

ON 15-TH SMARANDACHE'S PROBLEM

Mladen V. Vassilev – Missana

5, V. Hugo Str., Sofia-1124, Bulgaria, e-mail: *missana@abv.bg*

Introduction

The 15-th Smarandache's problem from [1] is the following: “**Smarandache's simple numbers:**

2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 29, 31, 33, ...

A number n is called “Smarandache's simple number” if the product of its proper divisors is less than or equal to n . Generally speaking, n has the form $n = p$, or $n = p^2$, or $n = p^3$, or $n = pq$, where p and q are distinct primes”.

Let us denote: by S - the sequence of all Smarandache's simple numbers and by s_n - the n -th term of S ; by \mathcal{P} - the sequence of all primes and by p_n - the n -th term of \mathcal{P} ; by \mathcal{P}^2 - the sequence $\{p_n^2\}_{n=1}^\infty$; by \mathcal{P}^3 - the sequence $\{p_n^3\}_{n=1}^\infty$; by \mathcal{PQ} - the sequence $\{p \cdot q\}_{p, q \in \mathcal{P}}$, where $p < q$.

For an arbitrary increasing sequence of natural numbers $C \equiv \{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ we denote by $\pi_C(n)$ the number of terms of C , which are not greater than n . When $n < c_1$ we must put $\pi_C(n) = 0$.

In the present paper we find $\pi_S(n)$ in an explicit form and using this, we find the n -th term of S in explicit form, too.

1. $\pi_S(n)$ -representation

First, we must note that instead of $\pi_{\mathcal{P}}(n)$ we shall use the well known denotation $\pi(n)$. Hence

$$\pi_{\mathcal{P}^2}(n) = \pi(\sqrt{n}), \quad \pi_{\mathcal{P}^3}(n) = \pi(\sqrt[3]{n}).$$

Thus, using the definition of S , we get

$$\pi_S(n) = \pi(n) + \pi(\sqrt{n}) + \pi(\sqrt[3]{n}) + \pi_{\mathcal{PQ}}(n). \quad (1)$$

Our first aim is to express $\pi_S(n)$ in an explicit form. For $\pi(n)$ some explicit formulae are proposed in [2]. Other explicit formulae for $\pi(n)$ are contained in [3]. One of them is known as Mináč's formula. It is given below

$$\pi(n) = \sum_{k=2}^n \left[\frac{(k-1)! + 1}{k} - \left\lfloor \frac{(k-1)!}{k} \right\rfloor \right], \quad (2)$$

where $[.]$ denotes the function integer part. Therefore, the question about explicit formulae for functions $\pi(n), \pi(\sqrt{n}), \pi(\sqrt[3]{n})$ is solved successfully. It remains only to express $\pi_{\mathcal{PQ}}(n)$ in an explicit form.

Let $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, \pi(\sqrt{n})\}$ be fixed. We consider all numbers of the kind $p_k \cdot q$, where $q \in \mathcal{P}, q > p_k$ for which $p_k \cdot q \leq n$. The number of these numbers is $\pi(\frac{n}{p_k}) - \pi(p_k)$, or which is the same

$$\pi\left(\frac{n}{p_k}\right) - k. \quad (3)$$

When $k = 1, 2, \dots, \pi(\sqrt{n})$, numbers $p_k \cdot q$, that were defined above, describe all numbers of the kind $p \cdot q$, where $p, q \in \mathcal{P}, p < q, p \cdot q \leq n$. But the number of the last numbers is equal to $\pi_{\mathcal{PQ}}(n)$. Hence

$$\pi_{\mathcal{PQ}}(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\pi(\sqrt{n})} \left(\pi\left(\frac{n}{p_k}\right) - k \right), \quad (4)$$

because of (3). The equality (4), after a simple computation yields the formula

$$\pi_{\mathcal{PQ}}(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\pi(\sqrt{n})} \pi\left(\frac{n}{p_k}\right) - \frac{\pi(\sqrt{n}) \cdot (\pi(\sqrt{n}) + 1)}{2}. \quad (5)$$

In [4] the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\pi(b)} \pi\left(\frac{n}{p_k}\right) = \pi\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) \cdot \pi(b) + \sum_{k=1}^{\pi(\frac{n}{2}) - \pi(\frac{n}{b})} \pi\left(\frac{n}{p_{\pi(\frac{n}{b}) + k}}\right) \quad (6)$$

is proved, under the condition $b \geq 2$ (b is a real number). When $\pi(\frac{n}{2}) = \pi(\frac{n}{b})$, the right hand-side of (6) reduces to $\pi(\frac{n}{b}) \cdot \pi(b)$. In the case $b = \sqrt{n}$ and $n \geq 4$ equality (6) yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\pi(\sqrt{n})} \pi\left(\frac{n}{p_k}\right) = (\pi(\sqrt{n}))^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\pi(\frac{n}{2}) - \pi(\sqrt{n})} \pi\left(\frac{n}{p_{\pi(\sqrt{n}) + k}}\right). \quad (7)$$

If we compare (5) with (7) we obtain for $n \geq 4$

$$\pi_{\mathcal{PQ}}(n) = \frac{\pi(\sqrt{n}) \cdot (\pi(\sqrt{n}) - 1)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\pi(\frac{n}{2}) - \pi(\sqrt{n})} \pi\left(\frac{n}{p_{\pi(\sqrt{n}) + k}}\right). \quad (8)$$

Thus, we have two different explicit representations for $\pi_{\mathcal{PQ}}(n)$. These are formulae (5) and (8). We must note that the right hand-side of (8) reduces to $\frac{\pi(\sqrt{n}) \cdot (\pi(\sqrt{n}) - 1)}{2}$, when $\pi(\frac{n}{2}) = \pi(\sqrt{n})$.

Finally, we observe that (1) gives an explicit representation for $\pi_S(n)$, since we may use formula (2) for $\pi(n)$ (or other explicit formulae for $\pi(n)$) and (5), or (8) for $\pi_{\mathcal{PQ}}(n)$.

2. Explicit formulae for s_n

The following assertion decides the question about explicit representation of s_n .

Theorem: The n -th term s_n of S admits the following three different explicit representations:

$$s_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\theta(n)} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \left[\frac{\pi_S(k)}{n} \right]} \right]; \quad (9)$$

$$s_n = -2 \sum_{k=0}^{\theta(n)} \theta \left(-2 \left[\frac{\pi_S(k)}{n} \right] \right); \quad (10)$$

$$s_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\theta(n)} \frac{1}{\Gamma \left(1 - \left[\frac{\pi_S(k)}{n} \right] \right)}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$\theta(n) \equiv \left[\frac{n^2 + 3n + 4}{4} \right], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (12)$$

ζ is Riemann's function zeta and Γ is Euler's function gamma.

Remark. We must note that in (9)-(11) $\pi_S(k)$ is given by (1), $\pi(k)$ is given by (2) (or by others formulae like (2)) and $\pi_{PQ}(n)$ is given by (5), or by (8). Therefore, formulae (9)-(11) are explicit.

Proof of the Theorem. In [2] the following three universal formulae are proposed, using $\pi_C(k)$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots$), which one could apply to represent c_n . They are the following

$$c_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \left[\frac{\pi_C(k)}{n} \right]} \right]; \quad (13)$$

$$c_n = -2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \zeta \left(-2 \left[\frac{\pi_C(k)}{n} \right] \right); \quad (14)$$

$$c_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma \left(1 - \left[\frac{\pi_C(k)}{n} \right] \right)}. \quad (15)$$

In [5] is shown that the inequality

$$p_n \leq \theta(n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (16)$$

holds. Hence

$$s_n = \theta(n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (17)$$

since we have obviously

$$s_n \leq p_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (18)$$

Then to prove the Theorem it remains only to apply (13)-(15) in the case $C = S$, i.e., for $c_n = s_n$, putting there $\pi_S(k)$ instead of $\pi_C(k)$ and $\theta(n)$ instead of ∞ .

REFERENCES:

- [1] Dumitrescu, C., V. Seleacu, Some Sotions and Questions in Number Theory, Erhus Univ. Press, Glendale, 1994.
- [2] Vassilev-Missana, M., Three formulae for n -th prime and six for n -th term of twin primes. Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics, Vol. 7 (2001), No. 1, 15-20.
- [3] Ribenboim P., The Book of Prime Numbers Records, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [4] Vassilev-Missana, M., On one remarkable identity related to function $\pi(x)$, Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics, Vol. 8 (2002), No. 4, ...-....
- [5] Mitrinović, D., M. Popadić. Inequalities in Number Theory. Niš, Univ. of Niš, 1978.