

## On Steiner Loops of cardinality 20

By

M. H. Armanious

Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University,  
Mansoura, Egypt

Email: m.armanious@excite.com

**Abstract:** *It is well known that there are five classes of sloops of cardinality 16 "  $\mathbf{SL}(16)$ s" according to the number of sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s [4, 6]. In this article, we will show that there are exactly 8 classes of nonsimple sloops and 6 classes of simple sloops of cardinality 20 " $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s". Based on the cardinality and the number of (normal) subsloops of  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ , we will construct in section 3 all possible classes of nonsimple  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s and in section 4 all possible classes of simple  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s. We exhibit the algebraic and combinatoric properties of  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s to distinguish each class.*

*So we may say that there are six classes of  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s having one sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$  and  $n$  sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s for  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$  or 6. All these sloops are subdirectly irreducible having exactly one proper homomorphic image isomorphic to  $\mathbf{SL}(2)$ . For  $n = 0$ , the associated  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$  is a nonsimple subdirectly irreducible having one sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$  and no sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s. Indeed, the associated Steiner quasigroup  $\mathbf{SQ}(19)$  of this case supplies us with a new example for a semi-planar  $\mathbf{SQ}(19)$ , where the smallest well-known example of semi-planar squags is of cardinality 21 " cf. [3]".*

*It is well known that there is a class of planar Steiner triple systems ( $\mathbf{STS}(19)$ s) due to Doyen [7], where the associated planar  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$  has no sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$  and no sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ . In section 4 we will show that there are other 6 classes of simple  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s having  $n$  sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s for  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$ , but no sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$ s. It is well-known that a sub- $\mathbf{SL}(m)$  of an  $\mathbf{SL}(2m)$  is normal. In the last theorem of this section, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a sub- $\mathbf{SL}(2)$  to be normal of an  $\mathbf{SL}(2m)$ . Accordingly, we have shown that if a sloop  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$  has a sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$  and 12 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ , then this sloop is isomorphic to the direct product  $\mathbf{SL}(10) \times \mathbf{SL}(2)$  and if a sloop  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$  has 12 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s and no sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$ , then this sloop is a subdirectly irreducible having exactly one proper homomorphic image isomorphic to  $\mathbf{SL}(10)$ . In section 5, we describe how can one construct an example for each class of simple and of nonsimple  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s.*

*Keywords:* Steiner triple systems, Steiner loops, Sloops

Math. subject classification, primary: 05B07; secondary: 20N05

### Introduction:

A Steiner loop (briefly sloop) is a groupoid  $S = (S; \cdot, 1)$  with neutral element 1 satisfying the identities:

$$x \cdot x = 1 \quad , \quad x \cdot y = y \cdot x \quad , \quad x \cdot (x \cdot y) = y .$$

A Steiner quasigroup (briefly squag) is a groupoid  $Q = (Q; *)$  satisfying the identities:

$$x * x = x \quad , \quad x * y = y * x \quad , \quad x * (x * y) = y .$$

Notice that both squags and sloops are quasigroups [5, 8].

We use the abbreviations **SL**( $n$ ) and **SQ**( $n$ ) for a sloop and a squag of cardinality  $n$ , respectively. A sloop is called Boolean (or Boolean group) if it satisfies the associative law  $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ .

A Steiner triple system is a pair  $(P; B)$ , where  $P$  is a set of points and  $B$  is a set of 3-element subsets of  $P$  called blocks such that for distinct points  $p_1, p_2 \in P$ , there is a unique block  $b \in B$  with  $\{p_1, p_2\} \subseteq b$ . If the cardinality of the set of points  $P$  is equal to  $n$ , the Steiner triple system  $(P; B)$  will be denoted by **STS**( $n$ ). It is well known that a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an **STS**( $n$ ) is  $n \equiv 1$  or  $3 \pmod{6}$  [8, 11]. There is a one to one correspondence between sloops (squags) and Steiner triple systems given by the relation:

$$x \cdot y = z \Leftrightarrow \{x, y, z\} \text{ is a block [8, 11, 12].}$$

Quackenbush [12] proved that the congruences of sloops are permutable, regular, and Lagrangian. A subsloop  $S$  of a sloop  $L$  is called normal iff  $(x \cdot y) \cdot S = x \cdot (y \cdot S)$  for all  $x, y \in L$ . Also in [12] was proved that if  $S$  is a subsloop of  $L$  and  $|L| = 2|S|$ , then  $S$  is normal.

A three distinct points  $x, y, z$  are called a triangle if  $\{x, y, z\}$  does not form a block (or equivalently, if  $\{x, y, z\}$  does not contains the identity element and  $x \cdot y \neq z$ ). An **STS** is planar if it is generated by every triangle (. A planar **STS**( $n$ ) exists for each  $n \geq 7$  and  $n \equiv 1$  or  $3 \pmod{6}$  [7]). The associated squag and sloop of a planar triple system are also called planar. Quackenbush [12] has shown that the only nonsimple finite planar sloop (squag) has 8 (9) elements. Accordingly, we may say that there is always a simple **SQ**( $n$ ) and a simple **SL**( $n+1$ ) for all  $n > 9$  and  $n \equiv 1$  or  $3 \pmod{6}$ .

A semi-planar sloop (squag) is a simple sloop (squag) each of whose triangles generates either the whole sloop (squag) or a sub-**SL**(8) (a sub-**SQ**(9)). The associated **STS** with a semi-planar sloop (squag) is said to be a semi-planar **STS** or more precisely a semi-7-planar **STS** (a semi-9-planar **STS**), if each of whose triangles generates either the whole **STS** or a sub-**STS**(7) (a sub-**STS**(9)) [2, 3, 12].

The author in [2, 3] has given a construction of semi-planar sloops of cardinality  $2(n+1)$  and a construction of semi-planar squags of cardinality  $3n$  for each possible  $n > 3$ . An extensive study of sloops can be found in [5, 8, 11]. We will use in this article some basic concepts of universal algebra [9] and some other concepts of graph theory [10].

There is a well-known classification of all **SL**(16)s based on the number of sub-**SL**(8)s. In fact, there are four classes from five classes of **SL**(16)s are subdirectly irreducible [4, 6, 11]. The next admissible order for sloops is of cardinality 20. In this article we are not interesting

in counting the number of distinct  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s, but we exhibit the algebraic and combinatoric properties of  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s to distinguish each class.

We may divide all  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s based on the cardinality and the number of the (normal) subsloops of an  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$  into the following classes. Note that a sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$  in an  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$  is always normal.

- 1- There are planar  $\mathbf{STS}(19)$ s due to Doyen [7]. The associated planar sloops  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s and the associated planar squags  $\mathbf{SQ}(19)$ s are simple. Indeed, these planar  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s (or planar  $\mathbf{SQ}(19)$ s) have no nontrivial subsloops (subsquags).
- 2- In section 3, we will show that there are 7 classes of  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s. Each of these classes has exactly one sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$  and  $n$  sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s (for  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12$ ). For  $n = 12$ , we have a class of  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s containing one sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$  and 12 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s, we will show that this sloop must be isomorphic to the direct product  $\mathbf{SL}(10) \times \mathbf{SL}(2)$ . Also, all  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s of the other six classes (for  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$ ) are nonsimple subdirectly irreducible.

For  $n = 0$ , the associated  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$  is nonsimple subdirectly irreducible having one sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$  and no sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s. Indeed, the associated  $\mathbf{SQ}(19)$  with this case supplies us with a new example for a semi-planar  $\mathbf{SQ}(19)$  (or a semi-9-planar  $\mathbf{STS}(19)$ ). Of course, this class of semi-planar  $\mathbf{SQ}(19)$ s are not planar and have exactly one sub- $\mathbf{SQ}(9)$ , but no sub- $\mathbf{SQ}(7)$ . It will be convenient to note at this point that the smallest well-known example of semi-planar squags is of cardinality 21 " cf. [3]". An example of this new case (a semi-planar  $\mathbf{SQ}(19)$ ) will be given in section 5.

- 3- In section 4, we will show that there are five classes of semi-planar  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s based on the number  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$  or 6 of sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s. All of these semi-planar  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s are simple and not planar. In addition, the associated  $\mathbf{SQ}(19)$ s of these classes are simple and each triangle generates a sub- $\mathbf{SQ}(7)$  or the whole  $\mathbf{SQ}(19)$ .
- 4- According to the construction given in section 4, there is a class of  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s having no sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$  and 12 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s. We will show that these sloops are subdirectly irreducible and have exactly one proper congruence with classes of cardinality two (one proper homomorphic image isomorphic to  $\mathbf{SL}(10)$ ).

In fact, these are all classes of  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s. In section 5 we describe how can one construct an example for each class.

## 2. Construction of an $\mathbf{SL}(2n) = 2 \otimes_{\alpha} L_1$ .

Using the doubling construction  $\mathbf{SL}(2n)$ s [11], we will study in this section some properties of subsloops of  $\mathbf{SL}(2n)$ s .

Let  $T_1 = (P_1^*; B_1)$  be an  $\mathbf{STS}(n)$  and its corresponding sloop  $L_1 = (P_1; \cdot, e)$ , where  $P_1^* = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  and  $P_1 = P_1^* \cup \{e\}$ . Consider the set of 1-factors defined by  $F_i = \{a_l a_k : a_l \cdot a_k = a_i \text{ and } a_i, a_l, a_k \in P_1\}$ , then the class  $F = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n\}$  forms a 1-factorization of the complete graph  $\mathbf{K}_n$  on the set of vertices  $P_1$ .

By taking the set  $P_2 = \{b, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$  with  $P_1 \cap P_2 = \emptyset$  and  $G_i = \{b b_i\} \cup \{b_l b_k : a_l \cdot a_k = a_i \text{ for } i \notin \{l, k\}\}$ , then the class of 1-factors  $G = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$  forms a 1-factorization of the complete graph  $\mathbf{K}_n$  on the set of vertices  $P_2$ . There is a well-known construction of an  $\mathbf{STS}(2n+1) = (P^*; B)$  [11], where  $P^* = P_1^* \cup P_2$  and the set of triples  $B = B_1 \cup \{\{b_l, b_k, a_i\} : b_l b_k \in G_{\alpha(i)}\}$  for any permutation  $\alpha$  on the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . The constructed  $\mathbf{STS}(2n+1) = (P^*; B)$  and the associated sloop  $\mathbf{SL}(2n+2) = (P; \cdot, e)$  will be denoted by  $2 \otimes_\alpha T_1$  and  $2 \otimes_\alpha L_1$ , respectively.

If we choose the permutation  $\alpha =$  the identity, then the constructed sloop  $L = 2 \otimes_\alpha L_1$  isomorphic to the direct product of  $\mathbf{SL}(n+1) = L_1$  and the 2-element sloop  $\mathbf{SL}(2)$ . We observe that  $L_1$  is a normal subsloop of  $2 \otimes_\alpha L_1$  for any permutation  $\alpha$ .

It is easy to prove the following fact.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $2 \otimes_\alpha L_1 = (P = P_1 \cup P_2; \cdot, e)$  be the constructed sloop of cardinality  $2n$  with the subsloop  $L_1 = (P_1; \cdot, e)$  of cardinality  $n$ . Then any subsloop  $S$  of  $L$  with  $S - P_1 \neq \emptyset$  satisfies  $|S \cap P_1| = (1/2)|S|$ .*

We note that if  $L_1$  is a planar sloop, then  $|S \cap P_1| = (1/2)|S| = 1, 2$  or  $4$ .

In the following we consider the  $\mathbf{SL}(10) = L_1 = (P_1 = P_1^* \cup \{e\}; \cdot, e)$  associated with the  $\mathbf{STS}(9) = (P_1^*; B_1)$ , where  $P_1^* = \{a_1, \dots, a_9\}$ . Also, we consider the set  $P_2 = \{b, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_9\}$  with  $P_1 \cap P_2 = \emptyset$  and  $\alpha$  be any permutation on the set  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, 9\}$  to construct the  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = 2 \otimes_\alpha L_1$ . Moreover, we consider the  $\mathbf{STS}(9) = (N; X)$ , where  $X$  is defined by:  $\{i, j, k\} \in X$  if and only if  $\{a_i, a_j, a_k\} \in B_1$ .

The constructed  $\mathbf{STS}(19)$  is given by  $2 \otimes_\alpha T_1 = (P^* = P_1^* \cup P_2; B = B_1 \cup B_{12})$ , where  $B_{12} = \{\{a_i, b_j, b_k\} : b_j b_k \in G_{\alpha(i)}\}$ . Let  $2 \otimes_\alpha L_1 = (P; \cdot, e)$  be the associated sloop with  $\mathbf{STS}(19) = 2 \otimes_\alpha T_1$ .

For each block  $\{a_i, a_j, a_k\} \in B_1$  there is a sub-1-factorization  $f = \{f_i = \{e a_i, a_j a_k\}, f_j = \{e a_j, a_i a_k\}, f_k = \{e a_k, a_i a_j\}\}$  of  $F$ . Conversely, if there is a sub-1-factorization on the 4-element subset  $\{e, a_i, a_j, a_k\}$ , then  $\{a_i, a_j, a_k\}$  is a block in  $B_1$ . This means that there is a one-one correspondence between the set of blocks of  $B_1$  and the sub-1-factorizations of  $\mathbf{K}_4$  in  $F$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Each of the 1-factorization  $F$  on the set  $P_1$  and the 1-factorization  $G$  on the set  $P_2$  has exactly 12 sub-1-factorizations of  $\mathbf{K}_4$ .*

**Proof.** The proof depends on the fact that if there is a sub-1-factorization on a 4-element subset  $\{x, y, z, w\}$ , then  $e \in \{x, y, z, w\}$ .

Moreover, the sub-1-factorizations of  $\mathbf{K}_4$  in both  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{G}$  are determined by:

$$\mathbf{f} = \{f_i = \{e a_i, a_j a_k\}, f_j = \{e a_j, a_i a_k\}, f_k = \{e a_k, a_i a_j\}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{g} = \{g_i = \{b b_i, b_j b_k\}, g_j = \{b b_j, b_i b_k\}, g_k = \{b b_k, b_i b_j\}\} \text{ for all } \{i, j, k\} \in X.$$

Accordingly, we may easily verify the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3:** Let  $C_1 = \{e, a_i, a_j, a_k\}$  be a subsloop of  $\mathbf{L}_1$ . Then  $2 \otimes_{\alpha_1} C_1 = (C_1 \cup C_2; \cdot, e)$  is a subsloop of  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  if and only if  $\{\alpha(i), \alpha(j), \alpha(k)\}$  is a line in  $X$ . Where  $\alpha_1$  is equal to  $\alpha$  restricted on the line  $\{i, j, k\}$  and  $C_2 = \{b, b_{\alpha(i)}, b_{\alpha(j)}, b_{\alpha(k)}\}$ .

The next lemma shows that the converse of the above lemma is also true.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $S = (S; \cdot, e)$  be a subsloop of cardinality 8 of  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$ , then there is a 4-element subsloop  $C_1 = \{e, a_i, a_j, a_k\}$  of  $\mathbf{L}_1$  and a 4-element subset  $C_2 = \{b, b_{\alpha(i)}, b_{\alpha(j)}, b_{\alpha(k)}\}$  of  $P_2$  satisfying  $S = 2 \otimes_{\alpha_1} C_1 = (C_1 \cup C_2; \cdot, e)$  such that  $\{\alpha(i), \alpha(j), \alpha(k)\}$  is a line of  $X$ . Where  $\alpha_1$  is equal to the permutation  $\alpha$  restricted on the subset  $\{i, j, k\}$  and the binary operation " $\cdot$ " is the same binary operation defined on  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$ .

Accordingly, we may say that the only possible nontrivial subsloops of  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  are  $\mathbf{L}_1$  (exactly one sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$ ) and  $n$  ( $0 \leq n \leq 12$ ) subsloops of cardinality 8. The intersection between  $\mathbf{L}_1$  and each of the 8-element subsloops is a 4-element subsloop. Which implies that any proper subsloop  $S$  of  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  with  $S \neq \mathbf{L}_1$  may be determined by the set of elements  $S = \{e, a_i, a_j, a_k, b, b_{\alpha(i)}, b_{\alpha(j)}, b_{\alpha(k)}\}$  such that  $\{i, j, k\}$  and  $\{\alpha(i), \alpha(j), \alpha(k)\} \in X$ .

### 3. Subdirectly irreducible sloops of cardinality 20

Any sloop of cardinality 20 has at most one subsloop of cardinality 10 and at most 12 subsloops of cardinality 8. In particular, the direct product sloop  $\mathbf{SL}(10) \times \mathbf{SL}(2)$  has exactly one sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$  and 12 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s, but the planar  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$  has no nontrivial subsloops.

In the next theorem we exhibit all nonsimple subdirectly irreducible  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s having a sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$ .

**Theorem 5.** The constructed sloop  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1 = (P = P_1 \cup P_2; \cdot, e)$  is isomorphic to the direct product of the subsloop  $\mathbf{SL}(10) = \mathbf{L}_1$  and the 2-element sloop  $\mathbf{SL}(2)$ , if and only if  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  has 12 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s, otherwise  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  is nonsimple subdirectly irreducible. Moreover, the constructed sloop  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  has exactly  $n$  subsloops of cardinality 8 if and only if the

permutation  $\alpha$  transfers  $n$  lines into  $n$  lines of  $X$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12$ . Where  $X$  is the set of lines of the affine plane over  $\mathbf{GF}(3)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  have 12 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s, then  $\alpha(X) := \{\{\alpha(i), \alpha(j), \alpha(k)\} : \text{for all } \{i, j, k\} \in X\} = X$ . Consider the map  $\varphi$  from  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  to the direct product  $\mathbf{L}_1 \times \{0, 1\}$  by  $\varphi(e) = (1, 0)$ ,  $\varphi(b) = (1, 1)$ ,  $\varphi(a_i) = (a_i, 0)$  and  $\varphi(b_i) = (a_{\alpha^{-1}(i)}, 1)$ . It is routine matter to prove that  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism. Notice that  $\varphi(a_i b_j) = \varphi(b_k)$  if  $b_j b_k \in G_{\alpha(i)}$ , so  $\{\alpha(i), j, k\}$  is a line in  $X$ . Also,  $\varphi(b_k) = (a_{\alpha^{-1}(k)}, 1)$  and  $\varphi(a_i) \varphi(b_j) = (a_i, 0) (a_{\alpha^{-1}(j)}, 1) = (a_i a_{\alpha^{-1}(j)}, 1)$ , but  $\alpha^{-1}\{\alpha(i), j, k\} = \{i, \alpha^{-1}(j), \alpha^{-1}(k)\}$  is also a line in  $X$ , so  $\varphi(a_i) \varphi(b_j) = \varphi(b_k)$ .

Since  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  has exactly one normal subsloop  $\mathbf{L}_1$  of cardinality 10, so  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  is not simple. Another possible normal subsloop is the 2-element subsloop  $\mathbf{C}_2$  with  $\mathbf{C}_2 \cap \mathbf{L}_1 = \{e\}$ . But if  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  contains  $\mathbf{C}_2$  as a normal subsloop, then  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  is isomorphic to the direct product  $\mathbf{SL}(10) \times \mathbf{SL}(2)$  and has exactly 12 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s. Therefore, if  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  has  $n$  sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s with  $n < 12$ , then the congruence lattice of  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  has only the normal subsloop  $\mathbf{L}_1$ . Hence  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  is subdirectly irreducible for all possible  $n < 12$ .

Let  $\alpha$  transfer the line  $\{i, j, k\} \in X$  into the line  $\{\alpha(i), \alpha(j), \alpha(k)\} \in X$ . According to Lemmas 3 and 4, we may directly say that  $S = \{e, a_i, a_j, a_k, b, b_{\alpha(i)}, b_{\alpha(j)}, b_{\alpha(k)}\}$  forms a subsloop. Since  $\alpha$  is a permutation on the set of points  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, 9\}$  of the affine plane over  $\mathbf{GF}(3)$ , the possible values of the number  $n$  of lines of  $X$  transferred into lines are 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 or 12. This completes the proof of the theorem.

In fact, there is another class of subdirectly irreducible  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s having exactly one proper normal sub- $\mathbf{SL}(2)$  but no sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$ . It will be described in the next section.

In [1] the author has given a construction of subdirectly irreducible sloops of cardinality  $2m$ . This construction supplies us with an example of a subdirectly irreducible  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$  of one of these classes.

According to the results given in [12], the variety  $\mathbf{V}_1$  generated by the  $\mathbf{SL}(10) = \mathbf{L}_1$  covers the smallest nontrivial subvariety  $\mathbf{V}_0$  (the class of all Boolean sloops). The constructed subdirectly irreducible sloop  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1 = \mathbf{SL}(20)$  contains always a subsloop of cardinality 10 and has only one proper homomorphic image isomorphic to the Boolean sloop  $\mathbf{SL}(2)$ . According to the result given [1], we may deduce that each of these sloops  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = 2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  generates a variety  $\mathbf{V}_2$  covers the variety  $\mathbf{V}_1$ .

#### 4. Semi-planar sloops of cardinality 20

A semi-planar sloop is a simple sloop each of whose triangles generates either the whole sloop or a sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$  " cf. [2, 15]". The associated  $\mathbf{STS}$ s with the semi-planar sloops will also be called semi-planar (or more precisely semi-7-planar). Each semi-planar  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$

contains sub-**SL**(8)s but no sub-**SL**(10)s. Based on the number  $n$  of sub-**SL**(8)s of **SL**(20), we will determine all classes of simple **SL**(20)s. So we have six distinct classes of simple sloops, one of them is the class of planar **SL**(20) and the other five classes are semi-planar **SL**(20)s. In [2] the author has given another construction of a semi-planar sloop **SL**(2m). This construction supplies us with exactly one class among these five classes.

We will modify the construction of the subdirectly irreducible  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = 2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1 = (P = P_1 \cup P_2; \cdot, e)$  to a construction of semi-planar sloop denoted by  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_1$ . Let the associated **STS**(19) of the constructed subdirectly irreducible  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = 2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  has a sub-**STS**(7) on the set of elements  $A^* = \{a_i, a_j, a_k, b, b_{\alpha(i)}, b_{\alpha(j)}, b_{\alpha(k)}\}$ ; i.e.,  $\{i, j, k\}$  and  $\{\alpha(i), \alpha(j), \alpha(k)\}$  are lines in  $X$ . We will interchange the set of blocks:

$$H = \{\{a_i, a_j, a_k\}, \{a_i, b_{\alpha(j)}, b_{\alpha(k)}\}, \{a_j, b_{\alpha(i)}, b_{\alpha(k)}\}, \{a_k, b_{\alpha(i)}, b_{\alpha(j)}\}\}$$

with the set of triples

$$R = \{\{b_{\alpha(i)}, b_{\alpha(j)}, b_{\alpha(k)}\}, \{b_{\alpha(i)}, a_j, a_k\}, \{b_{\alpha(j)}, a_i, a_k\}, \{b_{\alpha(k)}, a_i, a_j\}\}$$

to get again an **STS**(19) =  $(P^* = P_1^* \cup P_2; B = H \cup R)$  denoted by  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_1$ . The associated sloop will be denoted by  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_1 = (P = P_1 \cup P_2; \cdot, e)$ . Notice that the difference between the binary operations “ $\cdot$ ” and “ $\cdot$ ” is only restricted on the subset of elements of  $A^*$ ; i.e.,  $x \cdot y = x \cdot y$  for all  $x, y \in P - A^*$ .

The next lemma is one of the main results of this section. to show that the new construction  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_1$  is a semi-planar sloop such that  $\alpha$  transfers at least one line into a line and at most 6 lines into 6 lines of the affine plane over **GF**(3).

**Theorem 6.** *The constructed sloop  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_1 = (P = P_1 \cup P_2; \cdot, e)$  has no sub-**SL**(10). Also,  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_1$  is a semi-planar sloop having  $n$  sub-**SL**(8)s for each  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$  or  $6$ .. Where  $n$  is the number of lines of the affine plane over **GF**(3) transferred into lines by the permutation  $\alpha$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $S = \{x, y, z\}$  be a triangle in  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_1$ . At first, we want to prove that the subsloop  $\langle S \rangle$  in  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_1$  is equal to the whole sloop  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_1$  or a sub-**SL**(8).

Assume that  $|\langle S \rangle \cap A| \leq 2$ , where  $A = \{e, a_i, a_j, a_k, b, b_{\alpha(i)}, b_{\alpha(j)}, b_{\alpha(k)}\}$ , then the subsloop  $\langle S \rangle$  in the sloop  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  is the same as the subsloop  $\langle S \rangle$  in  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_1$ . So if  $\langle S \rangle$  is a sub-**SL**(10), then  $\langle S \rangle = \langle S \rangle = \mathbf{L}_1$  contradicting the fact that  $a_i \cdot a_j = b_{\alpha(k)}$  in  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_1$ .

Also, if  $\langle S \rangle \cap A = \{e, b, a_i, b_{\alpha(i)}\}, \{e, b, a_j, b_{\alpha(j)}\}$  or  $\{e, b, a_k, b_{\alpha(k)}\}$ , then the subsloop  $\langle S \rangle$  in the sloop  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  is the same as the subsloop  $\langle S \rangle$  in  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_1$ . For the same reason, if  $\langle S \rangle$  is a sub-**SL**(10), then  $\langle S \rangle = \langle S \rangle = \mathbf{L}_1$  contradicting the fact that  $b \in \langle S \rangle$ .

Moreover if  $|\langle S \rangle \cap A| > 4$ , then  $\langle S \rangle = A$ ; i.e.,  $\langle S \rangle$  is a sub-**SL**(8).

Now Assume that  $|\langle S \rangle| = 10$  and

$$\langle S \rangle \cap A = \{e, b_{\alpha(i)}, a_j, a_k\}, \{e, b_{\alpha(j)}, a_i, a_k\}, \{e, b_{\alpha(k)}, a_i, a_j\} \text{ or } \{e, b_{\alpha(i)}, b_{\alpha(j)}, b_{\alpha(k)}\}.$$

Each of these four blocks contains at least one element  $b_{\alpha(t)}$  lying in  $P_2$ , for  $t = i, j$  or  $k$ . If  $b_r$  or  $a_s \in \underline{\leq S} - A$ , then  $b_{\alpha(t)} \dot{\vdash} b_r \in P_1$  and  $b_{\alpha(t)} \dot{\vdash} a_s \in P_2$ , This means that the 6-element subset  $\underline{\leq S} - A$  consists of two 3-element subsets  $\{a_{s_1}, a_{s_2}, a_{s_3}\} \subseteq P_1^*$  and  $\{b_{r_1}, b_{r_2}, b_{r_3}\} \subseteq P_2$ . For the three case  $\underline{\leq S} \cap A = \{e, b_{\alpha(i)}, a_j, a_k\}$ ,  $\{e, b_{\alpha(j)}, a_i, a_k\}$  or  $\{e, b_{\alpha(k)}, a_i, a_j\}$ , we have  $a_t \dot{\vdash} \{a_{s_1}, a_{s_2}, a_{s_3}\} \neq \{a_{s_1}, a_{s_2}, a_{s_3}\}$  and  $a_t \dot{\vdash} \{a_{s_1}, a_{s_2}, a_{s_3}\} \cap \{e, a_i, a_j, a_k\} = \emptyset$  for  $t = i, j$  or  $k$ , this means that  $\underline{\leq S}$  consists of a 4-element subset of  $P_2$  and more than 6 elements lying in  $L_1$ , hence  $\underline{\leq S}$  has more than 10 elements, so  $\underline{\leq S}$  must be equal to  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{L}_1$ .

For the case  $\underline{\leq S} \cap A = \{e, b_{\alpha(i)}, b_{\alpha(j)}, b_{\alpha(k)}\}$ , the set  $\underline{\leq S} - A$  contains  $\{a_{s_1}, a_{s_2}, a_{s_3}\} \subseteq P_1^*$  and  $\{b_{r_1}, b_{r_2}, b_{r_3}\} \subseteq P_2$ . Let  $\underline{\leq S}^*$  be the associated **STS**(9) with  $\underline{\leq S}$ , Since  $\{a_{s_1}, a_{s_2}, a_{s_3}\} \cap \{a_i, a_j, a_k\} = \emptyset$ , the triple  $\{a_{s_1}, a_{s_2}, a_{s_3}\}$  forms a block of  $\underline{\leq S}^*$ . If  $\underline{\leq S}$  is a sub-**SL**(10), then the triple  $\{b_{r_1}, b_{r_2}, b_{r_3}\}$  must also be a block of  $\underline{\leq S}^*$  contradicting the fact that the construction  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{L}_1$  contains exactly one block lying completely in  $P_2$  that is the block  $\{b_{\alpha(i)}, b_{\alpha(j)}, b_{\alpha(k)}\} \subseteq P_2$ . Therefore, the subsloop  $\underline{\leq S}$  generated by any triangle  $S$  is equal to a sub-**SL**(8) or the whole sloop  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{L}_1$ . This means that  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{L}_1$  has no sub-**SL**(10)s for all  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$  or  $12$ .

Secondly, we have to prove that  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{L}_1$  has no proper congruence for  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and  $6$ . Assume that  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{L}_1$  has a congruence  $\theta$  with  $[e]\theta = \{e, x\}$ . If  $[e]\theta \cap A = \{e\}$ , then  $[A]\theta$  is a sub-**SL**(16) which is impossible. Hence  $[e]\theta \cap A = [e]\theta$ . Say  $[e]\theta = \{e, a_i\} \subseteq A$  and suppose that  $\{a_j, a_r, a_s\}$  is a block such that  $\{a_j, a_r, a_s\} \cap \{a_i, a_j, a_k\} = \{a_j\}$  for  $i \neq j$ , so we have  $[e]\theta \cup [a_j]\theta \cup [a_r]\theta \cup [a_s]\theta = \{e, a_i, a_j, a_i \dot{\vdash} a_j, a_r, a_i \dot{\vdash} a_r, a_s, a_i \dot{\vdash} a_s\} = \{e, a_i, a_j, b_{\alpha(k)}, a_r, a_l, a_s, a_h\}$ , where  $a_l = a_i \dot{\vdash} a_r$  and  $a_h = a_i \dot{\vdash} a_s$ . But  $b_{\alpha(k)} \dot{\vdash} a_r = b_v \neq b_{\alpha(k)}$  contradicting that  $[e]\theta \cup [a_j]\theta \cup [a_r]\theta \cup [a_s]\theta$  is an 8-element subsloop.

Now assume that  $[e]\theta = \{e, b_{\alpha(i)}\} \subseteq A$  and suppose that  $\{a_j, b_r, b_s\}$  is a block such that  $\{a_j, b_r, b_s\} \cap A = \{a_j\}$  for  $i \neq j$ . So we have  $[e]\theta \cup [a_j]\theta \cup [b_r]\theta \cup [b_s]\theta = \{e, b_{\alpha(i)}, a_j, b_{\alpha(i)} \dot{\vdash} a_j, b_r, b_{\alpha(i)} \dot{\vdash} b_r, b_s, b_{\alpha(i)} \dot{\vdash} b_s\} = \{e, b_{\alpha(i)}, a_j, a_k, b_r, a_l, b_s, a_h\}$ , where  $b_{\alpha(i)} = a_j \dot{\vdash} a_k$ ,  $a_l = b_{\alpha(i)} \dot{\vdash} b_r$  and  $a_h = b_{\alpha(i)} \dot{\vdash} b_s$ . If  $a_j \cdot a_l = a_h$ , then  $a_k \cdot a_l \notin [e]\theta \cup [a_j]\theta \cup [b_r]\theta \cup [b_s]\theta$  contradicting that  $[e]\theta \cup [a_j]\theta \cup [b_r]\theta \cup [b_s]\theta$  must be an 8-element subsloop.

Now, assume that  $[e]\theta = \{e, b\} \subseteq A$  and suppose that  $\{l, m, n\}$  is a line in  $X$  such that  $\{\alpha(l), \alpha(m), \alpha(n)\}$  is not a line in  $X$ , then  $[e]\theta \cup [a_l]\theta \cup [a_m]\theta \cup [a_n]\theta = \{e, b, a_l, b_{\alpha(l)}, a_m, b_{\alpha(m)}, a_n, b_{\alpha(n)}\}$ . But according to Lemma 4, the set  $\{e, b, a_l, b_{\alpha(l)}, a_m, b_{\alpha(m)}, a_n, b_{\alpha(n)}\}$  does not form an **SL**(8). This means that  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{L}_1$  has no congruence  $\theta$  with  $[e]\theta = \{e, x\}$ , which implies that the constructed  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{L}_1$  is a semi-planar **SL**(20) for all  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$ . Therefore, the proof of the theorem is complete.

Finally, for  $n = 12$ , the permutation  $\alpha$  transfers each line of  $X$  into a line. Then the constructed sloop  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{L}_1$  has 12 **SL**(8)s but no **SL**(10)s. It will be shown that  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{L}_1$  is not



simple. The next theorem shows that  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{L}_1$  in this case is subdiretly irreducible having exactly one normal subsloop that is a sub- $\mathbf{SL}(2)$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $L$  be a sloop of cardinality  $2m$ . A subsloop  $S = \{e, x\}$  is normal if and only if  $L$  contains  $(m - 1)(2m - 4)/12$  sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s including the element  $x$ .*

**Proof.** If  $S$  is a normal subsloop of  $L$ , then  $L/S$  is an  $\mathbf{SL}(m)$ . An  $\mathbf{SL}(m)$  has  $(m - 1)(m - 2)/6$  4-element subsloops, which implies that  $L$  has  $(m - 1)(m - 2)/6$  sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s containing  $S$ .

On the another direction, the number of triangles of an  $\mathbf{SL}(2m)$  passing through a fixed point  $x$  is equal to  $(2m - 2)(2m - 3)/2 - (2m - 2)/2 = (m - 1)(2m - 4)$  and the number of triangles of an  $\mathbf{SL}(8)$  passing through the fixed point  $x$  is equal to 12, then the maximum number of sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s of an  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$  containing  $x$  is equal to  $(m - 1)(2m - 4)/12$ . This means that if  $L$  contains  $(m - 1)(2m - 4)/12$  sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s passing through  $x$ , then each triangle in  $L$  generates a sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ . Let  $y, z \in L - \{e, x\}$ . If  $\{e, x, y, z\}$  forms a sub- $\mathbf{SL}(4)$  then  $y \cdot z \cdot (S) = y \cdot (z \cdot (S))$ . If  $\{e, x, y, z\}$  does not form a sub- $\mathbf{SL}(4)$ , then  $\{x, y, z\}$  is a triangle in  $L$  and the subsloop generated by  $\{x, y, z\}$  is an  $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ . It is well known that an  $\mathbf{SL}(8)$  is always Boolean. This implies that  $y \cdot z \cdot (S) = y \cdot (z \cdot (S))$ . So  $S$  is normal. This completes the proof of the lemma.

According to the above theorem, if the constructed  $\mathbf{SL}(2m) = \underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} L_1$  has a simple  $\mathbf{SL}(m) = L_1$  and  $(m - 1)(m - 2)/6$  sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s passing through sub- $\mathbf{SL}(2) = \{e, x\}$ , so  $\{e, x\}$  is normal. Since  $L_1$  is simple then  $L_1 \cap \{e, x\} = \{e\}$ . According to the definition of the constructed  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} L_1$ , we have  $x = b$ , so the subsloops  $L_1$  and  $\{e, b\}$  are normal, then  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} L_1$  is isomorphic to the direct product  $\mathbf{SL}(m) \times \mathbf{SL}(2)$ . This result agrees with result of Theorem 5.

Also, for  $m = 10$  in the above theorem and according to Theorem 6, we may say that for  $n = 12$  the constructed sloop  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = \underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{L}_1$  has  $(10 - 1)(20 - 4)/12 = 12$  sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s passing through sub- $\mathbf{SL}(2) = \{e, b\}$ , but no sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$ . So  $\underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{L}_1$  has exactly one proper congruence  $\theta$  with  $[e]\theta = \{e, b\}$ . This means that the constructed sloop  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = \underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{L}_1$  is subdiretly irreducible having only one proper homomorphic image isomorphic to  $\mathbf{SL}(10)$ .

According to the results due to Quackenbush in [12], the variety  $\mathbf{V}_1$  generated by  $\mathbf{SL}(10)$  covers the smallest nontrivial subvariety  $\mathbf{V}_0$  (the class of all Boolean sloops). And according to [2], we may deduce that each of the constructed semi-planar sloop  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = \underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha} \underline{L}_1$  generates also a variety  $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_1$  (not comparable with  $\mathbf{V}_1$ ) covering the variety of all Boolean sloops  $\mathbf{V}_0$ .

## 5. Construction an example of each class of $\mathbf{SL}(20)$ s

Let  $(P^*_1; B_1)$  be an  $\mathbf{STS}(9)$ , where  $P^*_1 = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9\}$  and the set of blocks  $B_1$  is given by:

$$B_1 = \quad a_1 a_3 a_4 \quad a_1 a_2 a_6 \quad a_1 a_7 a_8 \quad a_1 a_5 a_9 \quad a_2 a_3 a_9 \quad a_2 a_4 a_7$$

$$a_2 a_5 a_8 \quad a_3 a_5 a_7 \quad a_3 a_6 a_8 \quad a_4 a_5 a_6 \quad a_4 a_8 a_9 \quad a_6 a_7 a_9$$

Let  $\mathbf{L}_1 = (P_1 = P_1^* \cup \{e\}; \cdot, e)$  be the associated sloop of  $(P_1^*; B_1)$ . Also, let  $P_2 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6, b_7, b_8, b_9\}$ . The 1-factorization  $\mathbf{F}$  on the set  $P_1$  and the 1-factorization  $\mathbf{G}$  on the set  $P_2$  are defined as in section 2 by:

$\mathbf{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_9\}$ , where  $F_i = \{a_l a_k : a_l \cdot a_k = a_i \text{ and } a_i, a_l, a_k \in P_1\}$  and

$\mathbf{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_9\}$ , where  $G_i = \{b_l b_k\} \cup \{b_l b_k : a_l \cdot a_k = a_i \text{ for } i \notin \{l, k\}\}$ .

The constructed  $\mathbf{STS}(19) = 2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{T}_1$  is defined by  $(P^* = P_1^* \cup P_2; B = B_1 \cup B_{12})$ , where  $B_{12} = \{\{a_i, b_j, b_k\} : b_j b_k \in G_{\alpha(i)}\}$ . The associated sloop  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1 = (P = P^* \cup \{e\}; \cdot, e)$  with the  $\mathbf{STS}(19) = 2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{T}_1$  has the sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10) = \mathbf{L}_1$  for each permutation  $\alpha$ , so  $\mathbf{L}_1$  is always normal in  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$ .

For each block  $\{a_i, a_j, a_k\} \in B_1$ , we have the sub-1-factorizations:

$f = \{f_i = \{e a_i, a_j a_k\}, f_j = \{e a_j, a_i a_k\}, f_k = \{e a_k, a_i a_j\}\}$  and

$g = \{g_i = \{b b_i, b_j b_k\}, g_j = \{b b_j, b_i b_k\}, g_k = \{b b_k, b_i b_j\}\}$  for all  $\{i, j, k\} \in X$ .

Where  $X = \{\{1, 3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 6\}, \{1, 7, 8\}, \{1, 5, 9\}, \{2, 3, 9\}, \{2, 4, 7\},$

$\{2, 5, 8\}, \{3, 5, 7\}, \{3, 6, 8\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \{4, 8, 9\}, \{6, 7, 9\}\}$  is the set of lines of the affine planar over  $\mathbf{GF}(3)$  with the set of points  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, 9\}$ .

By applying the interchange:

$$H = \{\{a_1, a_3, a_4\}, \{a_1, b_3, b_4\}, \{a_3, b_1, b_4\}, \{a_4, b_1, b_3\}\}$$

with the set of triples:

$$R = \{\{b_1, b_3, b_4\}, \{b_1, a_3, a_4\}, \{b_3, a_1, a_4\}, \{b_4, a_1, a_3\}\}$$

on the set  $A^* = \{a_1, a_3, a_4, b_1, b_3, b_4\}$ , we will get the associated sloop  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = 2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  with the constructed triple system  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{T}_1 = (P^*; B - H \cup R)$ .

The following 7 examples supplies us with an example for each class of  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$  given in section 3 and in section 4.

Notice that  $\{1, 3, 4\}$  is a line in  $X$ . We will choose the permutation  $\alpha$  satisfying that  $\alpha(1) = 1$ ,  $\alpha(3) = 3$  and  $\alpha(4) = 4$  in all examples from (1) to (6):

- (1)  $\alpha_1 = \text{id}_N$ ; i. e.,  $\alpha_1$  transfers each line into the same line in  $X$ . The constructed  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = 2 \otimes_{\alpha_1} \mathbf{L}_1$  has 12 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s and one sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$ ; i. e.  $2 \otimes_{\alpha_1} \mathbf{L}_1$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{SL}(10) \times \mathbf{SL}(2)$ . And the constructed  $2 \otimes_{\alpha_1} \mathbf{L}_1$  is an  $\mathbf{SL}(20)$  having 12 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s but no sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$ . The sloop  $2 \otimes_{\alpha_1} \mathbf{L}_1$  is subdirectly irreducible having exactly one proper homomorphic image  $\cong \mathbf{SL}(10)$ .

In all cases (2) – (7), the constructed  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = 2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  is subdirectly irreducible. And in all cases (2) - (6), the constructed  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = 2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  is a semi-planar sloop.

- (2)  $\alpha_2 = (26)$ ; i. e.,  $\alpha_2$  transfers 6 lines into lines, namely, the set  $\{\{1, 3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 6\}, \{1, 7, 8\}, \{1, 5, 9\}, \{4, 8, 9\}, \{3, 5, 7\}\}$ . The constructed  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = 2 \otimes_{\alpha_2} \mathbf{L}_1$  has one sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$  and 6 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s. The constructed  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = \underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha_2} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_1$  has 6 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s but no sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$ .
- (3)  $\alpha_3 = (26) (78)$ ; i. e.,  $\alpha_3$  transfers 4 lines into lines, where the set of the four lines is  $\{\{1, 3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 6\}, \{1, 7, 8\}, \{1, 5, 9\}\}$ . The constructed  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = 2 \otimes_{\alpha_3} \mathbf{L}_1$  has one sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$  and 4 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s. The constructed  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = \underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha_3} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_1$  has 4 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s but no sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$ .
- (4)  $\alpha_4 = (258)$ ; i. e.,  $\alpha_4$  transfers 3 lines into lines, where the set of the three lines is  $\{\{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 5, 8\}, \{6, 7, 9\}\}$ . The constructed  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = 2 \otimes_{\alpha_4} \mathbf{L}_1$  has one sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$  and 3 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s. The constructed  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = \underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha_4} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_1$  has 3 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s but no sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$ .
- (5)  $\alpha_5 = (2567) (89)$ ; i. e.,  $\alpha_5$  transfers 2 lines into lines, where the set of lines is  $\{\{1, 3, 4\}, \{4, 8, 9\}\}$ . The constructed  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = 2 \otimes_{\alpha_5} \mathbf{L}_1$  has one sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$  and 2 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s. The constructed  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = \underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha_5} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_1$  has 2 sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s but no sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$ .
- (6)  $\alpha_6 = (257968)$ ; i. e.,  $\alpha_6$  transfers only the line  $\{1, 3, 4\}$  into a line. The constructed  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = 2 \otimes_{\alpha_6} \mathbf{L}_1$  has only one sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$  and one sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ . The constructed  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = \underline{2} \otimes_{\alpha_6} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_1$  has only one sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$  but no sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$ .
- (7)  $\alpha_7 = (123456798)$ ; i. e.,  $\alpha_7$  transfers no line into a line. The constructed  $\mathbf{SL}(20) = 2 \otimes_{\alpha_7} \mathbf{L}_1$  has only one sub- $\mathbf{SL}(10)$  and no sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s. In fact, the corresponding  $\mathbf{STS}(19) = 2 \otimes_{\alpha_7} \mathbf{T}_1$  has exactly one sub- $\mathbf{STS}(9)$ , but no sub- $\mathbf{STS}(7)$ s. This means that each triangle in the associated squag  $\mathbf{SQ}(19)$  either generates the whole  $\mathbf{SQ}(19)$  or a sub- $\mathbf{SQ}(9)$ . Which implies that the associated squag  $\mathbf{SQ}(19)$  is an example of a semi-planar squag of cardinality 19. We note that the smallest known cardinality of semi-planar squag is 21 (cf. [2]).

The subsloops mentioned in the above examples are  $\mathbf{SL}(10) = \mathbf{L}_1$ , in which  $\mathbf{L}_1$  is always normal in  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{L}_1$  and the sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ s determined by the set  $\{e, a_i, a_j, a_k, b, b_{\alpha(i)}, b_{\alpha(j)}, b_{\alpha(k)}\}$ , in which  $\{i, j, k\}$  and  $\{\alpha(i), \alpha(j), \alpha(k)\}$  are lines of the affine plane over  $\mathbf{GF}(3)$ .

## References

- [1] **M.H. Armanious**, *On Subdirectly Irreducible Steiner Loops of Cardinality  $2n$* , Beitrage zur Algebra und Geometrie, Vol. 43 (2002), No. 2, 325-331.
- [2] **M.H. Armanious**, *Semi-planar Steiner Loops of Cardinality  $2n$* , Discrete Math. 270 (2003) 291-298.
- [3] **M.H. Armanious**, *Semi-planar Steiner Quasigroups of Cardinality  $3n$* , Australas. J. Combin., 27 (2003), 13-21.

- [4] **M. H. Armanious, E. M. A. Elzayat**, *Extending Sloops of Cardinality 16 to SQS-Skeins with all Possible Congruence Lattices*, Quasigroups and Related Systems, Vol. 12 (2004), 1-12.
- [5] **O. Chein, H.O. Pflugfelder and J. D. H. Smith**, *Quasigroups and Loops, Theory and Applications*, Sigma Series in Pure Math., 8, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [6] **C. Colburn and J. Dinitz**, eds., *The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, CRC Press, New York, 1996.
- [7] **J. Doyen**, *Sur la Structure de Certains Systems Triples de Steiner*, Math. Z. 111 (1979) 289-300.
- [8] **B. Ganter, and H. Werner**, *Co-ordinatizing Steiner Systems*, Ann. Discrete Math. 7 (1980) 3- 24.
- [9] **G. Grätzer**, *Universal Algebra*, Springer – Verlag New York, Heidelberg and Berlin, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, 1997.
- [10] **F. Haray**, *Graph Theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA (1969).
- [11] **C. C. Lindner, and A. Rosa**, *Steiner Quadruple Systems: a Survey* Discrete Math.21 (1979) 147-181.
- [12] **R.W. Quackenbush**, *Varieties of Steiner Loops and Steiner Quasigroups*, Can. J. Math. (1976) 1187-1198.

#### Appendix including proofs of lemmas 1, 2, 3 and 4

**Proof of lemma 1.** We have that  $P_1 := \{e, a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  and  $P_2 := \{b, b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  are disjoint sets having the same cardinality  $n$ , in which  $P_1$  forms a subsloop of  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} L_1$ . Let  $S$  satisfy  $S - P_i \neq \emptyset$  for  $i = 1$  and  $2$ , then  $|S| \geq 2$ . If  $|S| = 2$ , then  $S = \{a_i, b_j\}$ . For  $|S| > 2$ , since  $a_i \cdot b_k$  are always element of  $P_2$ , for all  $a_i \in P_1$  and  $b_k \in P_2$ , then  $S$  contains at least a 2-element subset  $\{a_i, a_j\} \subseteq P_1$  and a 2-element subset  $\{b_k, b_l\} \subseteq P_2$ . Consider the map  $\delta_{b_k}(x) := x \cdot b_k$  for  $x \in S \cap P_1$ . It is easy to see that the map  $\delta_{b_j}$  is bijective from the subset  $S \cap P_1$  onto the subset  $S - P_1$ . Which implies that  $|S \cap P_1| = (1/2)|S| = |S \cap P_2|$ .

**Proof of lemma 2.** Let  $f$  be a sub-1-factorization on  $\mathbf{K}_r$  of  $F$ . Then the order  $r$  of the complete graph  $\mathbf{K}_r$  is an even number less than or equal  $10/2 = 5$ , hence  $r = 4$ . Indeed, if there is a sub-1-factorization on a 4-element subset  $\{x, y, z, w\}$ , then  $e \in \{x, y, z, w\}$ . Otherwise, assume that  $e \notin \{x, y, z, w\}$  and  $\{x, y, z, w\} \subseteq F_i$ ,  $\{x, z, y, w\} \subseteq F_j$  and  $\{x, w, y, z\} \subseteq F_k$  form a sub-1-factorization of  $\mathbf{K}_4$ . But  $e, a_i \in F_i$ ,  $e, a_j \in F_j$  and  $e, a_k \in F_k$ , then  $\{e, a_i, a_j, a_k\} \cap \{x, y, z, w\} = \emptyset$ . This implies that  $F_i = \{e, a_i, a_j, a_k, x, y, z, w, u, v\}$  or  $\{e, a_i, a_j, u, a_k, v, x, y, z, w\}$ . Hence the first case of  $F_i$  tends to the 1-factor  $F_j = \{e, a_j, a_i, a_k, x, z, y, w, u, v\}$  and the second case of  $F_i$  leads to the 1-factor  $F_j = \{e, a_j, a_i, u, a_k, v, x, z, y, w\}$ , both cases contradict the fact that  $F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset$ . Similarly, if there is a sub-1-factorization on a 4-element subset  $\{x, y, z, w\}$  of  $P_2$ , then  $b$  must be an element of  $\{x, y, z, w\}$ . Since the number of blocks of  $B_1$  is 12, each of the 1-factorizations  $F$  and  $G$  has exactly 12 sub-1-factorizations of  $\mathbf{K}_4$ . This completes the proof.

**Proof of lemma 3.** Let  $2 \otimes_{\alpha_1} C_I = (C_I \cup C_2; \cdot, e)$  be a subsloop of  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} L_1$ . Since  $C_1 = \{e, a_i, a_j, a_k\}$  is a subsloop of  $L_1$ , there is a sub-1-factorization  $\mathbf{f} = \{f_i, f_j, f_k\}$  on  $C_1$ . According to the definition of  $2 \otimes_{\alpha_1} C_I$ , there a sub-1-factorization  $\mathbf{g} = \{g_{\alpha(i)} = \{b, b_{\alpha(i)}, b_{\alpha(j)} b_{\alpha(k)}\} \subseteq G_{\alpha(i)}, g_{\alpha(j)} = \{b, b_{\alpha(j)}, b_{\alpha(i)} b_{\alpha(k)}\} \subseteq G_{\alpha(j)}, g_{\alpha(k)} = \{b, b_{\alpha(k)}, b_{\alpha(i)} b_{\alpha(j)}\} \subseteq G_{\alpha(k)}\}$  on the subset  $C_2 = \{b, b_{\alpha(i)}, b_{\alpha(j)}, b_{\alpha(k)}\}$  if and only if  $\{\alpha(i), \alpha(j), \alpha(k)\}$  is a line of  $X$ . This implies that  $2 \otimes_{\alpha_1} C_I = (C_I \cup C_2; \cdot, e)$  is a subsloop of  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} L_1$ , if and only if  $\{\alpha(i), \alpha(j), \alpha(k)\}$  is a line in  $X$ .

**Proof of lemma 4.** According to Lemma 2, we may say that  $S \cap L_1 = C_1 = C_1 = \{e, a_i, a_j, a_k\}$  is a 4-element subsloop and  $\{i, j, k\}$  is a line in  $X$ . So there is a sub-1-factorization  $\mathbf{f} = \{f_i = \{e, a_i, a_j, a_k\}, f_j = \{e, a_j, a_i, a_k\}, f_k = \{e, a_k, a_i, a_j\}\}$  on  $C_1$ . According to the construction  $2 \otimes_{\alpha} L_1$  we have:  $f_i$  related with  $G_{\alpha(i)}$ ,  $f_j$  related with  $G_{\alpha(j)}$  and  $f_k$  related with  $G_{\alpha(k)}$ . Since  $S$  is a sub- $\mathbf{SL}(8)$ , then  $\{\alpha(i), \alpha(j), \alpha(k)\}$  is a line in  $X$  and the three 1-factors  $G_{\alpha(i)}$ ,  $G_{\alpha(j)}$  and  $G_{\alpha(k)}$  contains a sub-1-factorization  $\mathbf{g} = \{g_{\alpha(i)} = \{b, b_{\alpha(i)}, b_{\alpha(j)} b_{\alpha(k)}\}, g_{\alpha(j)} = \{b, b_{\alpha(j)}, b_{\alpha(i)} b_{\alpha(k)}\}, g_{\alpha(k)} = \{b, b_{\alpha(k)}, b_{\alpha(i)} b_{\alpha(j)}\}\}$  on the 4-element subset  $C_2 = \{b, b_{\alpha(i)}, b_{\alpha(j)}, b_{\alpha(k)}\}$  of  $P_2$ . According to the definition of the set of blocks  $B_{12}$  and using the sub-1-factorizations  $\mathbf{f}$  and  $\mathbf{g}$ , then the subsloop  $S$  can be represented by the construction  $2 \otimes_{\alpha_1} C_I = (C_I \cup C_2; \cdot, e)$ , where  $\alpha_1$  is equal to  $\alpha$  restricted on the subset  $\{i, j, k\}$ . This completes the proof.