Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics Print ISSN 1310-5132, Online ISSN 2367-8275

Vol. 26, 2020, No. 4, 68-73

DOI: 10.7546/nntdm.2020.26.4.68-73

On a translated sum over primitive sequences related to a conjecture of Erdős

Nadir Rezzoug¹, Ilias Laib² and Guenda Kenza³

¹ Laboratory of Analysis and Control of Partial Differential Equations Faculty of Exact Sciences, Djillali Liabes University Sidi Bel Abbes, Algeria

e-mail: nadir793167115@gmail.com

² ENSTP, Garidi Kouba, 16051, Algiers, Algeria and Laboratory of Equations with Partial Non-Linear Derivatives ENS Vieux Kouba, Algiers, Algeria

e-mail: laib23@yahoo.fr

³ Faculty of Mathematics, University of Sciences and Technology Houari Boumédiène,

Algiers, Algeria

e-mail: ken.guenda@gmail.com

Received: 22 November 2019 **Revised:** 6 October 2020 **Accepted:** 14 October 2020

Abstract: For x large enough, there exists a primitive sequence A, such that

$$\sum_{a \in A} \frac{1}{a(\log a + x)} \gg \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{p(\log p + x)},$$

where \mathcal{P} denotes the set of prime numbers.

Keywords: Primitive sequences, Erdős conjecture, Prime numbers.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11Bxx.

1 Introduction

A sequence \mathcal{A} of positive integers is said to be primitive if there is no element of \mathcal{A} which divides any other. We can see directly that the set of primes $\mathcal{P} = (p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is primitive, as well as the sequences of the form:

$$\mathcal{A}_d^k = \{p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k = d\}.$$

Erdős [2] showed that for a primitive sequence $A \neq \{1\}$, the series $\sum_{a \in A} \frac{1}{a \log a}$ converges. Later, in [3], he conjectured that if $A \neq \{1\}$ is a primitive sequence, then $\sum_{a \in A} \frac{1}{a \log a} \leq \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{p \log p}$. Recently, in [4, 5], the authors studied a translated sums of the form

$$S(\mathcal{A}, x) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{1}{a(\log a + x)},$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. In [5], the authors constructed a primitive sequence \mathcal{A} , such that for all $x \geq 81$ $S(\mathcal{A}, x) > S(\mathcal{P}, x)$. In this note, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\lambda \geq 1$ and t > 0, then for any $x \geq 1656\lambda^{2t} \left(\log(\lambda^{2t} + 2)\right)^{3/2}$, there exists a primitive sequence A such that

$$S(\mathcal{A}, x) \ge \lambda^t S(\mathcal{P}, x).$$

For a real x, the quantity |x| denotes the integer part of x.

2 Lemmas

Lemma 2.1 ([6,8]). We have:

$$p_n \ge n \log n \qquad (\forall n \ge 2) \tag{1}$$

$$p_n \le n \left(\log n + \log\log n\right) \quad (\forall n \ge 6) \tag{2}$$

$$p_n \leq n \left(\log n + \log \log n\right) \quad (\forall n \geq 6)$$

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}, p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} > \log \log x \qquad (x > 1).$$
(3)

Lemma 2.2 ([1]). For $k \ge 463$,

$$p_{k+1} \le p_k \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\log^2 p_k} \right).$$

Lemma 2.3. For any real number x > 0 and any integer $k \ge 2$ the following holds

$$\sum_{n > k} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)} \le \frac{\log(1 + \frac{x}{\log k})}{x}.$$

Proof. Let x>0 be a real number and $k\geq 2$ be an integer. By (1) and since the function $t\mapsto \frac{dt}{t\log t(\log t+x)}$ decreases on $[1,+\infty)$, then we obtain:

$$\sum_{n>k} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)} \le \sum_{n>k} \frac{1}{n \log n(\log n + \log \log n + x)}$$

$$\le \sum_{n>k} \frac{1}{n \log n(\log n + x)} \le \int_{t}^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{t \log t(\log t + x)}.$$

We put $u = \log t$, so

$$\int_{k}^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{t \log t (\log t + x)} = \int_{\log k}^{+\infty} \frac{du}{u(u+x)} = \frac{1}{x} \int_{\log k}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{u+x}\right) du = \frac{\log(1 + \frac{x}{\log k})}{x}.$$

This ends the proof.

Lemma 2.4. For any integer $n \neq 0$, we have:

$$n! \le n^n e^{1-n} \sqrt{n} .$$

Proof. For n=1, the inequality is verified. For $n\geq 2$, the result follows from

$$n! \le n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi n} e^{1/12n}$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\lambda \geq 1$ and let t > 0. To prove this theorem, we need the parameters α , c and β which satisfy:

$$c\alpha \ge e^{\beta} + \log 1.008, 0 < \alpha \le \frac{5}{12}$$
 (C1)

$$\beta \ge 1.950 \tag{C2}$$

those parameters will be chosen later, the real c is chosen to be the smallest possible value so that; for any $x \geq c\lambda^{2t} \left(\log(\lambda^{2t}+2)\right)^{3/2}$, there exists a primitive sequence $\mathcal{A} \neq \{1\}$ such that $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{1}{a(\log a + x)} > \lambda^t \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{p(\log p + x)}$. Let p_k be the largest prime satisfying $p_k \leq e^{\alpha x}$, then according to Lemma 2.2 and (1), we obtain

$$p_k \le e^{\alpha x} < p_{k+1} < 1.008 p_k. \tag{4}$$

Assume that $d = \lfloor \beta + \log \lambda^{2t} + \frac{3}{2} \log \log (\lambda^{2t} + 2) \rfloor$, then from (C1) and (C2), we have $x \ge \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(e^d + \log 1.008 \right)$ and from (3) and (4), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{p_n} > \log \log p_k > \log \log \frac{p_{k+1}}{1.008} > \log \log \frac{e^{\alpha x}}{1.008} \ge d.$$
 (5)

Now, we define the following sets of positive integers:

$$\mathcal{P}^k = \{p_n | p_n \in \mathcal{P}, p_n > p_k\}, \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_d^k \cup \mathcal{P}^k.$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{A}_d^k \cap \mathcal{P}^k = \emptyset$ and the sets \mathcal{A}_d^k , \mathcal{P}^k , \mathcal{A} are primitive sequences. Then, according to the multinomial formula and (5), we have

$$\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}_d^k} \frac{1}{a} = \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k = d} \frac{1}{p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}} \ge \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k = d} \frac{(1/p_1)^{\alpha_1}}{(\alpha_1)!} \dots \frac{(1/p_k)^{\alpha_k}}{(\alpha_k)!}$$

$$= \frac{1}{d!} (\sum_{n=1}^k \frac{1}{p_n})^d > \frac{d^{d-1}}{d!} \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{1}{p_n}.$$

So,

$$\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}_d^k} \frac{1}{a} > \frac{d^{d-1}}{d!} \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{1}{p_n}.$$
 (6)

Since $x \ge c\lambda^{2t} \left(\log(\lambda^{2t}+2)\right)^{3/2}$, then from (C1) and (C2) we obtain $e^{\alpha x} \ge 3303 \ge p_{464}$. Hence using (4), we find $p_{464} \le p_k \le e^{\alpha x} < p_{k+1} < 1.008 p_k$. By using (2), we get

$$\log p_k \le \alpha x \le \log p_k + \log 1.008 \le \log \left(k \left(\log k + \log \log k \right) \right) + \log 1.008.$$

Now, since the function

$$t \mapsto \frac{\log\left(t\left(\log t + \log\log t\right)\right) + \log 1.008}{\log t}$$

decreases on $[464, +\infty)$, then we have

$$\frac{\log{(t\left(\log{t} + \log{\log{t}}\right))} + \log{1.008}}{\log{t}} \leq \frac{\log{(464\left(\log{464} + \log{\log{464}}\right))} + \log{1.008}}{\log{464}} \simeq 1.339$$

that is,

$$\alpha x \le 1.339 \log k. \tag{7}$$

By using inequality (7) and Lemma 2.3, we find

$$\sum_{n>k} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)} \le \frac{\log(1 + \frac{x}{\log k})}{x} < \frac{\log(1 + \frac{1.339}{\alpha})}{x}.$$
 (8)

On the other hand, according to (4) and (5), we have for $x \neq 0$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)} \ge \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{p_n(\alpha x + x)} \ge \frac{1}{(\alpha + 1)x} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{p_n} \ge \frac{d}{(\alpha + 1)x},$$

and from (8) we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)} \ge \frac{d}{(\alpha + 1)\log(1 + \frac{1.339}{\alpha})} \sum_{n>k} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)}.$$

Now we put $h\left(\alpha\right)=(\alpha+1)\log(1+\frac{1.339}{\alpha})$, then we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)} \ge \frac{d}{h\left(\alpha\right)} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)} - \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)} \right),$$

therefore,

$$\left(1 + \frac{d}{h\left(\alpha\right)}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)} \ge \frac{d}{h\left(\alpha\right)} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)} \ge \frac{d}{d + h(\alpha)} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)}.$$
 (9)

Since p_k^d is the largest element in \mathcal{A}_d^k , then according to (4), we have for any $a \in \mathcal{A}_d^k$

$$\log a \le d \log p_k \le d\alpha x,$$

hence, from (6), we obtain:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{1}{a(\log a + x)} &= \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}_d^k \cup \mathcal{P}^k} \frac{1}{a(\log a + x)} = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}_d^k} \frac{1}{a(\log a + x)} + \sum_{a \in \mathcal{P}^k} \frac{1}{a(\log a + x)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(d\alpha x + x)} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}_d^k} \frac{1}{a} + \sum_{n > k} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)} \\ &> \frac{d^{d-1}}{d!(d\alpha + 1)} \sum_{n = 1}^k \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)} + \sum_{n > k} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)} \\ &= \left(\frac{d^{d-1}}{d!(d\alpha + 1)} - 1\right) \sum_{n = 1}^k \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)} + \sum_{n > k} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)}. \end{split}$$

According to (C1), and since the sequence $(u_n)_{n\geq 2}$ where $u_n=\frac{n^{n-1}-n!}{nn!}$ increases, then we have $\frac{d^{d-1}}{d!(d\alpha+1)}-1\geq 0$ for $d\geq 4$. By using this last inequality and (9), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{d^{d-1}}{d!(d\alpha+1)} - 1\right) \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)} \ge \left(\frac{d^{d-1}}{d!(d\alpha+1)} - 1\right) \frac{d}{d+h\left(\alpha\right)} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{1}{a(\log a + x)} > \left(\left(\frac{d^{d-1}}{d!(d\alpha + 1)} - 1 \right) \frac{d}{d + h(\alpha)} + 1 \right) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)}$$

$$= \frac{d^d + d!(d\alpha + 1)h(\alpha)}{d!(d\alpha + 1)(d + h(\alpha))} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)},$$

by applying Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{1}{a(\log a + x)} > \left(\frac{e^{d-1} + \sqrt{d}(d\alpha + 1)h(\alpha)}{\sqrt{d}(d\alpha + 1)(d + h(\alpha))}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)}.$$
 (10)

It follows from the expression of d, that: $d > \beta - 1 + \log \lambda^{2t} + \frac{3}{2} \log \log(\lambda^{2t} + 2)$, then $e^{d-1} > e^{\beta-2}\lambda^{2t} \left(\log(\lambda^{2t} + 2)\right)^{3/2}$.

And since $\log \lambda^{2t} < \log (\lambda^{2t} + 2)$, $\log \log (\lambda^{2t} + 2) \le \log (\lambda^{2t} + 2) - 1$ and $\beta \ge 1.950$, we have $d < (\beta + 1) \log (\lambda^{2t} + 2)$, then $d\alpha + 1 < ((\beta + 1)\alpha + 1) \log (\lambda^{2t} + 2)$.

So, the formula (10) becomes

$$\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{1}{a(\log a + x)} > j_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)}.$$
 (11)

where

$$j_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) = \frac{e^{\beta-2}\lambda^{2t} + \sqrt{\beta+1}((\beta+1)\alpha+1)h(\alpha)}{\sqrt{\beta+1}((\beta+1)\alpha+1)((\beta+1)\log(\lambda^{2t}+2) + h(\alpha))}.$$

Now, we must choose α and β so that, for any $\lambda \geq 1$ and any t > 0, $j_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) \geq 1$ and $\frac{e^{\beta} + \log 1.008}{\alpha}$ is the smallest possible. That is, for any $\lambda \geq 1$ and for any t > 0

$$\frac{e^{\beta-2}}{\sqrt{\beta+1}\left(\beta+1\right)\left((\beta+1)\alpha+1\right)} \ge \frac{\log\left(\lambda^{2t}+2\right)}{\lambda^{2t}}.$$

Since, for any t > 0 the function $\lambda \mapsto \frac{\log(\lambda^{2t} + 2)}{\lambda^{2t}}$ decreases on $[1, +\infty)$, then

$$\frac{e^{\beta-2}}{\sqrt{\beta+1}(\beta+1)((\beta+1)\alpha+1)} \ge \log 3.$$

Hence,
$$\frac{e^{\beta-2}-(\beta+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}\log 3}{(\beta+1)^{\frac{5}{2}}\log 3} \geq \alpha$$
 and $\frac{e^{\beta}+\log 1.008}{\alpha} \geq \frac{\left(e^{\beta}+\log 1.008\right)(\beta+1)^{\frac{5}{2}}\log 3}{e^{\beta-2}-(\beta+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}\log 3}.$ Finally, we will choose β so that the quantity $\frac{\left(e^{\beta}+\log 1.008\right)(\beta+1)^{\frac{5}{2}}\log 3}{e^{\beta-2}-(\beta+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}\log 3}$ is also the smallest

possible.

A computer calculation gives $\beta \simeq 6.264$, $\alpha \simeq 0.317$ and $c \simeq 1655.234$. By replacing α and β in (11), we get

$$\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{1}{a(\log a + x)} > \frac{71.094\lambda^{2t} + 19.381}{64.659 \ln(\lambda^{2t} + 2) + 19.381} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{p_n(\log p_n + x)}.$$

But, for every $\lambda \ge 1$ and every t > 0, we have

$$\frac{71.094\lambda^{2t} + 19.381}{64.659\ln(\lambda^{2t} + 2) + 19.381} > \lambda^t,$$

which leads to the inequality our main theorem. Thus, for $\lambda \geq 1$, t>0 and for any $x \ge 1656.3\lambda^{2t} \left(\log(\lambda^{2t}+2)\right)^{3/2}$, since $d = \lfloor 6.264 + \log \lambda^{2t} + \frac{3}{2} \log \log (\lambda^{2t}+2) \rfloor$ and k is the greatest integer such that $p_k \leq e^{0.317x}$, the sequence \mathcal{A} is well defined. This ends the proof.

References

- [1] Dusart., P. (1998). Autour de la fonction qui compte le nombre de nombres premiers, thèse de doctorat, université de Limoges, 17-1998.
- Erdős, P. (1935). Note on sequences of integers no one of which is divisible by any other, J.Lond. Math. Soc, 10, 126–128.
- [3] Erdős, P., & Zhang, Z. (1993). Upper bound of $\sum 1/(a_i \log a_i)$ for primitive sequences, Math. Soc, 117, 891–895.
- Farhi, B. (2017). Results and conjectures related to a conjecture of Erdős concerning primitive sequences, arXiv: 1709.08708v2 [math.NT] 25 Sep 2017.
- Laib, I., Derbal, A. & Mechik, R. (2019). Somme translatée sur des suites primitives et la conjecture d'Erdős. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I, 357, 413-417.
- Massias, J.-P., & Robin, G. (1996). Bornes effectives pour certaines fonctions concernant les nombres premiers, J. Theori. Nombres Bordeaux, 8, 215–242.
- Robbins, H. (1955). A remark on Stirling's formula, Amer. Math. Monthly, 62, 26–29. [7]
- Rosser, J. B., & Schoenfeld, L. (1962). Approximates formulas for some functions of prime numbers, Illinois Journal Math, 6, 64–94.