

**SOME REPRESENTATIONS CONCERNING THE PRODUCT OF
DIVISORS OF n**

Mladen Vassilev - Missana
5, V. Hugo Str., Sofia-1124, Bulgaria

Let us denote by $\tau(n)$ the number of all divisors of n . It is well-known (see, e.g., [1]) that

$$P_d(n) = \sqrt{n^{\tau(n)}} \tag{1}$$

and of course, we have

$$p_d(n) = \frac{P_d(n)}{n}. \tag{2}$$

But (1) is not a good formula for $P_d(n)$, because it depends on function τ and to express $\tau(n)$ we need the prime number factorization of n .

Below, we give other representations of $P_d(n)$ and $p_d(n)$, which do not use the prime number factorization of n .

Proposition 1. For $n \geq 1$ representation

$$P_d(n) = \prod_{k=1}^n k^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n-1}{k} \rfloor} \tag{3}$$

holds.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(n, k) &\equiv \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{k} \right\rfloor \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k \text{ is a divisor of } n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Therefore,

$$\prod_{k=1}^n k^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n-1}{k} \rfloor} = \prod_{k/n} k \equiv P_d(n)$$

and Proposition 1 is proved.

Here and further the symbols

$$\prod_{k/n} \bullet \text{ and } \sum_{k/n} \bullet$$

mean the product and the sum, respectively, of all divisors of n .

The following assertion is obtained as a corollary of (2) and (3).

Proposition 2. For $n \geq 1$ representation

$$p_d(n) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n-1}{k} \rfloor} \quad (5)$$

holds.

For $n = 1$ we have

$$p_d(1) = 1.$$

Proposition 3. For $n \geq 1$ representation

$$P_d(n) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor!}{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{k} \rfloor!} \quad (6)$$

holds, where here and further we assume that $0! = 1$.

Proof. Obviously, we have

$$\frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor!}{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{k} \rfloor!} = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{k}, & \text{if } k \text{ is a divisor of } n \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor!}{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{k} \rfloor!} = \prod_{k/n} \frac{n}{k} = \prod_{k/n} k \equiv P_d(n),$$

since, if k describes all divisors of n , then $\frac{n}{k}$ describes them, too.

Now (2) and (6) yield.

Proposition 4. For $n \geq 2$ representation

$$p_d(n) = \prod_{k=2}^n \frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor!}{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{k} \rfloor!} \quad (7)$$

holds.

Another type of representation of $p_d(n)$ is the following

Proposition 5. For $n \geq 3$ representation

$$p_d(n) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-2} (k!)^{\theta(n,k) - \theta(n,k+1)}, \quad (8)$$

where $\theta(n, k)$ is given by (4).

Proof. Let

$$r(n, k) = \theta(n, k) - \theta(n, k + 1).$$

The assertion holds from the fact, that

$$r(n, k) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k \text{ is a divisor of } n \text{ and} \\ & k + 1 \text{ is not a divisor of } n \\ -1, & \text{if } k \text{ is not a divisor of } n \text{ and} \\ & k + 1 \text{ is a divisor of } n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We are ready to prove the following interesting
Theorem. For $n \geq 2$ the identity

$$\prod_{k=2}^n \left[\frac{n}{k} \right]! = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (k!)^{\left[\frac{n}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{n}{k+1} \right]} \quad (9)$$

holds.

Proof. By induction. For $n = 2$ (9) is true. Let us assume, that (9) holds for some $n \geq 2$. Then we must prove that

$$\prod_{k=2}^{n+1} \left[\frac{n+1}{k} \right]! = \prod_{k=1}^n (k!)^{\left[\frac{n+1}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{n+1}{k+1} \right]} \quad (10)$$

holds, too.

Dividing (10) by (9) we obtain

$$\prod_{k=2}^n \frac{\left[\frac{n+1}{k} \right]!}{\left[\frac{n}{k} \right]!} = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (k!)^{r(n+1, k)}. \quad (11)$$

Since, for $k = n + 1$

$$\left[\frac{n+1}{k} \right]! = 1$$

and for $k = n$

$$\left[\frac{n+1}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{n+1}{k+1} \right] = 0.$$

Then (10) is true, if and only if (11) is true. Therefore, we must prove (11) for proving of the Theorem.

From (7), the left hand-side of (11) is equal to $p_d(n+1)$. From (8), the right side of (11) is equal to $p_d(n+1)$, too. Therefore, (11) is true.

Reference

[1] Nagell T., *Introduction to Number Theory*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1950.