

# On the congruence $ax - by \equiv c \pmod{p}$ and the finite field $Z_p$

Anwar Ayyad

Department of Mathematics, AL-Azhar University – Gaza  
P. O. Box 1277, Gaza Strip, Palestine  
e-mail: anwarayyad@yahoo.com

**Received:** 14 June 2015

**Revised:** 25 December 2015

**Accepted:** 28 December 2015

**Abstract:** For prime  $p$  and  $1 \leq a, b, c < p$  let  $V$  be the algebraic set of the congruence  $ax - by \equiv c \pmod{p}$  in the plane. For an arbitrary box of size  $B$  we obtain a necessary and a sufficient conditions on the size  $B$  in order for the box to meet  $V$ . For arbitrary subsets  $S, T$  of  $Z_p$  we also obtain a necessary and a sufficient conditions on the cardinalities of  $S, T$  so that  $S + T = Z_p$ .

**Keywords:** Congruence, Lattices, Solutions.

**AMS Classification:** 11D79, 11H06.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $V$  be the set of solutions of the congruence

$$ax - by \equiv c \pmod{p} \tag{1.1}$$

in the plane defined by  $V = \{ (x, y) \in Z \times Z : ax - by \equiv c \pmod{p} \}$ .

In this paper, we view the set of solutions  $V$  of (1.1) in the plane as a set of lattice points on a lines  $L_k$  defined by  $L_k : ax - by = c + kp$  where  $k \in Z$ . We show the existence of a box of size  $B = \frac{dp}{a+b}$  contains no element of  $V$ , where  $d = (a, b)$ , and we prove every box of size  $B = \frac{dp}{a+b} + 2 \binom{b}{d}$  meets  $V$ .

We also study the representation of the finite field  $Z_p$  as a sum of two subsets  $S, T$ . For such two subsets we define  $S + T$  as  $S + T = \{s + t : s \in S, t \in T\}$ . It follows from the work

of [3] that for any sets  $S, T$  with  $|S| \cdot |T| > 2p$ ,  $(2S)(2T) + (2S)(2T) = Z_p$  and  $(2S)(2T) - (2S)(2T) = Z_p$ . In this paper we prove the existence of two subsets  $S, T$  with  $|S| = \frac{p-1}{2} = |T|$  and  $S + T \neq Z_p$ , and in contrary to that every two subsets  $S, T$  of  $Z_p$  with  $|S| \geq \frac{p+1}{2}$  and  $|T| \geq \frac{p+1}{2}$  satisfies  $S + T = Z_p$ .

## 2 Theorems and proofs

**Theorem 1.** There are two subsets  $S, T$  of  $Z_p$  with  $|S| = |T| = \frac{p-1}{2}$  and  $S + T \neq Z_p$ .

*Proof.* Consider the congruence

$$x - y \equiv \frac{p-1}{2} \pmod{p} \quad (2.1)$$

and the line  $L_0$  defined by  $L_0 : x - y = \frac{p-1}{2}$ . The  $x$ -intercept  $(\frac{p-1}{2}, 0)$  is a solution of (2.1) on  $L_0$ . Let  $L_{-1}$  be the line defined by  $L_{-1} : x - y = \frac{p-1}{2} - p = -(\frac{p+1}{2})$ . The  $y$ -intercept  $(0, \frac{p+1}{2})$  is a solution of (2.1) on  $L_{-1}$ . Now consider the rectangle  $R$  determined by the vertices  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(\frac{p-1}{2}, 0)$ ,  $(0, \frac{p+1}{2})$  and  $(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{p+1}{2})$ , then  $R$  contains no solution of (2.1). In particular, there is a box of size  $B = \frac{p-1}{2}$  cornered at the origin and contains no solution of (2.1). Let  $S = \{s : 0 \leq s < \frac{p-1}{2}\}$  and  $T = \{-t : 0 \leq t < \frac{p-1}{2}\}$  then  $c = \frac{p-1}{2} \notin S + T$ .  $\square$

The result in Theorem 1 is best possible as the next theorem suggests.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $S, T$  arbitrary subsets of  $Z_p$ , if  $|S| \geq \frac{p+1}{2}$  and  $|T| \geq \frac{p+1}{2}$ , then  $S + T = Z_p$ .

*Proof.* If  $c \in Z_p$ , let  $W = -T + c = \{-t + c : t \in T\}$ , then  $|W| = |T| \geq \frac{p+1}{2}$ , therefore  $S \cap W \neq \emptyset$ . Then there is  $s_0 \in S$  and  $w_0 \in W$  such that  $-t_0 + c = s_0$  for some  $t_0 \in T$ . Therefore  $c = s_0 + t_0 \in S + T$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** Every box of size  $B \geq \frac{p+1}{2}$  in the plane contains a solution of (1.1).

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be the projection of the box on the  $x$ -axis, and  $J$  be the projection on the  $y$ -axis, let  $S = a \cdot I = \{ax : x \in I\}$  and  $T = -b \cdot J = \{-by : y \in J\}$ , then  $|S| \geq \frac{p+1}{2}$  and  $|T| \geq \frac{p+1}{2}$ , hence by Theorem 2 for every  $c \in Z_p$  there exists  $ax \in S$  and  $-by \in T$  such that  $ax - by = c$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** There exist a box of size  $B = \sqrt{p} - 1$  contains no solution of (1.1).

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be the square defined by  $S : \{x : 0 < x < p\} \times \{y : 0 < y < p\}$ .

Since  $(\sqrt{p} - 1) (\lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil + 1) < (\sqrt{p} - 1) (\sqrt{p} + 1) = p - 1 < p$ , then the interval  $(0, p)$  contains at least  $\lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil + 1$  subintervals each of length  $\sqrt{p} - 1$ , therefore the square  $S$  contains at least  $(\lceil \sqrt{p} \rceil + 1)^2 > p$  subsquares each of size  $\sqrt{p} - 1$ , and since number of solutions of (1.1) in the square is  $p - 1$ , then by pigeon-hole principle there is at least one subsquare contains no solution of (1.1).  $\square$

Now we view the solutions of (1.1) in the plane as a set of lattice points on a lines  $L_k$  defined by  $L_k : ax - by = c + kp$  where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $L_k$  is such a line, then the next line to the right is  $L_{k+d}$  defined by  $L_{k+d} : ax - by = c + kp + dp$ , where  $d = (a, b)$ .

The horizontal distance  $H$  between the lines  $L_k$  and  $L_{k+d}$  is  $H = \frac{dp}{a}$ , the horizontal distance between solutions on the line  $L_k$  is  $h = \frac{b}{a}$ , and the vertical distance  $v$  is  $v = \frac{a}{d}$ .

**Theorem 5.** For every  $a, b, c$  there is a box of size  $B = \frac{dp}{a+b}$  contains no solution of (1.1).

*Proof.* For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $d$  divides  $c + kp$ , where  $d = (a, b)$ , consider the two lines  $L_k, L_{k+d}$ . Let  $S$  the largest square of size  $B$  can be inscribed between these two lines. If  $(x, \frac{ax-c-kp}{b})$  is the corner of the square on  $L_k$  then  $(x + B, \frac{ax-c-kp}{b} - B)$  is the corner on  $L_{k+d}$  and satisfies its equation. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} a(x + B) - b\left(\frac{ax - c - kp}{b} - B\right) &= c + kp + dp \\ (a + b)B &= dp \\ B &= \frac{dp}{a + b}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 6.** Let  $B$  be the size of the box obtained in Theorem 5, if  $B + \frac{b}{d} > \frac{a}{d}$ , then any box of size  $B + 2\left(\frac{b}{d}\right)$  contains a solution of (1.1).

*Proof.* We are to find maximum enlargement of the box in Theorem 5 not containing a solution. Let  $(x, y)$  the corner of the box on  $L_{k+d}$  in Theorem 5. Since  $B + \frac{b}{d} > \frac{a}{d}$ , then there is a solution  $(x_0, y_0)$  on  $L_{k+d}$  such that  $x < x_0 < x + \frac{b}{d}$ , and  $y < y_0 < y + \frac{a}{d} < y + B + \frac{b}{d}$ . Therefore any enlargement of the box not containing a solution can contribute at most  $(B + \frac{b}{d}) \cdot \frac{b}{d}$  square units of area along the right side of the box and similarly along the left side. Thus, the total contribution is  $4\left(B + \frac{b}{d}\right) \cdot \frac{b}{d}$  square units of area. Therefore, the largest square area not containing a solution is at most

$$B^2 + 4B\left(\frac{b}{d}\right) + 4\left(\frac{b}{d}\right)^2 = \left(B + 2\left(\frac{b}{d}\right)\right)^2.$$

□

### 3 Remarks on Theorems 5, 6

**Remark 1.** It is surprising to see the results in Theorems 5, 6 do not depend on  $c$  but only on  $a, b$  and their greatest common divisor.

**Remark 2.** Let  $(a, b) = 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} B + \frac{b}{d} &> \frac{a}{d} \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{p}{a+b} + b &> a \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{p}{a+b} &> a - b \\ \Leftrightarrow p &> a^2 - b^2. \end{aligned}$$

And this is satisfied for  $0 < b < a < \sqrt{p}$ .

Thus if  $0 < b < a < \sqrt{b}$ ,  $(a, b) = 1$ , there exist a box of size  $B = \frac{p}{a+b}$  contains no solution of  $ax - by \equiv c \pmod{p}$ , and every box of size  $B = \frac{p}{a+b} + 2b$  contains a solution.

In particular if  $b = 1$  and  $a = \lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor$  there is box of size  $B = \frac{p}{\lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor + 1}$  contains no solution of  $ax - by \equiv c \pmod{p}$ , and every box of size  $B = \frac{p}{\lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor + 1} + 2$  contains a solution and this is the best possible.

We use the above remark to prove the next theorem.

**Theorem 7.** There are sets  $S, T$  with  $|S| = |T| = \lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor + 3$  and  $S + T = Z_p$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor + 3 > \sqrt{p} + 2 > \frac{p}{\lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor + 1} + 2$ , then by the above remark, for any  $c \in Z_p, \exists x_0, y_0$  such that

$$\lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor x_0 - y_0 \equiv c \pmod{p} \text{ and } 0 < x_0, y_0 \leq \lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor + 3.$$

Let  $S = \lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor \cdot I$  and  $T = -J$  where  $I = J = \{x : 0 < x \leq \lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor + 3\}$ , then  $c \in S + T$ .  $\square$

It is clear that the result in Theorem 7 is best possible in the sense that any two subsets  $S, T$  with cardinalities  $\lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor$  does not satisfy  $S + T = Z_p$ .

**Corollary 1.** For every  $c$  there is a solution of  $\lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor x + y \equiv c \pmod{p}$  with  $0 < x, y \leq \lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor + 3$ .

*Proof.* Consider the square of size  $\lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor + 3$  cornered at the origin in the 4<sup>th</sup> quadrant, then it contains a solution  $(x_0, y_0)$  of  $\lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor x - y \equiv c \pmod{p}$ ,  $y_0 < 0$ .

Thus  $(x_0, -y_0)$  is a solution of  $\lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor x + y \equiv c \pmod{p}$  with  $0 < x_0, -y_0 \leq \lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor + 3$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** The congruence  $x_1 x_2 x_3 \cdots x_n + y_1 y_2 y_3 \cdots y_n \equiv c \pmod{p}$  has a solution with

$$0 < x_i, y_i \leq \lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor + 3.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(x_0, y_0)$  be a solution of  $\lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor x + y \equiv c \pmod{p}$ ,  $0 < x_0, y_0 \leq \lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor + 3$ .

For  $n = 2$ , let  $x_1 = \lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor, x_2 = x_0$  and  $y_1 = y_0, y_2 = 1$ .

For  $n \geq 3$ , let  $x_1 = \lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor, x_2 = x_0, x_3 = \cdots = x_n = 1$ .

$$y_1 = y_0, y_2 = y_3 = \cdots = y_n = 1.$$

$\square$

## References

- [1] Ayyad, A., Cochrane, T. & Zheng, Z. (1996) The congruence  $x_1 x_2 \equiv x_3 x_4 \pmod{p}$ , the equation and mean values of character sums. *J. Number Theory*, 59, 398–413.
- [2] Bourgain, J., Katz N. & Tao, T. (2004) A sum-product estimate in finite fields and their applications, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 14, 27–57.
- [3] Glibichuk, A. A. (2006) Combinatorial properties of sets of residues modulo a prime and the Erdős–Graham problem, *Mat. Zametki*, 79, 384–395 (in Russian), English transl.: *Math. Notes* 79, 2006, 556–365.