SOMETHING NEW ABOUT g(k) IN WARING'S PROBLEM

Mladen V. Vassilev - Missana

5, V. Hugo Str., Sofia-1124, Bulgaria

As usually in Waring's problem, I denote by g(k) the smallest number of non-negative integer k-th powers sufficient to represent every positive integer.

Let $x \ge 0$ be a real number. Further everywhere $\{x\}$ means $x = \{x\}$, where $\{x\}$ denotes the greatest integer, which is not greater than x.

For an integer $k \ge 1$ I define the numbers:

$$E(K) := (\frac{3}{2})^{K}; G(K) := \frac{3^{K}}{2^{K} - 1}; I(K) := 2^{K} - 2 + [E(K)]; T(K) := \frac{3^{K} - 1}{2^{K} - 1}.$$

A well-known result (see [1]) is

THEOREM 1: Let $k \neq 4$. Then the inequality

$$\begin{array}{c}
k \\
2 \cdot \{E(k)\} + \{E(k)\} \le 2 \\
\text{holds iff } g(k) = I(k).
\end{array}$$
(1)

In [2] a very interesting hypothesis was proposed:

HYPOTHESIS 1: If $k \ge 3$, then the equality

$$\{E(k)\} = \{G(k)\}\$$
holds.

In the present paper I establish the important result:

THEOREM 2: If Hypothesis 1 is true, then for every $k \neq 4$ and $k \geq 3$, g(k) = I(k).

For $k \ge 3$ I need (when it is possible) the inequalities:

(a)
$$\{G(k)\} > \frac{1}{2^k - 1}$$
;

$$(b) T(K) > \{G(K)\};$$

(c)
$$E(K) > \{G(K)\};$$

and the important condition

(d) T(k) is not integer.

The main result in the paper is

THEOREM 3: Let $k \ge 3$ be fixed. Then (2) holds iff (1) and (d) hold simultaneously.

Of course, if (d) is independently proved, then (1) and (2) are equivalent to each other. Moreover, in this case Hypothesis 1 is equivalent to the following statement:

"For every $k \ge 3$ and $k \ne 4$, the inequality (i) holds." Therefore, if

HYPOTHESIS 2: "For every $k \ge 2$ the number T(k) is not integer" is proved, then Hypothesis 1 is equivalent to the statement:

"For every $k \ge 3$ and $k \ne 4$, g(k) = I(k)."

Theorems 4, 5, 6 in the paper give the key to (2) (i.e. to Hypothesis i). Finally, an open problem connected with Hypothesis 2 is posed.

LEMMA 1: If $k \ge 3$, then G(k) is not an integer.

Proof: Let G(k) be an integer for some $k \ge 3$. The equality $3^k = G(k)$. $(2^k - 1)$ implies $3^k \equiv 0 \pmod{G(k)}$. Therefore, for some $m \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., k\}$ it is satisfied $3^k = 2^k - 1$. But this equation has only the solutions: m = 0, k = 1 and m = 1, k = 2 (see $\{3\}$) which contradicts $k \ge 3$.

Further the answer to the question: "when it is possible to have $\{E(K)\} \neq \{G(K)\}$ " is given.

THEOREM 4: Let k > 3 be fixed. Then the equality (2) is not true iff there exist three positive integers s, a, b, such that: [log (2 - 1)] < s < k; a is odd; a < b; HCF(a, b) = 1, and the equality

holds

(Here and further HCF(a, b) means the highest common factor of a and b.)

Proof: Under the conclusion of Lemma 1, (2) is not true iff there exists an integer $L \in (E(K), G(K))$. But a bijection between the

last open interval and (0, 1) exists. Therefore, $L = \frac{3^k}{2^k - x}$, where

 $x = \frac{a}{b} \in (0, 1)$ is a rational number and HCF(a, b) = 1. Hence

$$3^{\mathbf{k}} = 2^{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{L} - \frac{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{L}.$$

The last equality yields L = d.b and d = 3, where $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$. Therefore 3 = 2b - a. Hence a is odd. Since $\frac{a}{b} \in \{0, 1\}$, the inequality a < b holds. Then the last equality implies

Therefore $\{\log_3(2^{k+1}-1)\}$ < s \le k and the theorem is proved. REMARK 1: It is easily seen that a \equiv 3 (mod 8), or a \equiv 7 (mod 8). In the first case s is odd, but in the second case it is even.

For b the condition $b \in (\frac{3^{8}}{2^{k}}, \frac{3^{8}}{2^{k}-1})$ is satisfied.

Let me substitute a = b - t, $t \in \{1, 2, ..., \left[\frac{3}{2^k}\right]\}$. Using that

 $b = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} + 1$ and $b = \frac{3^{8} - t}{2^{8} - 1}$. I arrive to the following equivalent

form of Theorem 4:

THEOREM 5: Let $k \ge 3$ be fixed. Then the equality (2) holds iff for every s, such that $i + [\log_3(2^{K+1} - 1)] \le s \le k$, each number of the kind $A(s, t) = \frac{3^s - t}{2^k - 1}$ is not integer when $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{3}{2^k} \rfloor \}$ and $HCF(\lfloor \frac{3}{2^k} \rfloor + 1, \lfloor \frac{3}{2^k} \rfloor + 1 - t) = 1$.

Now, let me substitute 1 = b-t. When t runs the set {1, 2, ..., $\lfloor \frac{3}{2^k} \rfloor$ }, 1 runs the same one. Using that b = $\lfloor \frac{3}{2^k} \rfloor$ + 1 and b = $\frac{3^s + 1}{2^k}$

I obtain another equivalent form of Theorem 4.

THEOREM 6: Let $k \ge 3$ be fixed. Then the equality (2) holds iff for every s, such that $i + \{\log_3 (2^{k+1} - i)\} \le s \le k$, each number of the kind $B(s, 1) = \frac{3^s + 1}{2^k}$ is not integer when

$$1 \in \{1, 2, \dots, \left[\frac{3^{s}}{2^{k}}\right]\} \text{ and } HCF(\left[\frac{3^{s}}{2^{k}}\right] + 1, 1) = 1.$$

In order to prove Theorem 2 and Theorem 3, I need some preliminary results.

LEMMA 2: Let x_i , y_i , v_i u be integers and the first three of them be positive. Let the relations: $y < x_i$, $v \le y - 1$; x = u, y + 1

v, hold too. Then the inequality $\{\frac{x+1}{y}\} < \frac{x}{y}$ holds iff v < y-1.

Proof: The conditions of the Lemma imply $\frac{x+1}{y} = u + \frac{v+1}{y}$

i. Let v < y - i. Then $0 < \frac{v + i}{y} < i$. Therefore $\left\{\frac{x + i}{y}\right\} = u$. But $u < u + \frac{v}{y}$, i.e. $\left\{\frac{x + i}{y}\right\} < \frac{x}{y}$.

2. Let v = y - 1. Then: $\frac{x}{y} = u + 1 - \frac{1}{y}$; $\left[\frac{x + 1}{y}\right] = u + 1$. Hence $\frac{x}{y} < \left[\frac{x + 1}{y}\right]$. Therefore, the inequality $\left[\frac{x + 1}{y}\right] < \frac{x}{y}$ implies v < y - 1.

The Lemma is proved.

LEMMA 3: Let $k \ge 3$ be fixed. Each one of (a) and (b) is equivalent to (d).

Proof: It is obvious that (a) and (b) are equivalent to each other. What is necessary now is to prove the equivalence of (b) and (d).

i. Let T(k) be integer. Then the inequality $G(k) \ge T(k)$ yields: $\{G(k)\} \ge \{T(k)\} = T(k)$.

Therefore, (b) implies (d).

2. Let (d) hold. I substitute $x = 3^k - 1$; $y = 2^k - 1$, and consider the equality x = u, y + v, where u and v are integers. The assumption v = y - 1 implies u = G(k), which contradicts Lemma 1. Therefore, v < y - 1. The assumption v = 0 implies u = x/y = T(k). But

the last equality contradicts (d). Therefore 0 < v < y-1 and Lemma 2 is applicable. Hence, $\{\frac{x+1}{y}\}$ < $\frac{x}{y}$, which under the above substitutions coincides with (b).

The lemma is proved.

Now, I am able to prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3: After some elementary computations, (1) takes the equivalent form:

$$\{G(k)\} - \{E(k)\} \le \frac{2^k}{2^k - 1} - \{G(k)\}.$$
 (3)

i. Let me prove that (2) implies (i) and (d).

If (2) holds, then to prove (3) (i.e., (1)) it is enough to ve-

rify the inequality $\{G(K)\} \le \frac{2^K}{2^K - 1}$. The last one is obvious, be-

cause the left-hand side is less, but the right-hand side is greater than 1. So, (2) implies (1).

Since (2) holds Theorem 5 yields T(k) = A(k, 1) is not integer, i.e., (2) implies (d), too.

2. Let me prove that (1) and (d) imply (2).

First, I rewrite (1), using (3), in the equivalent form

$$\{G(K)\} - \{E(K)\} \le 1 - (\{G(K)\} - \frac{1}{2^{K} - 1}).$$
 (4)

If (d) holds, then (a) holds too (see Lemma 3). Therefore, $(\{G(K)\} - \frac{1}{2^K - 1}) > 0 \text{ and } (4) \text{ yields } [G(K)] - [E(K)] < 1.$

The last inequality implies immediatelly: $\{G(k)\} = \{E(k)\}, i.e.$ (2). The Theorem is proved. It is clear that Theorem 2 is a corollary of Theorem 1 and Theorem 3.

REMARK 2: For a fixed $k \ge 3$, (2) is equivalent to (c).

The proof of this statement is obvious so it can be omitted.

A particular case of Hypothesis 2 is the following result.

THEOREM 7: If k is even then T(k) is not integer. If k > 1 is odd, then T(k) is not integer in each of the cases: $k \equiv 3$, 7, 9, 11, 15 (mod 16).

Proof: i. Let k be even. Then $2^k - i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, but $3^k - i \equiv -i \pmod{3}$. Therefore, T(k) is not integer.

(mod 3). Therefore, f(k) is not integer.

2. Let k > 1 be odd and let k satisfy at least one of the congruences: $k \equiv 3$, 7, 9, 11, 15 (mod 16). In this case, $2^k - 1 \equiv 0$ (mod 7), but it is impossible to have $3^k - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$. Hence T(k) is not integer.

The Theorem is proved.

Now, to prove Hypothesis 2 there only remains to solve the following

OPEN PROBLEM: Let k > 1 be odd. Prove that T(k) is not integer in each one of the cases $k \equiv 1, 5, 13 \pmod{16}$.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Vaughan R. C., The Hardy-Littlewood Method, Cambridge, 1981.
- [2] Kudrevatov G., Number Theory Problems Workbook, Moscow, Prosvestenie, 1970, p. 59, Problem 13 (in Russian).
- [3] Paskalev G., Penchev P., Problems from Mathematical Competitions, Sofia, Narodna Prosveta, 1983, p. 121, Problem 155 (in Bulgarian).