

ON ONE GENERALIZATION OF THE FIBONACCI SEQUENCE

Part IV: MULTIPLICITY ROOTS OF THE CHARACTERISTIC EQUATION

Peter G. Georgiev\* and Krassimir T. Atanasov\*\*

\* Dept. of Physics, VMEI, Varna 9010, BULGARIA

\*\* Math. Research Lab., P.O.Box 12, Sofia-1113, BULGARIA

The paper is the fourth part of our series related to application of matrix methods in the research on the Fibonacci sequences (see [1-3]). Here we shall use without definitions the notations introduced there.

THEOREM 1: Let  $A$  be a  $(M \times M)$ -matrix with eigenvalues  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k$  and let  $\mu_i$  has a multiplicity  $m_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) such

that  $\sum_{i=1}^k m_i = M$ . Then the generalized Fibonacci sequence (for every natural number  $n \geq 0$ )

$$X_{n+1} = A \cdot X_n$$

has a  $n$ -th term with the form:

$$X_n = \sum_{i=1}^k P_{m_i-1}^{(n)} \cdot \mu_i^n \quad (1)$$

where  $P_{m_i}^{(n)}$  is a matrix-polynomial with a degree  $\leq m_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ).

Proof: From Jordan's theorem (see e.g. [4]) it follows that there exists a square matrix  $T$  with  $\det(T) \neq 0$ , such that

$$A = T \cdot D \cdot T^{-1}$$

where the matrix  $D$  has the following block-form

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & M_k \end{bmatrix}$$

i.e., D is a (k x k)-matrix, where for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ :

$$M_i = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_i & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_i & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \mu_i \end{bmatrix},$$

i.e.  $M_i$  is a  $(m_i \times m_i)$ -matrix.

Then

$$A^n = T \cdot D^n \cdot T^{-1},$$

where

$$D^n = \begin{bmatrix} M_1^n & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & M_2^n & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & M_k^n \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$M_i^n = \begin{bmatrix} \binom{n}{0} \mu_i^n & \binom{n}{1} \mu_i^{n-1} & \binom{n}{2} \mu_i^{n-2} & \dots & \binom{n}{m_i-1} \mu_i^{n-m_i+1} \\ 0 & \binom{n}{0} \mu_i^n & \binom{n}{1} \mu_i^{n-1} & \dots & \binom{n}{m_i-2} \mu_i^{n-m_i+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \binom{n}{0} \mu_i^n & \dots & \binom{n}{m_i-3} \mu_i^{n-m_i+3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \binom{n}{0} \mu_i^n \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore,  $M_i^n$  has the form

$$M_i^n = S_{m_i-1}^{(n)} \cdot \mu_i^n,$$

where  $S_{m-1}^{(n)}$  is a  $(m \times m)$ -matrix polynomial with a degree  $< m - 1$ , with which the Theorem is proved.

We must note that if  $\det(A) \neq 0$ , then formula (1) is valid for integer numbers  $n < 0$ , too.

We shall introduce an example which illustrates the above assertion.

Let  $\alpha_0 = b$ ,  $\beta_0 = 2.a$  and

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{n+1} = 2.\alpha_n + \beta_n \\ \beta_{n+1} = 2.\beta_n \end{cases}$$

Then we construct the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $\mu_1 = 2$  and multiplicity  $m_1 = 2$ . From Theorem 1 we obtain that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_n = (a.n + b).2^n \\ \beta_n = 2^{n+1}.a \end{cases}$$

From the Hamilton-Cayley's theorem (see, e.g. [4]) it follows the validity of

**THEOREM 2:** The  $(n+m)$ -th term of every sequence

$$X_{n+1} = A.X_n$$

can be represented in the form

$$X_{n+m} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} p_i . X_{n+i}$$

where  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{m-1}$  are some complex numbers, that

depend only on A, but do not depend  $X_0, X_1, \dots$ .

More interesting is the case of a sequence (a generalized Fibonacci sequence) with the form (where  $n$  is an arbitrary integer  $\geq 0$ ):

$$X_{n+1} = A \cdot X_n + B_m(n),$$

where A and X are (M x M)- and (M x 1)-matrices, respectively and B<sub>m</sub>(n) is a (M x 1)-matrix polynomial with a degree ≤ m.

Let E = A<sup>0</sup> be the (M x M)-single matrix.

THEOREM 3: Let for the above matrix A be valid that det(A - E) ≠

0. Then X<sub>n</sub> has the form

$$X_n = A \cdot C + F_m(n),$$

where C is a (M x 1)-matrix, F<sub>m</sub>(n) is a (M x 1)-matrix

polynomial with a degree ≤ m and

$$A \cdot C = \sum_{i=1}^k P_{m-1}^{(n)} \cdot \rho_i^n,$$

as in Theorem 1.

This assertion can be proved by induction about m. We must determine a matrix-polynomial F<sub>m</sub>(n) which satisfies

$$F_m(n+1) - A \cdot F_m(n) = B_m(n), \tag{2}$$

and for it we must show that it can be represented by a recurrent

formula. Really, this is a fact because for the coefficients F<sub>m</sub><sup>(0)</sup>

and B<sub>m</sub><sup>(0)</sup> before the highest degree n<sup>m</sup> we have:

$$F_m^{(0)}(n+1) - A \cdot F_m^{(0)} \cdot n = B_m^{(0)} \cdot n + C_{m-1}(n),$$

where C<sub>m-1</sub>(n) is a matrix-polynomial with a degree ≤ m - 1. Then

$$(E - A) \cdot F_m^{(0)} = B_m^{(0)}$$

and therefore

$$F_m^{(0)} = -(A - E)^{-1} \cdot B_m^{(0)}$$

Hence we have means for calculation of F<sub>m</sub><sup>(0)</sup> i.e., these coeffi-

tients can be represented by a recurrent formula.

We must note that if  $\det(A) \neq 0$ , then the above assertion is valid for integer numbers  $n < 0$ , too.

Finally, we shall give the following example.

Let

$$\alpha_{n+2} = \alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_n + a.n + b$$

Then from

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{n+1} \\ \beta_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_n \\ \beta_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a.n + b \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_n = \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n \cdot B + \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n \cdot C - a.n - (a + b), \\ \beta_n = \alpha_{n+1} \end{cases}$$

where B and C are constants.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Georgiev P., Atanassov K., On one generalization of the Fibonacci sequence. Part I: Matrix representation. Bulletin of Number Theory, Vol. XVI, 1992, 67-73.
- [2] Georgiev K., Atanassov K., On one generalization of the Fibonacci sequence. Part II: Some relations with arbitrary initial values. Bulletin of Number Theory, Vol. XVI, 1992, 75-82.
- [3] Georgiev K., Atanassov K., On one generalization of the Fibonacci sequence. Part III: Some relations with fixed initial values. Bulletin of Number Theory, Vol. XVI, 1992, 83-92.
- [4] Lankaster P. Theory of matrices, Academic Press, New York, 1969.

Received in BNT in March 1994