Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics Print ISSN 1310–5132, Online ISSN 2367–8275 Vol. 26, 2020, No. 3, 224–233 DOI: 10.7546/nntdm.2020.26.3.224-233

On the Padovan *p*-circulant numbers

Güzel İpek¹, Ömür Deveci² and Anthony G. Shannon³

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Letters Kafkas University, 36100 Kars, Turkey e-mail: guzelipek_36@hotmail.com

² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Letters Kafkas University, 36100 Kars, Turkey e-mail: odeveci36@hotmail.com

³ Warrane College, University of New South Wales Kensington, NSW, 2033, Australia e-mail: tshannon38@gmail.com

Received: 18 February 2019	Revised: 20 July 2020	Accepted: 24 July 2020

Abstract: In this paper, we define Padovan *p*-circulant numbers by using circulant matrices which are obtained from the characteristic polynomials of the Padovan *p*-numbers. Then, we derive the permanental and the determinantal representations of the Padovan *p*-circulant numbers by using certain matrices which are obtained from the generating matrix of Padovan *p*-circulant sequence. Also, we obtain the combinatorial representation, the exponential representation and the sums of the Padovan *p*-circulant numbers by the aid of the generating function and the generating matrix of the Padovan *p*-circulant sequence.

Keywords: Padovan *p*-circulant Sequence, Matrix, Representation. **2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 11B50, 11C20, 20D60.

1 Introduction

It is well-known that Padovan sequence is defined by the following equation:

$$P(n) = P(n-2) + P(n-3)$$

for $n \ge 3$, where P(0) = P(1) = P(2) = 1.

Deveci and Karaduman defined [8] the Padovan *p*-numbers as shown:

$$pap(n+p+2) = pap(n+p) + pap(n)$$

for any given p(p = 2, 3, 4, ...) and $n \ge 1$ with initial conditions $pap(1) = pap(2) = \cdots = pap(p) = 0$, pap(p+1) = 1 and pap(p+2) = 0.

Suppose that the (n + k)th term of a sequence is defined recursively by a linear combination of the preceding k terms:

$$a_{n+k} = c_0 a_n + c_1 a_{n+1} + \dots + c_{k-1} a_{n+k-1}$$

where $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}$ are real constants. In [12], Kalman derived a number of closed-form formulas for the generalized sequence by the companion matrix method as follows:

Let the matrix A be defined by

$$A = [a_{i,j}]_{k \times k} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{k-3} & c_{k-2} & c_{k-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A^n \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_n \\ a_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n+k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

then

for $n \ge 0$.

Many of the numbers obtained by using homogeneous linear recurrence relations and their miscellaneous properties have been studied by some authors; see for example [1,3,5-7,9-11,13, 18-20]. In this paper, we define Padovan *p*-circulant numbers and then we obtain their some properties such as the generating matrix, the Binet formula, the combinatorial representation, the generating function, exponential representation.

2 The Padovan *p*-circulant numbers

We define the Padovan *p*-circulant numbers for $n \ge 1$ as follows:

$$x_{n+p+3} = x_{n+p+2} - x_{n+p} - x_n \tag{1}$$

with initial constants $x_1 = \cdots = x_{p+2} = 0$ and $x_{p+3} = 1$, where $p \ge 2$.

It is important to note that equation (1) is a (p+3)-th order homogeneous linear recurrence relation example of the arbitrary-order equation (1.1) in [16].

By equation (1), we can write the following companion matrix:

$$C_p = [c_{ij}]_{(p+3)\times(p+3)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix C_p is called the Padovan *p*-circulant matrix. It is easy to see that

$$(C_p)^{\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} x_{p+3} \\ x_{p+2} \\ \vdots \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{\alpha+p+3} \\ x_{\alpha+p+2} \\ \vdots \\ x_{\alpha+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

for $\alpha \geq 0$. Also, by an inductive argument, we may write

$$(C_p)^{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{\alpha+p+3} & x_{\alpha+p+4} - x_{\alpha+p+3} & x_{\alpha+p+5} - x_{\alpha+p+4} & -x_{\alpha+3} & -x_{\alpha+4} & \cdots & -x_{\alpha+p+2} \\ x_{\alpha+p+2} & x_{\alpha+p+3} - x_{\alpha+p+2} & x_{\alpha+p+4} - x_{\alpha+p+3} & -x_{\alpha+2} & -x_{\alpha+3} & \cdots & -x_{\alpha+p+1} \\ x_{\alpha+p+1} & x_{\alpha+p+2} - x_{\alpha+p+1} & x_{\alpha+p+3} - x_{\alpha+p+2} & -x_{\alpha+1} & -x_{\alpha+2} & \cdots & -x_{\alpha+p} \\ x_{\alpha+p} & x_{\alpha+p+1} - x_{\alpha+p} & x_{\alpha+p+2} - x_{\alpha+p+1} & -x_{\alpha} & -x_{\alpha+1} & \cdots & -x_{\alpha+p-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{\alpha+2} & x_{\alpha+3} - x_{\alpha+2} & x_{\alpha+4} - x_{\alpha+3} & -x_{\alpha-p+2} & -x_{\alpha-p+3} & \cdots & -x_{\alpha+1} \\ x_{\alpha+1} & x_{\alpha+2} - x_{\alpha+1} & x_{\alpha+3} - x_{\alpha+2} & -x_{\alpha-p+1} & -x_{\alpha-p+2} & \cdots & -x_{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$$

for $\alpha \geq p$. we easily derive that $\det (C_p)^{\alpha} = (-1)^{p\alpha+\alpha}$.

Now we concentrate on the Binet formula for the Padovan *p*-circulant numbers by the aid of the determinantal representation.

Lemma 2.1. The characteristic equation of the Padovan *p*-circulant sequence $x^{p+3} - x^{p+2} + x^p + 1 = 0$ does not have multiple roots.

Proof. There is a similar proof in [8]. Let $f(x) = x^{p+3} - x^{p+2} + x^p + 1$ and suppose that z is a multiple root of f(x). Then, since z is a multiple root, z is a root of f'(x), that is, $f(z) = z^{p+3} - z^{p+2} + z^p + 1 = 0$ and $f'(z) = (p+3)z^{p+2} - (p+2)z^{p+1} + pz^{p-1} = z^{p-1}((p+3)z^3 - (p+2)z^2 + p) = 0$. Since $f(0) \neq 0$, we consider the equations $(p+3)z^3 - (p+2)z^2 + p = 0$. Thus we obtain

$$z_{1} = \frac{\left(\sqrt[3]{2}(-p-2)^{2}\right)}{3(p+3)\left(-25p^{3}-150p^{2}+3\sqrt{3}\sqrt{23p^{6}+276p^{5}+1230p^{4}+2380p^{3}+1563p^{2}-288p}-219p+16}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\left(-25p^{3}-150p^{2}+3\sqrt{3}\sqrt{23p^{6}+276p^{5}+1230p^{4}+2380p^{3}+1563p^{2}-288p}-219p+16}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{3\sqrt[3]{2}(p+3)} - \frac{-p-2}{3(p+3)}}{\left(1+i\sqrt{3}\right)(-p-2)^{2}}$$

$$z_{2} = -\frac{\left(1+i\sqrt{3}\right)\left(-25p^{3}-150p^{2}+3\sqrt{3}\sqrt{23p^{6}+276p^{5}+1230p^{4}+2380p^{3}+1563p^{2}-288p}-219p+16}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{3\times2^{\frac{2}{3}}(p+3)\left(-25p^{3}-150p^{2}+3\sqrt{3}\sqrt{23p^{6}+276p^{5}+1230p^{4}+2380p^{3}+1563p^{2}-288p}-219p+16}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{-\frac{\left(1-i\sqrt{3}\right)\left(-25p^{3}-150p^{2}+3\sqrt{3}\sqrt{23p^{6}+276p^{5}+1230p^{4}+2380p^{3}+1563p^{2}-288p}-219p+16}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{6\sqrt[3]{2}(p+3)}} - \frac{-p-2}{3(p+3)}$$

and

$$z_{3} = -\frac{(1-i\sqrt{3})(-p-2)^{2}}{3\times2^{\frac{2}{3}}(p+3)\left(-25p^{3}-150p^{2}+3\sqrt{3}\sqrt{23p^{6}+276p^{5}+1230p^{4}+2380p^{3}+1563p^{2}-288p}-219p+16\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\frac{(1+i\sqrt{3})\left(-25p^{3}-150p^{2}+3\sqrt{3}\sqrt{23p^{6}+276p^{5}+1230p^{4}+2380p^{3}+1563p^{2}-288p}-219p+16\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{6\sqrt[3]{2}(p+3)}} - \frac{-p-2}{3(p+3)}.$$
For $n \ge 2$, $f(x_{1}) \neq 0$, $f(x_{2}) \neq 0$ and $f(x_{2}) \neq 0$, which is contradiction. Thus, the equation is the equation of the equation of the equation $f(x_{2}) \neq 0$ and $f(x_{2}) \neq 0$.

For $p \ge 2$, $f(z_1) \ne 0$, $f(z_2) \ne 0$ and $f(z_3) \ne 0$, which is contradiction. Thus, the equation f(x) = 0 does not have multiple roots.

If $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{p+3}$ are roots of the equation $x^{p+3} - x^{p+2} + x^p + 1$, then by Lemma 2.1, it is known that $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{p+3}$ are distinct. Let V^p be a $(p+3) \times (p+3)$ Vandermonde matrix as follows:

$$V^{p} = \begin{bmatrix} (x_{1})^{p+2} & (x_{2})^{p+2} & \cdots & (x_{p+3})^{p+2} \\ (x_{1})^{p+1} & (x_{2})^{p+1} & \cdots & (x_{p+3})^{p+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{1} & x_{2} & \cdots & x_{p+3} \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$W_{i}^{p} = \begin{bmatrix} (x_{1})^{\alpha+p+3-i} \\ (x_{2})^{\alpha+p+3-i} \\ \vdots \\ (x_{p+3})^{\alpha+p+3-i} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let

and suppose that $V_{i,j}^p$ is a $(p+3) \times (p+3)$ matrix obtained from V^p by replacing the *j*-th column of V^p by W_i^p .

Theorem 2.2. Let
$$(C_p)^{\alpha} = [c_{i,j}^{p,\alpha}]$$
, then $c_{i,j}^{p,\alpha} = \frac{\det V_{i,j}^p}{\det V^p}$, for $\alpha \ge p$ and $p \ge 2$.

Proof. Since the eigenvalues of the matrix C_p , x_1 , x_2 , ..., x_{p+3} are distinct, the matrix C_p is diagonalizable. Let $G_p = diag(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{p+3})$, then it is easy to see that $C_pV^p = V^pG_p$. Since det $V^p \neq 0$, the matrix V^p is invertible. Then we obtain $(V^p)^{-1}C_pV^p = G_p$. Thus, the matrix C_p is similar to G_p . So we get $(C_p)^{\alpha}V^p = V^p(G_p)^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \geq p$ and $p \geq 2$. Then we can write the following linear system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} c_{i,1}^{p,\alpha} (x_1)^{p+2} + c_{i,2}^{p,\alpha} (x_1)^{p+1} + \dots + c_{i,p+3}^{p,\alpha} = (x_1)^{\alpha+p+3-i} \\ c_{i,1}^{p,\alpha} (x_2)^{p+2} + c_{i,2}^{p,\alpha} (x_2)^{p+1} + \dots + c_{i,p+3}^{p,\alpha} = (x_2)^{\alpha+p+3-i} \\ \vdots \\ c_{i,1}^{p,\alpha} (x_{p+3})^{p+2} + c_{i,2}^{p,\alpha} (x_{p+3})^{p+1} + \dots + c_{i,p+3}^{p,\alpha} = (x_{p+3})^{\alpha+p+3-i} \end{cases}$$

for $\alpha \ge p$ and $p \ge 2$. Therefore, for each i, j = 1, 2, ..., p + 3, we obtain $c_{i,j}^{p,\alpha}$ as follows

$$c_{i,j}^{p,\alpha} = \frac{\det V_{i,j}^p}{\det V^p}.$$

So we have the following useful results.

Corollary 2.3. Let x_{α} be the α th the Padovan p-circulant number for $p \geq 2$. Then $x_{\alpha} = -\frac{\det V_{n,n}^p}{\det V^p}$ for $4 \leq n \leq p+3$.

Now we consider the permanental representations of the Padovan *p*-circulant numbers.

Definition 2.1. Let $M = [m_{i,j}]$ be $u \times v$ real matrix and let r^1, r^2, \ldots, r^u and c^1, c^2, \ldots, c^v be respectively, the row and column vectors of M. If r^{α} contains exactly two non-zero entries, then M is contractible on row α . Similarly, M is contractible on column β provided c^{β} contains exactly two non-zero entries.

Let x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_u be row vectors of the matrix M and let M be contractible in the α -th column with $m_{i,\alpha} \neq 0, m_{j,\alpha} \neq 0$ and $i \neq j$. Then the $(u-1) \times (v-1)$ matrix $M_{ij:\alpha}$ obtained from Mby replacing the *i*-th row with $m_{i,\alpha}x_j + m_{j,\alpha}x_i$ and deleting the *j*-th row and the α -th column is called the contraction in the α -th column relative to the *i*-th row and the *j*-th row.

In [2], Brualdi and Gibson obtained that per(M) = per(N) if M is a real matrix of order u > 1 and N is a contraction of M.

Let $k \ge p+3$ be a positive integer and suppose that $G(k,p) = \left[g_{i,j}^{k,p}\right]$ is the $k \times k$ superdiagonal matrix, defined by

$$g_{i,j}^{k,p} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = t \text{ and } j = t \text{ for } 1 \le t \le k \text{ and } i = t + 1 \text{ and } j = t \text{ for } 1 \le t \le k - 1, \\ & \text{if } i = t \text{ and } j = t + 2 \text{ for } 1 \le t \le k - 2 \text{ and } i = t \\ & \text{and } j = t + p + 2 \text{ for } 1 \le t \le k - p - 2, \\ & 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

that is,

Theorem 2.4. For $k \ge p + 3$ and $p \ge 2$,

$$perG(k,p) = x_{k+p+3}.$$

Proof. We will use the induction method on k. Suppose that the equation holds $k \ge p + 3$, then we show that the equation holds for k + 1. If we expand the perG(k, p) by the Laplace expansion of permanent according to the first row, then we obtain

$$perG(k+1,p) = perG(k,p) - perG(k-2,p) - perG(k-p-2,p).$$

Since $perG(k, p) = x_{k+p+3}$, $perG(k-2, p) = x_{k+p+1}$ and $perG(k-p-2, p) = x_{k+1}$, we easily obtain that $perG(k+1, p) = x_{k+p+4}$.

$$\text{Let } k \ge p+3 \text{ and let } Y(k,p) = \begin{bmatrix} y_{i,j}^{k,p} \end{bmatrix} \text{ be the } k \times k \text{ matrix, defined by} \\ \text{if } i = t \text{ and } j = t \text{ for } 1 \le t \le k-p-2 \\ 1 & \text{and} \\ i = t+1 \text{ and } j = t \text{ for } 1 \le t \le k-1, \\ \text{if } i = t \text{ and } j = t+2 \text{ for } 1 \le t \le k-p-2 \\ -1 & \text{and} \\ i = t \text{ and } j = t+p+2 \text{ for } 1 \le t \le k-p-2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{bmatrix} .$$

Now we define the $k \times k$ matrix $L(k, p) = \begin{bmatrix} l_{i,j}^{k,p} \end{bmatrix}$ as follows:

$$(k - p - 3) th$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$L (k, p) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & \\ \vdots & & Y (k - 1, p) & & \\ 0 & & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

where k > p + 3.

Then we can give other permanental representations than the above.

Theorem 2.5. (*i*). For $k \ge p + 3$,

$$perY(k,p) = -x_k.$$

(*ii*). For k > p + 3,

$$perL(k,p) = -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i.$$

Proof. (i) .Suppose that the equation holds for $k \ge p+3$, then we show that the equation holds for k+1. If we expand the perY(k,p) by the Laplace expansion of permanent according to the first row, then we obtain

$$perY(k+1,p) = perY(k,p) - perY(k-2,p) - perY(k-p-2,p)$$
$$= -x_k + x_{k-2} + x_{k-p-2} = -x_{k+1}.$$

The conclusion is obtained.

(ii). If we extend the perL(k, p) with respect to the first row, we write

$$perL(k, p) = perL(k-1, p) + perY(k-1, p)$$

By the results of Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5. (i) and the inductive argument, the proof is easily seen. $\hfill \Box$

A matrix M is called convertible if there is an $n \times n$ (1, -1)-matrix K such that $perM = det (M \circ K)$, where $M \circ K$ denotes the Hadamard product of M and K.

Let k > p + 3 and let R be the $k \times k$ matrix, defined by

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is easy to see that $perG(k, p) = \det(G(k, p) \circ R)$, $perY(k, p) = \det(Y(k, p) \circ R)$ and $perL(k, p) = \det(L(k, p) \circ R)$. Then we have the following useful results.

Corollary 2.6. *For* k > p + 3*,*

$$\det \left(G\left(k,p\right)\circ R\right) = x_{k+p+3},$$
$$\det \left(Y\left(k,p\right)\circ R\right) = -x_{k}$$

and

$$\det (L(k, p) \circ R) = -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i.$$

Let $K(k_1, k_2, ..., k_v)$ be a $v \times v$ companion matrix as follows:

$$K(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_v) = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & k_2 & k_3 & \cdots & k_v \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

See [14, 15] for more information about the companion matrix.

Theorem 2.7. (Chen and Louck [4]). The (i, j) entry $k_{i,j}^{(u)}(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_v)$ in the matrix $K^u(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_v)$ is given by the following formula:

$$k_{i,j}^{(u)}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_v) = \sum_{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_v)} \frac{t_j + t_{j+1} + \dots + t_v}{t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_v} \times \binom{t_1 + \dots + t_v}{t_1, \dots, t_v} k_1^{t_1} \cdots k_v^{t_v}$$
(3)

where the summation is over nonnegative integers satisfying $t_1 + 2t_2 + \cdots + vt_v = u - i + j$, $\binom{t_1 + \cdots + t_v}{t_1, \ldots, t_v} = \frac{(t_1 + \cdots + t_v)!}{t_1! \cdots t_v!}$ is a multinomial coefficient, and the coefficients in (3) are defined to be 1 if u = i - j.

Then we have the following Corollary for the Padovan *p*-circulant numbers.

Corollary 2.8. Let x_{α} be the α th Padovan *p*-circulant number. Then

$$x_{\alpha} = -\sum_{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{p+3})} \frac{t_4 + t_5 + \dots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{p+3}} \times {\binom{t_1 + \dots + t_{p+3}}{t_1, \dots, t_{p+3}}} (-1)^{t_3 + t_{p+3}}$$
$$= -\sum_{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{p+3})} \frac{t_5 + t_6 + \dots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{p+3}} \times {\binom{t_1 + \dots + t_{p+3}}{t_1, \dots, t_{p+3}}} (-1)^{t_3 + t_{p+3}}$$
$$= \dots$$
$$= -\sum_{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{p+3})} \frac{t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{p+3}} \times {\binom{t_1 + \dots + t_{p+3}}{t_1, \dots, t_{p+3}}} (-1)^{t_3 + t_{p+3}}$$

where the summation is over nonnegative integers satisfying $t_1 + 2t_2 + \cdots + (p+3)t_{p+3} = \alpha$.

Proof. In Theorem 2.7, if we choose v = p + 3 and i = j such that $4 \le i, j \le p + 3$, then the proof is immediately seen from (2).

The generating function of the Padovan *p*-circulant numbers is given by

$$g^{p}(y) = \frac{y^{p+2}}{1 - y + y^{3} + y^{p+3}},$$

where $p \geq 2$.

Note that the generating function $g^{p}(y)$ is, in effect, a generalization of the main result in Section 2 of [17].

Now we give an exponential representation for the Padovan *p*-circulant numbers with the following Theorems.

Theorem 2.9. The Padovan *p*-circulant numbers have the following exponential representation:

$$g^{p}(y) = y^{p+2} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(y)^{i}}{i} \left(1 - y^{2} + y^{p+2}\right)^{i}\right).$$

Proof. Since

$$\ln g^{p}(y) = \ln \frac{y^{p+2}}{1 - y + y^{3} + y^{p+3}}$$

= $\ln y^{p+2} - \ln (1 - y + y^{3} + y^{p+3})$

and

$$-\ln\left(1-y+y^{3}+y^{p+3}\right) = -\left[-y\left(1-y^{2}-y^{p+2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}y^{2}\left(1-y^{2}-y^{p+2}\right)^{2}-\cdots\right] -\frac{1}{n}y^{n}\left(1-y^{2}-y^{p+2}\right)^{n}-\cdots\right],$$

it is clear that

$$\ln \frac{g^{p}(y)}{y^{p+2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(y)^{i}}{i} \left(1 - y^{2} + y^{p+2}\right)^{i}.$$

Thus we have the conclusion.

Now we give the sums of the Padovan *p*-circulant numbers.

Let $S_{\alpha} = \sum_{n=1}^{\alpha} x_n$ and suppose that M_p is the $(p+4) \times (p+4)$ matrix such that

$$M_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & & & \\ 0 & & C_p & \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

Then it can be shown by induction that

$$(M_p)^{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ S_{\alpha+p+2} & & & \\ S_{\alpha+p+1} & & (C_p)^{\alpha} \\ \vdots & & & \\ S_{\alpha} & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

3 Conclusion

We have given Padovan *p*-circulant numbers. These sequences had defined by using circulant matrices which had obtained from the characteristic polynomials of the Padovan *p*-numbers. Also, we have given relationships between Padovan *p*-circulant numbers and the generating matrices of these sequences. Then we have obtained some properties of the Padovan *p*-circulant numbers such as the Binet formula, permanental, determinantal, combinatorial, exponential representations and we have derived a formula for the sums of the Padovan *p*-circulant numbers.

Acknowledgements

This Project was supported by the Commission for the Scientific Research Projects of Kafkas University. The Project number. 2017-FM-63.

References

- Akuzum, Y., Deveci, O., & Shannon A. G. (2017). On the Pell *p*-circulant sequences, *Notes Number Theory Disc. Math.*, 23 (2), 91–103.
- [2] Brualdi, R. A., & Gibson, P. M. (1997). Convex polyhedra of doubly stochastic matrices I: applications of permanent function, *J. Combin. Theory*, 22, 194–230.
- [3] Bueno, A. C. F. (2015). On right circulant matrices with general number sequence, *Notes Number Theory Disc. Math.*, 21 (2), 55–58.

- [4] Chen, W. Y. C., & Louck, J. C. (1996). The combinatorial power of the companion matrix, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 232, 261–278.
- [5] Deveci, O. (2016). The Pell-Circulant sequences and their applications, *Maejo Int. J. Sci. Technol.*, 10 (03), 284–293.
- [6] Deveci, O. (2018). The Padovan-circulant sequences and their applications, *Math. Reports*, 20 (70), 401–416.
- [7] Deveci, O., Akuzum, Y., & Karaduman, E. (2015). The Pell–Padovan *p*-sequences and its applications, *Util. Math.*, 98, 327–347.
- [8] Deveci, O., & Karaduman, E. (2017). On the Padovan *p*-numbers, *Hacettepe J. Math. Stat.*, 46 (4), 579–592.
- [9] Deveci, O., Karaduman, E., & Campbell, C. M. (2017). The Fibonacci-circulant sequences and their applications, *Iranian J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A-Sci.*, 1–6.
- [10] Deveci, O., & Shannon, A. G. (2017). Pell–Padovan-circulant sequences and their applications, *Notes Number Theory Disc. Math.*, 23 (3), 100–114.
- [11] Gogin, N. D., & Myllari, A. A. (2007). The Fibonacci–Padovan sequence and MacWilliams transform matrices, *Program. Comput. Softw.*, *published in Programmirovanie*, 33 (2), 74– 79.
- [12] Kalman, D. (1982). Generalized Fibonacci numbers by matrix methods, *Fibonacci Quart.*, 20 (1), 73–76.
- [13] Kilic, E. (2009). The generalized Pell (p, i)-numbers and their Binet formulas, combinatorial representations, sums, *Chaos, Solitons Fractals*, 40 (4), 2047–2063.
- [14] Lancaster, P., & Tismenesky, M. (1985). The Theory of Matrices, Academic Press.
- [15] Lidl, R., & Niederreiter, H. (1986). Introduction to finite fields and their applications, Cambridge U. P.
- [16] Shannon, A. G. (1974). Some properties of a fundamental recursive sequence of arbitrary order, *Fibonacci Quart.*, 12 (4), 327–335.
- [17] Shannon, A. G., & Horadam, A. F. (1971). Generating functions for powers of third order recurrence sequences, *Duke Math. J.*, 38 (4), 791–794.
- [18] Stakhov, A. P., & Rozin, B. (2006). Theory of Binet formulas for Fibonacci and Lucas *p*-numbers, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, 27, 1162–1167.
- [19] Tasci, D., & Firengiz, M. C. (2010). Incomplete Fibonacci and Lucas *p*-numbers, *Math. Comput. Modelling.*, 52, 1763–1770.
- [20] Tuglu, N., Kocer, E. G., & Stakhov, A. P. (2011). Bivariate Fibonacci-like *p*-polynomials, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 217 (24), 10239–10246.