

ON CERTAIN INEQUALITIES INVOLVING DEDEKIND'S ARITHMETICAL FUNCTION

József Sándor

4160 Forteni Nr. 79, R-Jud. Harghita, ROMANIA

1. Let  $1 < n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i}$  be the canonical representation of the positive integer  $n$  ( $p_i$  primes,  $a_i \geq 1$ ,  $r = \omega(n)$  = number of distinct prime factors of  $n$ ). Dedekind's arithmetical function  $\psi(n)$  is defined by

$$\psi(n) = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i-1} \cdot (p_i + 1) \tag{1}$$

in analogy with the well known representation of Euler's totient

$$\varphi(n) = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i-1} \cdot (p_i - 1). \tag{2}$$

For a survey of results involving function  $\psi$ , as well as many new theorems we quote the papers [3-7]. See also the book [2].

Let

$$B(n) = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_r = \sum_{p|n} p. \tag{3}$$

This function is connected to many other important number-theoretical functions (see e.g. [2], Ch. IV).

Recently, K. Atanassov [1] has proved that

$$\psi(n) - \varphi(n) \geq 2 \cdot (\omega(n) - 1) \cdot B(n) \tag{4}$$

and

$$\psi(n) + \varphi(n) \geq 2 \cdot n + 2 \cdot (\omega(n) - 1) \cdot B(n). \tag{5}$$

Clearly, (5) in this form is not correct, since, e.g., for  $n = p \cdot q$  ( $p, q$  - distinct primes) one has

$$\psi(n) + \varphi(n) = 2 \cdot p \cdot q + 2 \geq 2 \cdot p \cdot q + 2 \cdot (p + q).$$

Here we shall obtain an algebraic proof of (4) and for a corrected form of (5), with certain remarks on possible refinements. The method we will follow is that of papepr [4]. Our remarks will be based on certain earlier results (see e.g. [3]), namely

$$\psi(n) - \varphi(n) \geq \frac{n}{\Gamma(n)} \cdot 2^{\omega(n)}, \tag{6}$$

where  $\Gamma(n) = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i$  denotes the "core" of  $n$ , function first studied by S. Wiegert (see e.g. [2]);

$$\varphi(n) \leq \begin{cases} 3^{\omega(n)} \cdot \varphi(n), & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ 2^{\omega(n)} \cdot \varphi(n), & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

$$2^{\omega(n)} \leq \frac{\varphi(n) \cdot d(n)}{\sigma(n)} \leq 2^{\Omega(n)} \quad (8)$$

where  $d(n) = \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i + 1)$  denotes the number of divisors of  $n$ ,

while  $\sigma(n) = \prod_{i=1}^r (p_i^{a_i+1} - 1)/(p_i - 1)$  is the sum of divisors of  $n$ ;

and  $\Omega(n) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i$  denotes the total number of prime factors of  $n$ .

2. For a new proof of (4), we state the algebraic inequality:

$$\prod_{i=1}^r (x_i + 1) - \prod_{i=1}^r (x_i - 1) \geq 2 \cdot (r - 1) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^r x_i, \quad (9)$$

for  $r \geq 2$ ,  $x_i \geq 2$ , which presents an independent interest, too.

For  $r = 2$  one has equality, and generally this relation can be proved e.g. by induction with respect to  $r$  (which we omit here).

Now, by (1), (2) and (9), one can write (when  $r \geq 2$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(n) - \psi(n) &= \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i-1} \cdot \left( \prod_{i=1}^r (p_i + 1) - \prod_{i=1}^r (p_i - 1) \right) \\ &\geq \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i-1} \cdot 2 \cdot (r - 1) \cdot B(n) \geq 2 \cdot (\omega(n) - 1) \cdot B(n) \end{aligned}$$

since  $a_i \geq 1$ . For  $r = 1$ , relation (4) is trivial.

REMARKS: 1) When all  $a_i$  satisfy  $a_i \geq 2$  ( $1 \leq i \leq v$ ), we get the stronger inequality

$$\varphi(n) - \psi(n) \geq 2 \cdot \Gamma(n) \cdot (\omega(n) - 1) \cdot B(n) \quad (10)$$

2) A counter part of (4) is contained in the following:

$$\varphi(n) - \psi(n) \leq 2^{\omega(n)} \cdot (n - \varphi(n)) \quad (11)$$

(see [3], p. 10).

3. A corrected form of inequality (5) will be

$$\varphi(n) + \psi(n) \geq 2 \cdot n + 2 \cdot (\omega(n) - 1). \quad (5')$$

In order to prove this relation, we state the algebraic inequality

$$\prod_{i=1}^r (x_i + 1) + \prod_{i=1}^r (x_i - 1) \geq 2 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r x_i + 2 \cdot (r - 1), \quad (12)$$

for  $r \geq 1$ ,  $x_i \geq 2$ . Once again, we omit here the simple inductive proof of (12). Now, by (1), (2), and (12), applied for  $x_i = p_i \geq 2$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(n) + \psi(n) &= \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i-1} \cdot \left( \prod_{i=1}^r (p_i + 1) + \prod_{i=1}^r (p_i - 1) \right) \\ &\geq \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i-1} \cdot (2 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r p_i + 2 \cdot (r - 1)) \\ &= 2 \cdot n + 2 \cdot (r - 1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i-1} \\ &\geq 2 \cdot n + 2 \cdot (r - 1) \end{aligned}$$

by  $a_i \geq 1$ .

REMARK: When  $a_i \geq 2$  for all  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq v$ ), is satisfied, then the above procedure yields the inequality

$$\varphi(n) + \psi(n) \geq 2 \cdot n + 2 \cdot \tau(n) \cdot (\omega(n) - 1) \quad (13)$$

which is stronger, in this case, than (12).

4. We now indicate certain connections with relations (6) - (8). Inequality (6) is stronger than (4) in the case of  $a_i \geq 2$  for all

$i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ); since  $2^r \geq 2 \cdot (r - 1)$  and  $\prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i} \geq \prod_{i=1}^r p_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^r p_i = B(n)$  for  $p_i \geq 2$ . The validity of  $\prod_{i=1}^r p_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^r p_i$  can be obtained immediately e.g. by induction.

From (7) we get the equivalent forms

$$\varphi(n) + \psi(n) \leq \begin{cases} \varphi(n) \cdot (3^{\omega(n)} + 1), & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ \varphi(n) \cdot (2^{\omega(n)} + 1), & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

and

$$\varphi(n) - \psi(n) \leq \begin{cases} \varphi(n) \cdot (3^{\omega(n)} - 1), & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ \varphi(n) \cdot (2^{\omega(n)} - 1), & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Finally, from (8) we can deduce the somewhat similar inequalities

$$\varphi(n) - \frac{\sigma(n)}{d(n)} \begin{cases} \geq (2^{\omega(n)} - 1) \cdot \frac{\sigma(n)}{d(n)}, & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ \leq (2^{\Omega(n)} - 1) \cdot \frac{\sigma(n)}{d(n)}, & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

These inequalities can be further weakened if we apply the well known double-inequality  $n \leq \frac{\sigma(n)}{d(n)} \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$ .

5. Finally, we quote two interesting results on  $\frac{\varphi(n)}{\psi(n)}$ , obtained by Wigert [8]. Namely,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\varphi(n)}{\psi(n)} \cdot (\log \log n)^2 = \frac{2}{6} \cdot e^{-2\Gamma} \quad (17)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is Euler's constant; and the following asymptotic result:

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\varphi(n)}{\psi(n)} = x \cdot \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{2}{p(p+1)}\right) + O(x^{1/2} \cdot \log x^{3/2}) \quad (18)$$

where  $p$  runs through the set of primes.

REFERENCES:

- [1] K. Atanassov, Inequalities for  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$  and  $\sigma$  functions. Octogon, Vol. 3, No. 2, Oct. 1995, 11-13.
- [2] D. Mitrinović, J. Sandor and B. Crstici, Handbook of Number Theory. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995.
- [3] J. Sandor, On Dedekind's arithmetical function. Univ. Timisoara, Seminarul de Teoria Structurilor No. 51, 1988, 1-15.
- [4] J. Sandor, Note on the functions  $\sigma$  and  $\varphi$ . Bulletin of Number Theory and Rel. Topics, Vol. 12, 1988, 78-80.
- [5] J. Sandor, On the composition of some arithmetic function. Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math., Vol. 34, 1989, 7-12.
- [6] J. Sandor, An application of the Jensen-Hadamard inequality. Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde, Vol. 8, 1990, 63-66.
- [7] J. Sandor and R. Sivaramakrishnan, The many facets of Euler's totient: III. An assortment of miscellaneous topics. Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde, Vol. 11, 1993, 97-130.
- [8] S. Wigert, Note sur deux fonctions arithmetiques. Prace Math.-Fiz., Vol. 38, 1927, 23-29.