

A note on the number of perfect powers in short intervals

Rafael Jakimczuk

División Matemática, Universidad Nacional de Luján

Buenos Aires, Argentina

e-mail: jakimczu@mail.unlu.edu.ar

Abstract: Let $N(x)$ be the number of perfect powers that do not exceed x . In this note we obtain asymptotic formulae for the difference $N(x + x^\theta) - N(x)$, where $1/2 < \theta < 2/3 + 1/7$. We also prove that if $\theta = 1/2$ the difference $N(x + x^\theta) - N(x)$ is zero for infinite x arbitrarily large.

Keywords: Distribution of perfect powers, Short intervals.

AMS Classification: 11A99, 11B99.

1 Preliminary results

A natural number of the form m^n where m is a positive integer and $n \geq 2$ is called a perfect power. The first few terms of the integer sequence of perfect powers are

$$1, 4, 8, 9, 16, 25, 27, 32, 36, 49, 64, 81, 100, 121, 125, 128 \dots$$

In this article, $N(x)$ denotes the number of perfect powers that do not exceed x . That is, the perfect power counting function.

Let p_n be the n -th prime. Consequently we have,

$$p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, p_3 = 5, p_4 = 7, p_5 = 11, p_6 = 13, \dots$$

Jakimczuk [1] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let p_n ($n \geq 2$) be the n -th prime. The following asymptotic formula holds*

$$N(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n-1, p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} < p_n} x^{\frac{1}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}}} + g(x)x^{\frac{1}{p_n}}, \quad (1)$$

where $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = 1$. The expression $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n-1$, $p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} < p_n$ indicates that the sum is taken over the k -element subsets $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ such that the inequality $p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} < p_n$ holds.

For example:

If $n = 4$ then Theorem 1.1 becomes,

$$N(x) = \sqrt{x} + \sqrt[3]{x} + \sqrt[5]{x} - \sqrt[6]{x} + g(x)\sqrt[7]{x},$$

where $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = 1$.

If $n = 5$ then Theorem 1.1 becomes,

$$N(x) = \sqrt{x} + \sqrt[3]{x} + \sqrt[5]{x} - \sqrt[6]{x} + \sqrt[7]{x} - \sqrt[10]{x} + g(x)\sqrt[11]{x},$$

where $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = 1$.

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the binomial Theorem.

Lemma 1.2. *We have the following formula*

$$(1+x)^{1/\alpha} = 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}x + f_\alpha(x)x^2,$$

where

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f_\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1 \right)$$

2 Main results

Lemma 2.1. *If $n \geq 4$ (that is $p_n \geq 7$) and $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{6}$ are fixed numbers we have the following asymptotic formula,*

$$N(x + x^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{p_n} + \lambda}) = N(x) + \frac{1}{2}x^{\frac{1}{p_n} + \lambda} + o\left(x^{\frac{1}{p_n}}\right). \quad (2)$$

Proof. Let us consider the function $g(x)$ (see (1)). Suppose that $0 < \beta < 1$. We have (Lemma 1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} g(x + x^\beta)(x + x^\beta)^{\frac{1}{p_n}} &= g(x + x^\beta)x^{\frac{1}{p_n}} \left(1 + \frac{x^\beta}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_n}} \\ &= g(x + x^\beta)x^{\frac{1}{p_n}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n} \frac{x^\beta}{x} + f_{p_n} \left(\frac{x^\beta}{x}\right) \left(\frac{x^\beta}{x}\right)^2\right) \\ &= g(x + x^\beta)x^{\frac{1}{p_n}} + o\left(x^{\frac{1}{p_n}}\right) = (g(x + x^\beta) - g(x))x^{\frac{1}{p_n}} + g(x)x^{\frac{1}{p_n}} \\ &+ o\left(x^{\frac{1}{p_n}}\right) = g(x)x^{\frac{1}{p_n}} + o\left(x^{\frac{1}{p_n}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

since $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = 1$.

On the other hand, if $s \geq 2$ is a positive integer we have (Lemma 1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} (x + x^\beta)^{\frac{1}{s}} &= x^{\frac{1}{s}} \left(1 + \frac{x^\beta}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} = x^{\frac{1}{s}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{s} \frac{x^\beta}{x} + f_s \left(\frac{x^\beta}{x} \right) \left(\frac{x^\beta}{x} \right)^2 \right) \\ &= x^{\frac{1}{s}} + \frac{1}{s} x^{\frac{1}{s} + \beta - 1} + f_s \left(\frac{x^\beta}{x} \right) x^{\frac{1}{s} + 2\beta - 2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Equation (1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} N(x + x^\beta) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n-1, p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} < p_n} (x + x^\beta)^{\frac{1}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}}} \\ &+ g(x + x^\beta) (x + x^\beta)^{\frac{1}{p_n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Substituting (3) and (4) into (5) and using (1) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} N(x + x^\beta) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n-1, p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} < p_n} (x + x^\beta)^{\frac{1}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}}} \\ &+ g(x + x^\beta) (x + x^\beta)^{\frac{1}{p_n}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n-1, p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} < p_n} \left(x^{\frac{1}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}}} \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{1}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}} x^{\frac{1}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}} + \beta - 1} + h_{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}}(x) x^{\frac{1}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}} + 2\beta - 2} \right) + g(x) x^{\frac{1}{p_n}} + o\left(x^{\frac{1}{p_n}}\right) \\ &= N(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n-1, p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} < p_n} \left(\frac{1}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}} x^{\frac{1}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}} + \beta - 1} \right. \\ &+ \left. h_{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}}(x) x^{\frac{1}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}} + 2\beta - 2} \right) + o\left(x^{\frac{1}{p_n}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$h_{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}}(x) = f_{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}} \left(\frac{x^\beta}{x} \right)$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} N(x + x^\beta) &= N(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n-1, p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} < p_n} \\ &\left(\frac{1}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}} x^{\frac{1}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}} + \beta - 1} + h_{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}}(x) x^{\frac{1}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}} + 2\beta - 2} \right) + o\left(x^{\frac{1}{p_n}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Note that among the numbers $p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}$ are the primes (when $k = 1$, see (7)) $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, \dots, p_{n-1}$. Consequently $p_1 = 2$ and $p_2 = 3$ are the least numbers $p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}$. We wish eliminate all exponents in (7), namely

$$\frac{1}{p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}} + \beta - 1,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_k}} + 2\beta - 2,$$

except the exponent that correspond to $p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_k} = p_1 = 2$, namely

$$\frac{1}{2} + \beta - 1. \quad (8)$$

If we choose

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{p_n} + \lambda, \quad (9)$$

where $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{6}$ then (see (8) and (9))

$$\frac{1}{2} + \beta - 1 = \frac{1}{p_n} + \lambda > \frac{1}{p_n}, \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{1}{p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_k}} + \beta - 1 < \frac{1}{p_n}, \quad (11)$$

since $p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_k} \geq 3$. On the other hand, if $p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_k} \geq 3$ then (see (11))

$$\frac{1}{p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_k}} + 2\beta - 2 < \frac{1}{p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_k}} + \beta - 1 < \frac{1}{p_n}, \quad (12)$$

Besides if $p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_k} = p_1 = 2$ then

$$\frac{1}{2} + 2\beta - 2 < \frac{1}{p_n}, \quad (13)$$

since $p_n \geq 7$. Consequently if β satisfies (9) then equation (7) becomes (see (9), (10), (11), (12) and (13))

$$N(x + x^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{p_n} + \lambda}) = N(x) + \frac{1}{2}x^{\frac{1}{p_n} + \lambda} + o\left(x^{\frac{1}{p_n}}\right).$$

That is, equation (2). The lemma is proved. \square

Theorem 2.2. *If $1/6 < \omega < 1/7 + 1/6$ is a fixed number then we have the following asymptotic formula*

$$N(x + x^{\frac{1}{2} + \omega}) = N(x) + \frac{1}{2}x^\omega + o\left(x^{\frac{1}{p_n}}\right),$$

where p_n is the greatest prime that appear in the solutions (p_n, λ) to the equation

$$\frac{1}{p_n} + \lambda = \omega \quad (n \geq 4)$$

Proof. If $1/6 < \omega < 1/7 + 1/6$ then the equation

$$\frac{1}{p_n} + \lambda = \omega \quad (n \geq 4)$$

has a finite number of solutions (p_n, λ) . Consequently equation (2) becomes

$$N(x + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\omega}) = N(x) + \frac{1}{2}x^\omega + o\left(x^{\frac{1}{p_n}}\right),$$

where p_n is the greatest prime in this finite number of solutions. The theorem is proved.

Theorem 2.3. *If $0 < \omega \leq 1/6$ is a fixed number then we have the following asymptotic formula*

$$N(x + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\omega}) = N(x) + \frac{1}{2}x^\omega + o(x^\alpha),$$

for all $0 < \alpha < \omega$.

Proof. If $0 < \omega \leq 1/6$ then the equation

$$\frac{1}{p_n} + \lambda = \omega \quad (n \geq 4)$$

has infinite solutions (p_n, λ) , where $n \geq n_0$. Consequently equation (2) becomes

$$N(x + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\omega}) = N(x) + \frac{1}{2}x^\omega + o(x^\alpha),$$

for all $0 < \alpha < \omega$. The theorem is proved. □

Theorem 2.4. *If $0 < \epsilon < 1/7 + 1/6$ is a fixed number we have the following asymptotic formula,*

$$N(x + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}) = N(x) + \frac{1}{2}x^\epsilon + o(x^\epsilon). \tag{14}$$

Proof. Equation (2) can be written in the more weak form,

$$N(x + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p_n}+\lambda}) = N(x) + \frac{1}{2}x^{\frac{1}{p_n}+\lambda} + o\left(x^{\frac{1}{p_n}+\lambda}\right).$$

If we write $\epsilon = (1/p_n) + \lambda$ then $0 < \epsilon < 1/7 + 1/6$. The theorem is proved. □

Theorem 2.5. *If $\epsilon > 0$ then we have the following limit,*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (N(x + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}) - N(x)) = \infty. \tag{15}$$

If the exponent is less than or equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ this limit is false.

Proof. Limit (15) is an immediate consequence of equation (14). If the exponent is $1/2$ then we have the difference $N(x + x^{\frac{1}{2}}) - N(x)$. It is well-known (Theorem 3.1, [1]) that there exist infinite $x = n^2$ such that $N(n^2 + n) - N(n^2) = 0$. The theorem is proved. □

References

- [1] Jakimczuk, R., On the distribution of perfect powers, *Journal of Integer Sequences*, Vol. 14, 2011, Article 11.8.5.