

## A relation of modular discriminant $\Delta(\tau)$

DAEYEOL KIM

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\Delta(\Lambda_\tau) = \Delta(\tau)$  be modular discriminant and  $\Omega(\tau) = (2\pi)^4 \eta(\tau)^8$ , where  $\eta(\tau)$  be Dedekind  $\eta$ -function.

- (a)  $\Delta(\tau) = \pm \frac{1}{16} \Omega\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) \Omega\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) (\bar{\rho} \Omega\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) + \rho \Omega\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)).$
- (b)  $\Delta(\tau) = \pm \left( \frac{1}{16} \Omega\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right)^2 \Omega\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2 - 16 \frac{h_1(\tau)^2}{\Omega\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \Omega\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right)} \right).$  (Theorem 2.1)

### §1. Preliminaries

We will use the term *elliptic curve* to mean an abelian variety of dimension 1, or, what is the same, an irreducible non-singular projective algebraic curve of genus 1 furnished with a point  $O$ , the origin for the group law.

It is standard to set

$$g_2 = g_2(\Lambda) = 60G_4 \quad \text{and} \quad g_3 = g_3(\Lambda) = 140G_6.$$

Then the algebraic relation between  $\wp(z)$  and  $\wp'(z)$  is

$$\wp'(z)^2 = 4\wp(z)^3 - g_2\wp(z) - g_3.$$

Let  $g_2$  and  $g_3$  be the quantities associated to a lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Its discriminant

$$\Delta(\Lambda) = g_2^3 - 27g_3^2$$

is not zero. We called  $\Delta(\Lambda_\tau) = \Delta(\tau)$  the *modular discriminant*.

The *Dedekind  $\eta$ -function*  $\eta(\tau)$  is defined by the product

$$\eta(\tau) = e^{(2\pi i\tau)/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n) \quad \text{for } \tau \in \mathbb{H}, q = e^{2\pi i\tau}.$$

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be relatively prime integers with  $y > 0$ .

The *Dedekind sum*  $s(x, y)$  is defined to be

$$s(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{y-1} \frac{j}{y} \left( \frac{jx}{y} - \left[ \frac{jx}{y} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

(The square bracket denotes the greatest integer function.)

---

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 11GXX, 11F27

keywords and phrases: modular discriminant, theta series, Dedekind eta-function

**Proposition 1.1.[14].**

(a) *The Dedekind  $\eta$ -function satisfies the identities*

$$\eta(\tau + 1) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{24}} \eta(\tau), \quad \text{and} \quad \eta\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \sqrt{-i\tau} \eta(\tau).$$

Here we take the branch of  $\sqrt{\cdot}$  which is positive on the positive real axis.

(b)

$$\Delta(\tau) = (2\pi)^{12} \eta(\tau)^{24}.$$

**Proposition 1.2[7].** Let  $\Omega(\tau) = (2\pi)^4 \eta(\tau)^8$ , where  $\eta(\tau)$  be Dedekind  $\eta$ -function and let  $\rho = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$  and  $-\bar{\rho} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{6}}$ .

$$(a) \quad \eta(\tau)^{48} = \frac{1}{256} \left[ 2\eta\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{24} \eta\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right)^{24} + \eta\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{16} \eta\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right)^{16} \left( \rho \eta\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right)^{16} + \bar{\rho} \eta\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{16} \right) \right].$$

$$(b) \quad \Omega(\tau)^6 = \frac{1}{256} \Omega\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2 \Omega\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right)^2 \left[ \Omega\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) \left( \Omega\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) + \rho \Omega\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) \right) + \Omega\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \left( \Omega\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) + \bar{\rho} \Omega\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \right) \right].$$

## §2. Main theorem

**Theorem 2.1.** Let

$$h_r(\tau)^{2n} = \frac{1}{(256)^n} \left[ 2\Omega\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^3 \Omega\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right)^3 + \Omega\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2 \Omega\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right)^2 \cdot \left( \rho \Omega\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right)^r + \bar{\rho} \Omega\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^r \right) \right]^n,$$

for arbitrary  $n$ .

$$(a) \quad \Delta(\tau) = \pm \frac{1}{16} \Omega\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) \Omega\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) (\bar{\rho} \Omega\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) + \rho \Omega\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)).$$

$$(b) \quad \Delta(\tau) = \pm \left( \frac{1}{16} \Omega\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right)^2 \Omega\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^2 - 16 \frac{h_1(\tau)^2}{\Omega\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \Omega\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right)} \right).$$

*Proof.*

(a) By definition of modular discriminant and Proposition 1.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\tau)^2 &= \frac{\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})^2 \Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})^2}{256} [2\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2}) + \rho\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})^2 + \bar{\rho}\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})^2] \\
&= \frac{\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})^2 \Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})^2}{256} [2\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2}) + \bar{\rho}^2\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})^2 + \rho^2\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})^2] \\
&= \frac{\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})^2 \Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})^2}{256} [2\bar{\rho}\rho\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2}) + \bar{\rho}^2\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})^2 + \rho^2\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})^2] \\
&= \frac{\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})^2 \Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})^2}{256} [\bar{\rho}\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2}) + \rho\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})]^2 \\
&= \left[ \frac{1}{16}\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})(\bar{\rho}\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2}) + \rho\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})) \right]^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\Delta(\tau) = \frac{1}{16}\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})(\bar{\rho}\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2}) + \rho\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2}))$ .

(b) By (a),

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\tau) &= \frac{1}{16}\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})(\bar{\rho}\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2}) + \rho\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})) \\
&= \frac{1}{16}\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})(\rho^2\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2}) + \bar{\rho}^2\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})) \\
&= \frac{1}{16}\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})((- \rho - 1)\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2}) + (- \bar{\rho} - 1)\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})) \\
&= \frac{1}{16}\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})(-(\rho\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2}) + \bar{\rho}\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})) - (\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2}) + \Omega(\frac{\tau}{2}))). 
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\rho\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2}) + \bar{\rho}\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2}) = -16 \frac{\Delta(\tau)}{\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})} - \Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2}).$$

Also by definition of  $h_1(\tau)^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1(\tau)^2 &= \frac{\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})^2 \Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})^2}{256} \left[ 2\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2}) + \rho\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2}) + \bar{\rho}\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2}) \right] \\
&= \frac{\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})^2 \Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})^2}{256} \left[ 2\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2}) - \frac{16\Delta(\tau)}{\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})} - \Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2}) \right] \\
&= \frac{\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})^2 \Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})^2}{256} \left[ \Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2}) - \frac{16\Delta(\tau)}{\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})} \right] \\
&= \frac{\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})^3 \Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})^3}{256} - \frac{\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})\Delta(\tau)}{16}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$h_1(\tau)^2 - \frac{\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})^3 \Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})^3}{256} = -\frac{\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})\Delta(\tau)}{16}.$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta(\tau) = \frac{1}{16}\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})^2 \Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})^2 - 16 \frac{h_1(\tau)^2}{\Omega(\frac{\tau}{2})\Omega(\frac{\tau+1}{2})}. \quad \square$$

## REFERENCES

- [1]. T. Apostol, *Modular functions and Dirichlet series in Number theory*, Springer -Verlag, New York, GTM 41, 1976.
- [2]. K. Chandrasekharan, *Elliptic Functions*, Springer -Verlag, Grundlehren der mathematischen wissenschaften 281, 1985.
- [3]. S. Chowla, *Remarks on class-invariants and related topics*, Seminar on Complex multiplication, Lecture Notes in Math 21 (1966), IV.1-10, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [4]. E. Grosswald and H. Radmacher, *Dedekind sums*, Carus Math. Monograph, Math. Assoc. of America, Providence, RI, 1972.
- [5]. K. Heon, *Sphere packings and Jacobi theta series*, master thesis of Chonbuk National Univ. (1997).
- [6]. P. Hwasin and K. Daeyeoul, *A remark of the Dedekind  $\eta(\tau)$ -function and  $\theta_3$ -series*, FJMS 5, no. 4 (1997), 611–622, Pushpa Publishing House, India.
- [7]. P. Hwasin and K. Daeyeoul, *A study of the Dedekind  $\eta$ -function and modular discriminant*, preprint.
- [8]. A. W. Knapp, *Elliptic Curves*, Mathematical Notes 40, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, 1992.
- [9]. S. Lang, *Elliptic functions*, Addison-Wesley, London, 1973.
- [10]. D. H. Lehmer, *Ramanujan's function  $\tau(n)$* , Duke Math. J. 10 (1943), 483–492.
- [11]. A. OGG, *Survey of modular functions of one variable*, Modular Functions of One Variable I, Lecture Notes in Math 320 10 (1973), 1–35, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [12]. G. Shimura, *Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Forms*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1971.
- [13]. C. L. Siegel, *Topics in complex function theory*, vol 1,2. Wiley-Interscience, 1969.
- [14]. J. H. Silverman, *Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [15]. ———, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Springer -Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [16]. H. Stark, *The Coates-Wiles theorem revisited*, In Number Theory related to Fermat's Last Theorem N. Koblitz, ed. Birkhäuser, Boston (1982), 349–362.
- [17]. J. T. Tate, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Invent. Math. 23 (1974), 171-206.

Department of Mathematics,  
Chonbuk National University,  
Chonju, 561-756  
Korea