

# NAGELL'S TOTIENT REVISITED

Pentti Haukkanen<sup>1</sup> and R. Sivaramakrishnan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tampere,  
P.O.Box 607, FIN-33101 Tampere, Finland

<sup>2</sup> Department of Studies in Mathematics, Mangalore University,  
Dk 574199, India

**ABSTRACT:** Nagell's totient  $\theta(n, r)$  counts the number of solutions of the congruence  $(*)$   $n \equiv x + y \pmod{r}$  under the restriction  $(x, r) = (y, r) = 1$ . In this paper we evaluate the number  $\theta(n, r, q)$  of solutions of the congruence  $(*)$  under the restriction  $(x, r) = (y, r) = q$ , where  $q|r$ , via Ramanathan's approach to class-division of integers  $\pmod{r}$ .

## 1 Introduction

Paul J. McCarthy [5, 6] has made an interesting study of obtaining the number of solutions of the linear congruence

$$n \equiv x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_s \pmod{r} \quad (1.1)$$

under various specified restrictions on  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Here,  $r$  denotes a positive integer and  $n$  is any integer.

If  $N(n, r, s)$  denotes the number of solutions of (1.1) under the restriction  $(x_i, r) = 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$  ( $(x_i, r)$  denotes the g.c.d. of  $x_i$  and  $r$ ), it is known [3] that

$$N(n, r, s) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{d|r} c\left(\frac{r}{d}, r\right)^s c(n, d). \quad (1.2)$$

The function  $c(n, r)$  is the trigonometric sum due to Ramanujan given by

$$c(n, r) = \sum_{\substack{h \pmod{r} \\ (h, r)=1}} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i n h}{r}\right). \quad (1.3)$$

The expression for  $N(n, r, s)$  given in (1.2) has also been obtained by K. G. Ramanathan [9], C. A. Nicol and H. S. Vandiver [8] and David Rearick [10]. The simplified expression for  $N(n, r, s)$  is given by

$$N(n, r, s) = r^{s-1} \prod_{p|(n, r)} \frac{(p-1)\{(p-1)^{s-1} - (-1)^{s-1}\}}{p^s} \prod_{\substack{p|r \\ p \nmid n}} \frac{(p-1)^s - (-1)^s}{p^s}, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $p$  is a prime with the specified property. The form of  $N(n, r, s)$  given in (1.4) is due to H. Rademacher. See [5].

The evaluation of  $N(n, r, 2)$ , the case  $s = 2$  of (1.2), is due to T. Nagell [7]. It is easy to note from (1.4) that  $N(n, r, 2)$  referred to as Nagell's totient is given by

$$N(n, r, 2) = r \prod_{p|(n,r)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p|r, p \nmid n} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right). \quad (1.5)$$

We attempt a generalization of  $N(n, r, 2)$  in the following manner. We consider the number of solutions of the congruence

$$n \equiv x + y \pmod{r} \quad (1.6)$$

under the restriction  $(x, r) = (y, r) = q$ , where  $q$  is an arbitrary but fixed divisor of  $r$ . The number of solutions of (1.6) with  $(x, r) = (y, r) = q$  is denoted by  $\theta(n, r, q)$ .

When  $(x, r) = (y, r) = q$ , writing  $x = qx'$ ,  $y = qy'$  we get

$$n \equiv qx' + qy' \pmod{r} \quad (1.7)$$

under the restriction  $(x', \frac{r}{q}) = (y', \frac{r}{q}) = 1$ . It is easy to see that if  $q \nmid n$ , then  $\theta(n, r, q) = 0$ . We also note that

$$\theta(n, r, q) = N\left(\frac{n}{q}, \frac{r}{q}, 2\right) \quad \text{if } q \mid n. \quad (1.8)$$

So, from (1.5), we obtain the evaluation of  $\theta(n, r, q)$  in the case where  $q \mid n$ . When  $q = r$ , we note that  $\theta(n, r, r) > 0$  if and only if  $r \mid n$ . Really,  $\theta(n, r, r) = 1$  and  $x = y = 0$  is the only solution.

The purpose of this note is to evaluate  $\theta(n, r, q)$  in closed form via Ramanathan's [9] approach to class-division of integers  $\pmod{r}$ , see Theorem 3.2. We also evaluate  $\theta(n, r, q)$  in terms of Euler's and Alder's [11, Section V.6] totient functions, see Theorem 4.1.

## 2 Preliminaries

An arithmetic function  $f$  of two variables  $n, r$  denoted by  $f(n, r)$  is said to be periodic  $\pmod{r}$  if  $f(n + r, r) = f(n, r)$ , where  $r$  is fixed and  $\geq 1$  (see [1]). An arithmetic function  $f(n, r)$  is called an even function  $\pmod{r}$  if  $f(n, r) = f((n, r), r)$  (see [6, 11]). It is clear that every even function  $\pmod{r}$  is periodic  $\pmod{r}$ . Ramanujan's sum  $c(n, r)$  (1.3) is an interesting example of an even function  $\pmod{r}$ . Further,

$$c(n, r) = \sum_{d|(n,r)} \mu\left(\frac{r}{d}\right) d, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function given by

$$\mu(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & r = 1, \\ (-1)^t & \text{if } r = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t; \text{ } p_i \text{ being distinct primes,} \\ 0 & \text{if } a^2 \mid r, \text{ } a > 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Clearly  $c(0, r) = \phi(r)$ , the Euler  $\phi$ -function. The Hölder relation for  $c(n, r)$  is given by

$$c(n, r) = \frac{\mu(\delta)\phi(r)}{\phi(\delta)}, \quad \delta = \frac{r}{(n, r)}. \quad (2.3)$$

Let  $e_r(n)$  denote  $\exp(2\pi i n/r)$ .

**Lemma 2.1** Given  $r$  complex numbers  $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{r-1}$ , there exist  $r$  uniquely determined complex numbers  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}$  such that

$$w_n = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} a_m e_r(nm), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r-1. \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover, the coefficients  $a_n$  are given by

$$a_n = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} w_m e_r(-nm), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r-1. \quad (2.5)$$

For proof, see Theorem 8.3 in [1]. From Lemma 2.1 we deduce

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $f, g$  be periodic functions  $(\text{mod } r)$ . If

$$g(n, r) = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} f(m, r) e_r(nm), \quad (2.6)$$

then

$$f(n, r) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} g(m, r) e_r(-nm). \quad (2.7)$$

For proof, see Theorem 8.4 in [1]. The equivalent form of Lemma 2.2 for even functions  $(\text{mod } r)$  in terms of Ramanujan sums  $c(n, r)$  is stated in

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $f, g$  be even functions  $(\text{mod } r)$ . If

$$g(n, r) = \sum_{d|r} f\left(\frac{r}{d}, r\right) c(n, d), \quad (2.8)$$

then

$$f(n, r) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{d|r} g\left(\frac{r}{d}, r\right) c(n, d). \quad (2.9)$$

Proof of Lemma 2.3 using the orthogonality relation for  $c(n, r)$  is given in [3].

**Lemma 2.4** Let  $f$  be a periodic function  $(\text{mod } r)$  and let  $g$  be an even function  $(\text{mod } r)$  such that (2.6) holds. Then  $f$  is an even function  $(\text{mod } r)$  and  $g, f$  possess the relations (2.8) and (2.9) respectively.

Lemma 2.4 can be deduced using Lemma C of K. G. Ramanathan [9].

### 3 Class-division of integers $(\text{mod } r)$

We now proceed to the class-division of integers  $(\text{mod } r)$ . Let  $t_1(=1), t_2, \dots, t_s(=r)$  be the distinct divisors of  $n$ , where  $s = d(r)$ , the number of divisors of  $r$ . The integers through  $r$  fall into  $s$  mutually disjoint classes

$$C_1, C_2, \dots, C_s,$$

where

$$C_i = \{x : 1 \leq x \leq r, \quad (x, r) = t_i\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Suppose that

$$C_i = \{y_{1i}, y_{2i}, \dots, y_{ui}\}, \quad \text{where } ui = \phi\left(\frac{r}{t_i}\right) \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$C_j = \{y_{1j}, y_{2j}, \dots, y_{u'j}\}, \quad \text{where } u'j = \phi\left(\frac{r}{t_j}\right). \quad (3.3)$$

Addition of  $C_i$  and  $C_j$  denoted by  $C_i \oplus C_j$  is possible, where  $C_i \oplus C_j$  is the set of numbers obtained by adding (mod  $r$ ) each number of  $C_i$  to each number of  $C_j$ . It is known that in  $C_i \oplus C_j$  elements of a class  $C_k$  occur the same number  $M(i, j, k)$  of times, see R. Vaidyanathaswamy [12]. For a concrete example, see [11, Chapter XV] or [12]. The coefficients  $M(i, j, k)$  can be evaluated in terms of Ramanujan sums, see K. G. Ramanathan [9]. These results are given in the following theorem. The proof is adapted from that given in [9].

**Theorem 3.1** *If  $C_i$  and  $C_j$  are two classes (mod  $r$ ), then*

$$C_i \oplus C_j = \sum_{k=1}^s M(i, j, k) C_k, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$M(i, j, k) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{d|r} c\left(d, \frac{r}{t_i}\right) c\left(d, \frac{r}{t_j}\right) c\left(t_k, \frac{r}{d}\right). \quad (3.5)$$

**Proof** Using elements of  $C_i$  (3.2) and  $C_j$  (3.3), we form the product

$$\left( \sum_{h=1}^u e_r(ny_{hi}) \right) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{u'} e_r(ny_{lj}) \right). \quad (3.6)$$

If  $f(m, r)$  denotes the number of ways of expressing  $m$  as the sum

$$y_{vi} + y_{wj} \pmod{r},$$

where  $y_{vi} \in C_i$ ,  $y_{wj} \in C_j$ , we can write the product in (3.6) as

$$\sum_{m=0}^{r-1} f(m, r) e_r(nm). \quad (3.7)$$

But every element of  $C_i$  has g.c.d  $t_i$  with  $r$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{h=1}^u e_r(ny_{hi}) = c\left(n, \frac{r}{t_i}\right)$$

and, similarly,

$$\sum_{l=1}^{u'} e_r(ny_{lj}) = c\left(n, \frac{r}{t_j}\right).$$

Thus, from (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$c\left(n, \frac{r}{t_i}\right) c\left(n, \frac{r}{t_j}\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} f(m, r) e_r(nm). \quad (3.8)$$

But, the left-hand side of (3.8) is even  $(\text{mod } r)$ ,  $f$  is periodic  $(\text{mod } r)$  and (3.8) is compared with (2.6). Then, by virtue of Lemma 2.4,  $f(n, r)$  is even  $(\text{mod } r)$ , and utilizing (2.8) and (2.9), we get

$$c\left(n, \frac{r}{t_i}\right)c\left(n, \frac{r}{t_j}\right) = \sum_{d|r} f(d, r)c\left(n, \frac{r}{d}\right) \quad (3.9)$$

or

$$f(n, r) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{d|r} c\left(d, \frac{r}{t_i}\right)c\left(d, \frac{r}{t_j}\right)c\left(n, \frac{r}{d}\right). \quad (3.10)$$

Therefore, by the definition of  $f(n, r)$ , (3.4) holds with  $M(i, j, k) = f(t_k, r)$ . Taking  $n = t_k$  in (3.10), we obtain the evaluation of  $M(i, j, k)$  as given in (3.5). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**Remark 1** We observe that  $\theta(n, r, q)$  is the value of  $M(i, j, k)$  (3.5) when  $t_i = t_j = q$  and  $t_k = (n, r)$ , or the value of  $f(n, r)$  (3.10) with  $t_i = t_j = q$ .

We now give the evaluation of  $\theta(n, r, q)$  obtained via Ramanathan's approach to class-division of integers  $(\text{mod } r)$ .

**Theorem 3.2** *The expression for  $\theta(n, r, q)$  is given by*

$$\theta(n, r, q) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{d|r} c^2\left(\frac{r}{d}, \frac{r}{q}\right)c(n, d). \quad (3.11)$$

Proof follows from Remark 1.

**Remark 2** One could also obtain (3.11) considering the congruence (1.6) under the restriction  $(x, r) \in S_1$ ,  $(y, r) \in S_2$  and applying the Cauchy product of even functions  $(\text{mod } r)$ , where  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are subsets of the set of positive integers. We do not apply this method here, as the object of this paper is to evaluate  $\theta(n, r, q)$  via Ramanathan's approach [9] to class-division of integers  $(\text{mod } r)$ . For application of this method, see [4, 6].

## 4 The evaluation of $\theta(n, r, q)$ in terms of Euler's and Alder's totients

Euler's totient  $\phi(r)$  is the number of integers  $a \pmod{r}$  such that  $(a, r) = 1$ , see also §2. It is well known that

$$\phi(r) = r \prod_{p|r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right). \quad (4.1)$$

We denote by  $\phi_2(r)$  the number of integers  $a \pmod{r}$  such that  $(a, r) = (a+1, r) = 1$ . The function  $\phi_2$  is a special case of Alder's totient. It is known that

$$\phi_2(r) = r \prod_{p|r} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right). \quad (4.2)$$

The derivation of (4.2) is shown in [11].

The function  $\theta(n, r, q)$  is a multiplicative function in the sense that if  $(r, r') = 1$ ,  $q \mid r$ ,  $q' \mid r'$ , then  $(qr, q'r') = 1$  and

$$\theta(n, r, q)\theta(n, r', q') = \theta(n, rr', qq'). \quad (4.3)$$

We see that it suffices to evaluate  $\theta(n, p^a, p^b)$ , where  $p$  is a prime and  $a \geq b$ . In evaluation of  $\theta(n, p^a, p^b)$  we use the expression for  $\theta(n, r, q)$  given in (3.11) in the form

$$\theta(n, r, q) = \frac{\phi^2\left(\frac{r}{q}\right)}{r} \sum_{d|r} \frac{\mu^2\left(\frac{d}{(q,d)}\right)}{\phi^2\left(\frac{d}{(q,d)}\right)} c(n, d), \quad (4.4)$$

which comes out using (2.3). We also use the concept of a unitary divisor of  $r$  which is defined as a divisor  $\delta$  for which  $(\delta, r/\delta) = 1$ .

We recall that  $\theta(n, r, q)$  vanishes whenever  $q$  does not divide  $n$ . Therefore, it suffices to evaluate  $\theta(n, r, q)$  when  $q \mid r$  and  $q \mid n$ . We now obtain the evaluation of  $\theta(n, r, q)$  in the following manner.

**Notation** We write  $r = r_1 r_2$ , where  $r_1$  is the greatest unitary divisor of  $r$  containing precisely those prime factors which are common to  $r$  and  $n$ . Then  $r_2$  is the greatest unitary divisor of  $r$  such that  $(r_2, n) = 1$ . We write  $q = q_1 q_2$ , where  $q_1$  is the greatest unitary divisor of  $q$  such that no prime factor of  $q_1$  occurs to the same power as that in  $n$ . Then  $q_2$  is the greatest common unitary divisor of  $q$  and  $n$ . Note that  $q_2$  is such that every prime factor of  $q_2$  occurs to the same power as that in  $n$  and  $(q_2, n/q_2) = 1$ . We write  $r_1 = s_1 s_2$ , where  $s_1$  is the greatest unitary divisor of  $r_1$  such that  $(s_1, q_2) = 1$  and  $s_2, q_2$  contain the same distinct prime factors. Note that  $(r_1, r_2) = (q_1, q_2) = (s_1, s_2) = 1$ .

**Theorem 4.1** *With the above notation, one has*

$$\theta(n, r, q) = \phi\left(\frac{s_1}{q_1}\right) \phi_2\left(\frac{r_2 s_2}{q_2}\right). \quad (4.5)$$

**Proof** By virtue of (4.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(n, r, q) &= \theta(n, r_1, q) \theta(n, r_2, 1) \\ &= \theta(n, s_1, q_1) \theta(n, s_2, q_2) \theta(n, r_2, 1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Since  $(r_2, n) = 1$ , we obtain

$$\theta(n, r_2, 1) = N(n, r_2, 2) = \phi_2(r_2), \quad (4.7)$$

see (1.5) and (4.2). Again, by virtue of (4.3), it suffices to evaluate  $\theta(n, s_1, q_1)$  and  $\theta(n, s_2, q_2)$  when the arguments are prime powers. The evaluations are given in Cases (i) and (ii).

Case (i). Let  $s_1 = p^a$ ,  $q_1 = p^b$  and  $n = p^c$ . Then  $a \geq b \geq 0$  and  $c > b$ . If  $a > b$ , then using (4.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(p^c, p^a, p^b) &= \frac{\phi^2(p^{a-b})}{p^a} \left\{ 1 + \phi(p) + \cdots + \phi(p^b) + \frac{\phi(p^{b+1})}{\phi^2(p)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\phi^2(p^{a-b})}{p^{a-b}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\phi(p)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\theta(p^c, p^a, p^b) = \phi(p^{a-b}). \quad (4.8)$$

Also, if  $a = b$ , (4.8) holds. Since  $\phi$  is multiplicative,

$$\theta(n, s_1, q_1) = \phi\left(\frac{s_1}{q_1}\right). \quad (4.9)$$

Case (ii). Let  $s_2 = p^a$ ,  $q_2 = p^b$  and  $n = p^c$ . Then  $a \geq b \geq 1$  and  $c = b$ . If  $a > b$ , then using (4.4)

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(p^c, p^a, p^b) &= \frac{\phi^2(p^{a-b})}{p^a} \left\{ 1 + \phi(p) + \cdots + \phi(p^b) - \frac{p^b}{\phi^2(p)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\phi^2(p^{a-b})}{p^{a-b}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\phi^2(p)} \right) = p^{a-b} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{p} \right)\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\theta(p^c, p^a, p^b) = \phi_2(p^{a-b}). \quad (4.10)$$

Also, if  $a = b$ , (4.10) holds. Since  $\phi_2$  is multiplicative,

$$\theta(n, s_2, q_2) = \phi_2\left(\frac{s_2}{q_2}\right). \quad (4.11)$$

We note that Cases (i) and (ii) could also be treated with the aid of (1.5) and (1.8). Since we are dealing with class-division of integers (mod  $r$ ) in this paper, we prefer the use of (4.4).

Now, from (4.6), (4.7), (4.9) and (4.11), we obtain

$$\theta(n, r, q) = \phi\left(\frac{s_1}{q_1}\right) \phi_2\left(\frac{s_1}{q_1}\right) \phi_2(r_2).$$

Since  $\phi_2$  is multiplicative, the expression for  $\theta(n, r, q)$  is as shown in (4.5). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

**Remark 3** For a discussion of solutions of linear congruency (1.1) in a general setting with application to matrices, see Umberto Cerruti [2].

The authors are grateful to Professor Andrew Granville for valuable comments which improved the original version of the manuscript.

## References

- [1] Tom M. Apostol: Introduction to Analytic Number Theory, Springer-Verlag UTM (1976).
- [2] Umberto Cerruti: Computing the number of restricted solutions of linear congruences by using generalized Ramanujan sums and matrices (Extended Abstract) (1996).
- [3] Eckford Cohen: A class of arithmetical functions, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA) 41 (1955), 939–944.
- [4] P. Haukkanen and Paul J. McCarthy: Sums of values of even functions, Portugal. Math. 48 (1991), 53–66.
- [5] Paul J. McCarthy: Counting restricted solutions of a linear congruence, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (3) XXV (1977), 133–147.
- [6] Paul J. McCarthy: Introduction to Arithmetical Functions, Springer-Verlag Universitext (1986).
- [7] T. Nagell: Verallgemeinerung eines Satzes von Schemmel, Skr. Norske Vid. Akad. Oslo (Math. Class) I, No. 13 (1923), 23–25.

- [8] C. A. Nicol and H. S. Vandiver: A von Sterneck arithmetical function and restricted partitions with respect to a modulus, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA) 40 (1954), 825–835.
- [9] K. G. Ramanathan: Some applications of Ramanujan's trigonometrical sum  $C_m(n)$ , Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. (A) 20 (1944), 62–69.
- [10] David Rearick: A linear congruence with side conditions, Amer. Math. Monthly 70 (1963), 837–840.
- [11] R. Sivaramakrishnan: Classical Theory of Arithmetic Functions, Marcel Dekker: Monographs and Text Books in Pure and Applied Mathematics No. 126 (1989).
- [12] R. Vaidyanathaswamy: A remarkable property of integers  $(\text{mod } N)$  and its bearing on group theory, Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. Section A (1937), 63–75.