Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics Print ISSN 1310–5132, Online ISSN 2367–8275 Vol. 27, 2021, No. 4, 149–153 DOI: 10.7546/nntdm.2021.27.4.149-153

On certain inequalities for the prime counting function

József Sándor

Department of Mathematics, Babes-Bolyai University Cluj-Napoca, Romania e-mail: jsandor@math.ubbcluj.ro

Received: 19 March 2021

Accepted: 23 October 2021

Abstract: We study certain inequalities for the prime counting function $\pi(x)$. Particularly, a new proof and a refinement of an inequality from [1] is provided.

Keywords: Prime counting function, Inequalities, Hardy–Littlewood conjecture.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A25, 11A41.

1 Introduction

Let $\pi(x)$ denote the number of primes $\leq x$, where $x \geq 1$ is an integer. The famous Hardy– Littlewood conjecture states that the inequality

$$\pi(x+y) \le \pi(x) + \pi(y) \tag{1}$$

is valid for all $x, y \ge 2$. Neither a proof nor a counterexample is known up to now.

There exist many inequalities in the literature, related to (1). For a survey of results, see the recent paper [1] of the author and H. Alzer and M. K. Kwong.

Many earlier results on $\pi(x)$ can be found in Chapter VII of the monograph [4]. For connections with other arithmetic functions, see the recent book [5] (see pp. 159–160).

One of the main results, proved in [1], is the inequality (see Theorem 1 of [1])

$$\pi^2(x+y) \ge \frac{16}{9}\pi(x).\pi(y),\tag{2}$$

where $x, y \ge 2$ and with equality only for x = y = 5.

For x = y in (2), we get the result that

$$\pi(2x) \ge \frac{4}{3} \cdot \pi(x),\tag{3}$$

with equality only for x = 5. This is a converse of the famous Landau inequality

$$\pi(2x) \le 2\pi(x), \qquad (x \ge 2).$$
 (4)

Another result of [1] is the following (see left-hand side of Theorem 6 of [1]):

$$\frac{1}{2} \le \frac{\pi(x)^{x/(x+y)} \cdot \pi(y)^{y/(x+y)}}{\pi(x+y)}.$$
(5)

The aim of this paper is to prove that, a converse inequality of (1) holds true, and this gives a new proof, as well as a refinement of (2). Another result will be motivated by relation (5).

2 Main results

The following classical inequality due to Rosser and Schoenfeld [3] will be used: Lemma For all $x \ge 67$ one has

$$\frac{x}{\log x - \frac{1}{2}} < \pi(x) < \frac{x}{\log x - \frac{3}{2}}.$$
(6)

The first main result of this paper gives a converse to inequality (1):

Theorem 1. For all $x, y \ge 2$ one has

$$\pi(x+y) \ge \frac{2}{3} [\pi(x) + \pi(y)], \tag{7}$$

with equality only for (x, y) = (5, 5); (3, 7); (7, 3).

Proof. Let $f(x) = \frac{x}{\log x - \frac{3}{2}}$. We shall prove that, this function is strictly concave for $x > e^{\frac{7}{2}}$. Indeed, one has $f'(x) = (\log x - \frac{5}{2})/(\log x - \frac{3}{2})^2$, and after some elementary computations, we get $f''(x).x.(\log x - \frac{3}{2})^3 = -\log x + \frac{7}{2} < 0$ if $\log x > \frac{7}{2}$, i.e., $x > e^{\frac{7}{2}} \approx 33.11...$

The concavity of f(x) gives the inequality:

$$f(x) + f(y) \le 2f(\frac{x+y}{2}) \text{ for all } x, y \ge e^{\frac{7}{2}}.$$
 (8)

By the right-hand side of (6) and (8) we can write:

$$\pi(x) + \pi(y) < f(x) + f(y) \le \frac{x+y}{\log(\frac{x+y}{2}) - \frac{3}{2}}.$$
(9)

Now, by the left-hand side of (6) one has $\frac{3}{2}\pi(x+y) > \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{x+y}{\log(x+y)-\frac{1}{2}}$, so at a first step, in attempt to have (7), we want to prove the inequality:

$$\frac{x+y}{\log(\frac{x+y}{2}) - \frac{3}{5}} < \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{x+y}{\log(x+y) - \frac{1}{2}},\tag{10}$$

which is equivalent with

$$\log(x+y) > 3\log 2 + \frac{9}{5} - \frac{1}{2} = 3.379\dots,$$

i.e., $x + y > e^{3.379...} \approx 29.3...$

This is clearly true, if $x, y \ge 67$. Therefore, inequality (7) is proved for all $x, y \ge 67$.

Now, suppose that $x \ge y$ and $y \le 66$. Then $\pi(y) \le 18$, so $\frac{2}{3} \cdot [\pi(x) + \pi(y)] \le \frac{2}{3} \cdot [\pi(x) + 18] = \frac{2}{3}\pi(x) + 12$. We have to prove that $\frac{2}{3}\pi(x) + 12 \le \pi(x+y)$, or

$$2\pi(x) + 36 \le 3\pi(x+y). \tag{11}$$

As $3\pi(x) \le 3\pi(x+y)$, it will be sufficient to consider the inequality $2\pi(x) + 36 \le 3\pi(x)$, i.e., $\pi(x) \ge 36$. This is true, if $x \ge 151$.

Finally, we have to verify the case:

$$2 \le y \le x \le 150, y \le 66. \tag{12}$$

This can be verified by a computer (for example, a Maple 13 program). This finishes the proof of Theorem 1. $\hfill \Box$

Corollary 1.

$$\pi^{2}(x+y) \ge \frac{4}{9} \cdot [\pi(x) + \pi(y)]^{2} \ge \frac{16}{9} \pi(x) \cdot \pi(y), \tag{13}$$

which is a refinement of inequality (2).

Remark 1. For $y \le x$ there is equality in the first inequality of (13) for y = 3, x = 7 and y = 5, x = 5; while in the second inequality only for y = 5, x = 5.

Indeed, the first inequality follows by (7), while the second one by $(a + b)^2 \ge 4a.b$, where $a = \pi(x), b = \pi(y)$.

Now, by the weighted arithmetic mean—geometric mean inequality one has:

$$u^{\alpha}.v^{\beta} \le \alpha.u + \beta.v \tag{14}$$

for $u, v, \alpha, \beta > 0$; $\alpha + \beta = 1$. By letting $u = \pi(x)$, $\alpha = x/(x+y)$, $v = \pi(y)$, $\beta = y/(x+y)$, by (5) and (14) we get

$$\pi(x+y) \le 2.\pi(x)^{x/(x+y)} \cdot \pi(y)^{y/(x+y)} \le 2\left[\frac{x}{x+y}\pi(x) + \frac{y}{x+y}\pi(y)\right],$$

i.e.,

$$(x+y).\pi(x+y) \le 2.[x.\pi(x) + y.\pi(y)].$$
(15)

In 2001, Panaitopol [2] proved the inequality:

$$\pi^{2}(x+y) \leq 2.[\pi^{2}(x) + \pi^{2}(y)].$$
(16)

Motivated by these two inequalities, in what follows, we shall prove:

Theorem 2. For all $x, y \ge 2$ one has

$$\pi^{2}(x+y) \leq \frac{8}{7} \cdot [x \cdot \pi(x) + y \cdot \pi(y)], \tag{17}$$

with equality only for (x, y) = (3, 4); (4, 3).

Proof. Let us consider the function $g(x) = \frac{x^2}{\log x - \frac{1}{2}}$ (x > 0). After elementary computations we can deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} g''(x) \cdot \left(\log x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \log^2 x - \frac{3}{2}\log x + 1.$$
(18)

Letting $\log x = t$, clearly $t^2 - \frac{3}{2}t + 1 > 0$ (having a negative discriminant), so we get that the function g(x) is strictly convex.

By the left-hand side of (6) one has

$$x\pi(x) + y\pi(y) > g(x) + g(y) \ge 2g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^2 / \left(\log\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

by the convexity of g(x).

By the right-hand side of (6), in order to prove (17), we have first to consider the validity of inequality

$$\frac{8}{7} \cdot \frac{(x+y)^2}{4 \cdot [\log(x+y) - \log 2 - \frac{1}{2}]} > \frac{(x+y)^2}{(\log(x+y) - \frac{3}{2})^2}.$$
(19)

Letting log(x + y) = m, this becomes after elementary computations:

$$2m^2 - 13m + 7\log^2 + 8 > 0$$

Solving this quadraatic inequality, it follows that it is true for m > 2.64..., i.e., $x + y > e^{2.64...} = 14.01...$, which is clearly true for $x, y \ge 67$.

Now, let $x \ge y$ and $y \le 66$. As $y\pi(y) \ge 2$, it is sufficient to consider the inequality:

$$(\pi(x) + 18)^2 \le \frac{8}{7} \cdot [x\pi(x) + 2].$$
⁽²⁰⁾

This can written as $7\pi^2(x) + 252\pi(x) + 2268 \le 8x\pi(x) + 16$. Now $8x\pi(x) \ge 12\pi^2(x)$ by the elementary inequality

$$\frac{\pi(x)}{x} \le \frac{2}{3}x, \qquad (x \ge 2).$$
 (21)

Therefore, we have to consider

$$5\pi^2(x) - 252\pi(x) - 2252 \ge 0,$$

which is valid for $\pi(x) \ge 38$, i.e., $x \ge 163$.

It remains to verify inequality (17) for

$$2 \le y \le x \le 163. \tag{22}$$

This can be verified by a computer, but we can could reduce the numbers of verifications as follows:

Segal [6] proved in 1962 that inequality (1) holds true for any $x, y \ge 2$ and $x + y \le 101081$. Thus we can write for the values from (22) that

$$7\pi^2(x+y) \le 7\pi^2(x) + 7\pi^2(y) + 14\pi(x).\pi(y).$$
(23)

Now, if we can prove that $8x\pi(x) \ge 14\pi^2(x)$, then we would have $8x\pi(x) + 8y\pi(y) \ge 14\pi^2(x) + 14\pi(y)$ and inequality (23) would follow on base of $7a^2 + 7b^2 > 14ab$ (i.e., $7(a-b)^2 > 0$) for $a = \pi(x), b = \pi(y)$.

The inequality $8x\pi(x) \ge 14\pi^2(x)$ is in fact

$$\pi(x) \le \frac{4}{7}x,\tag{24}$$

which is similar to (21), and is valid for all
$$x \ge 6$$
.

This is a simple exercise, so (22) can be reduced to

$$2 \le y \le x \le 5. \tag{25}$$

For these cases, even a verification by hand can be done. This finishes the proof of (17). \Box

Remark 2. The constants 2/3 and 8/7 in Theorems 1 and 2 are the best possible. In a forthcoming paper some other inequalities of a new type will be presented.

References

- [1] Alzer, H., Kwong, M. K., & Sándor, J. (2021). Inequalities for $\pi(x)$. *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*, 145(2), 1–15 (to appear).
- [2] Panaitopol, L. (2001). Some generalizations for a theorem by Landau. *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, 4(3), 327–330.
- [3] Rosser, J. B., & Schoenfeld, L. (1962). Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 6(1), 64–94.
- [4] Sándor, J., Mitrinovic, D. S., & Crstici, B. (2006). Handbook of Number Theory. I, Springer.
- [5] Sándor, J., & Atanassov, K. T. (2021). *Arithmetic Functions*, Nova Science Publishers, New York.
- [6] Segal, S. L. (1962). On $\pi(x+y) \le \pi(x) + \pi(y)$. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 104, 523–527.