(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{14})$	$\prod_{k=1}^{14} \frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}$
(1,13)	(13,7,11,17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47,53,59)	1.99331532
(3,11)	(13,17,29,7,11,19,23,31,37,41,43,47,53,59)	1.99331532
(5,9)	(13,17,29,37,41,7,11,19,23,31,43,47,53,59)	1.99331532
(7,7)	(13,17,29,37,41,53,61,7,11,19,23,31,43,47)	1.99218916
(9,5)	(13,17,29,37,41,53,61,73,89,7,11,19,23,31)	1.95285089
(11,3)	(13,17,29,37,41,53,61,73,89,97,101,7,11,19)	1.84478333
(13,1)	(13,17,29,37,41,53,61,73,89,97,101,109,113,7)	1.61783693

Table A

□

**Theorem 3.12.** *If  $N$  is a quasiperfect number with  $(N, 15) = 5$  then*

$$\omega(N) \geq 7.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $N$  is the square of an odd integer of the form (3.8) with  $(N, 15) = 5$ ,  $s$  odd and  $\omega(N) \leq 6$ . We will show, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, that  $N$  is deficient and hence cannot be quasiperfect. As before it suffices to prove the case  $\omega(N) = 6$ . That is,  $s + r = 6$ ,  $s$  odd and  $(N, 15) = 5$ .

Unlike in the previous theorem, here 5 divides  $N$  so that the factor  $5^{2e}$  may or may not be a special factor for  $N$ .

The set  $F$  of ordered pairs  $(s, r)$  of positive integers with the stated conditions is  $F = \{(1, 5), (3, 3), (5, 1)\}$ . Now for each  $(s, r) \in F$  and for the 6-tuple of primes  $(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_s, Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_r)$  dividing  $N$ , we find two 6-tuples  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_6)$  and  $(p'_1, p'_2, \dots, p'_6)$  of primes such that  $(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_s, Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_r) \geq (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_6)$  or  $(p'_1, p'_2, \dots, p'_6)$  according as  $5^{2e}$  is a special factor or not for  $N$ .

Table B gives the 6-tuples  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_6)$  and  $(p'_1, p'_2, \dots, p'_6)$  and the corresponding products  $\prod_{i=1}^6 \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1}$  and  $\prod_{i=1}^6 \frac{p'_i}{p'_i - 1}$  for any given  $(s, r) \in F$ . Since each entry in columns III and V is less than 2, it follows  $N$  is deficient. Thus  $\omega(N) \leq 6$  is not possible for a quasiperfect number with  $(N, 15) = 5$ . Hence the theorem holds.

I	II	III	IV	V
$(s, r)$	$(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_6)$	$\prod_{i=1}^6 \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1}$	$(p'_1, p'_2, \dots, p'_6)$	$\prod_{i=1}^6 \frac{p'_i}{p'_i - 1}$
(1,5)	(5,7,11,13,17,19)	1.94904394	(13,5,7,11,17,19)	1.94904394
(3,3)	(5,13,17,7,11,19)	1.94904394	(13,17,29,5,7,11)	1.91240778
(5,1)	(5,13,17,29,37,7)	1.78684565	(13,17,29,37,41,5)	1.56987153

Table B

□

## Acknowledgement

The second author wishes to thank the University Grants Commission, Government of India, New Delhi for the financial support under the Minor Research Project No.F MRP-5963 / 15 (SERO / UGC)

## References

- [1] Abbott, H. L., C. E. Aull, Brown, E., & D.Suryanarayana (1973) Quasiperfect numbers, *Acta Arithmetica*, XXII, 439-447; correction to the paper, *Acta Arithmetica*, XXIX (1976), 636–637.
- [2] Cattaneo, P. (1951), Sui numeri quasiperfetti, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, 6(3), 59–62.
- [3] Cohen, G. L. (1982) The non-existence of quasiperfect numbers of certain form, *Fib. Quart.*, 20(1), 81–84.
- [4] Cohen, G. L. & Peter Hagsis Jr. (1982) Some results concerning quasiperfect numbers, *J.Austral.Math.Soc.(Ser.A)*, 33, 275–286.
- [5] Kishore, M. (1975) Quasiperfect numbers are divisible by at least six distinct divisors, *Notices. AMS*, 22, A441.
- [6] Sándor , J. & Crstici, B. (2004) *Hand book of Number Theory II*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/ Boston/ London.
- [7] Sierpinski, W. *A Selection of problems in the Theory of Numbers*, New York, (page 110).