Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics Print ISSN 1310–5132, Online ISSN 2367–8275 Vol. 26, 2020, No. 3, 1–4 DOI: 10.7546/nntdm.2020.26.3.1-4

# On the equation $\varphi(n) + d(n) = n$ and related inequalities

### József Sándor

Department of Mathematics, Babeş-Bolyai University Cluj-Napoca, Romania e-mail: jsandor@math.ubbcluj.ro

Received: 19 November 2020

Accepted: 10 July 2020

**Abstract:** We study the equation  $\varphi(n) + d(n) = n$ , and prove related new inequalities. **Keywords:** Arithmetic function, Inequality. **2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 11A25.

#### **1** Introduction

Let  $n \ge 1$  be a positive integer, and denote by  $\varphi(n)$  the Euler totient function. Let d(n) denote the number of divisors of n. Put  $\varphi(1) = d(1) = 1$ . It is well-known that for n > 1 having the prime factorization  $n = p_1^{a_1} \dots p_r^{a_r}$  one has

$$\varphi(n) = p_1^{a_1 - 1} \dots p_r^{a_r - 1}(p_1 - 1) \dots (p_r - 1) = n \prod_{p \mid n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right), \tag{1}$$

where p runs through all prime divisors of n, and  $p_1, \ldots, p_r$  are distinct primes, with  $a_1, \ldots, a_r \ge 1$  integers. It is also well-known that

$$d(n) = (a_1 + 1) \dots (a_r + 1).$$
(2)

In paper [3], we have proved the following inequality

$$\varphi(n) + d(n) \le n + 1,\tag{3}$$

for  $n \ge 2$ , with equality only for n = 4 or n being prime.

In fact, (3) was a consequence of the stronger relation (see [3]):

$$\varphi(n) + d(n) \le n,\tag{4}$$

for any  $n \neq 4$ , different from prime.

However, the cases of equality for (4) are not studied in [3]. The aim of this note is to consider also the case of equality. Certain related new inequalities will be pointed out, too.

#### 2 Main results

**Theorem 1.** The equation  $\varphi(n) + d(n) = n$  has the only solutions n = 8 and n = 9.

*Proof.* Case 1. Let n be an even number. Then it is well-known that  $\varphi(n) \leq \frac{n}{2}$ . Using the relation  $d(n) < 2\sqrt{n}$  (see, e.g., [1]), we get

$$\varphi(n) + d(n) < \frac{n}{2} + 2\sqrt{n} \le n$$

if  $2\sqrt{n} \le \frac{n}{2}$ , i.e.,  $n \ge 16$ . Now, for n < 16 and even, a simple verification shows that (4) holds true with a strict inequality, except for n = 8, when there is equality. Therefore, the only even solution except for n = 4 is n = 8.

<u>Case 2.</u> Let *n* be odd and not a prime. Suppose that  $\varphi(n) + d(n) = n$  holds true. As for  $n \ge 3$ ,  $\varphi(n)$  is even, then d(n) should be an odd number. But from (2) it is immediate that *n* must be a perfect square, i.e.,  $n = m^2$ . As  $\varphi(m^2) = m\varphi(m)$ , the equality becomes

$$m\varphi(m) + d(m^2) = m^2. \tag{5}$$

Equality (5) implies that m should be a divisor of  $d(m^2)$ , i.e.,

$$d(m^2) = k.m, (6)$$

for certain,  $k \ge 1$ . As  $d(N) < 2\sqrt{N}$ , we get  $d(m^2) < 2m$ , implying that one must have k = 1 in (6). Equation  $d(m^2) = m$  can be also written as

$$(2\alpha_1 + 1)\dots(2\alpha_s + 1) = q_1^{\alpha_1}\dots q_s^{\alpha_s},$$
(7)

where  $m = q_1^{\alpha_1} \dots q_s^{\alpha_s}$  is the prime factorization of m.

Now, as m is odd, let  $q_1$  be the least odd prime factor of m, with  $q_1 \ge 3$ . Since the inequality  $3^{\alpha_1} \ge 2\alpha_1 + 1$  holds true, with equality only for  $\alpha_1 = 1$ , and as  $5^{\alpha_2} \ge 2\alpha_2 + 1$ , etc., we must have m = 3. This finally gives  $n = m^2 = 9$ , as the single odd solution of the equation. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

**Remark 1.** From Theorem 1 and relation (4) it follows that

$$\varphi(n) + d(n) < n,\tag{8}$$

for any  $n \neq 4, 8, 9$  and n being composite.

**Remark 2.** From the proof of Theorem 1 we get that (8) holds true for any even number, distinct from 2, 4, 8, and that (8) holds true for any odd composite number distinct from 9.

**Remark 3.** Another proof of (4) and Theorem 1 can be obtained by the use of a computer and some known inequalities. This is based on the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** When n > 1 is composite, then

$$\varphi(n) \le n - \sqrt{n}.\tag{9}$$

**Lemma 2.** For any  $n \ge 1262$  one has

$$d(n) < \sqrt{n}.\tag{10}$$

Lemma 1 is well-known (see, e.g., [1]), while (10) is proved in [2].

Now, by (9) and (10) we get that  $\varphi(n) + d(n) < n$  for any  $n \ge 1262$ , n being composite. By a computer search for  $n \le 1261$ , one can deduce (4) and obtain the only solutions n = 8 and n = 9.

**Remark 4.** Thus, for any  $n \ge 1262$  composite, we get the following refinement of (4):

$$\varphi(n) \le n - \sqrt{n} < n - d(n).,\tag{11}$$

**Remark 5.** The inequality (11) can be further improved for squarefull numbers, i.e., for numbers with the property: if  $p^a || n$  (where  $p^a$  is the greatest prime power of a prime dividing n), then  $a \ge 2$ .

Indeed, this is based on the following relation (see [4]):

$$\varphi(n) \le n - \frac{n}{\gamma(n)},\tag{12}$$

where  $\gamma(n) = \prod_{p|n} p$  is the product of the prime divisors of n.

Now, it is immediate that,  $\frac{n}{\gamma(n)} \ge \sqrt{n}$ , or equivalently  $\gamma(n) \le \sqrt{n}$ , i.e.,  $p_1 \dots p_r \le p_1^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} \dots p_r^{\frac{\alpha_r}{2}}$ . This is valid, when  $\alpha_1 \ge 2, \dots, \alpha_r \ge 2$ , i.e., when n is squarefull.

Thus we get: when  $n \ge 1262$  is a squarefull number, one has

$$\varphi(n) \le n - \frac{n}{\gamma(n)} \le n - \sqrt{n} < n - d(n).$$

**Remark 6.** This paper has been motivated by [3]. The results are quite strong to offer the solutions to other equations, too. For example, let  $\omega(n)$  denote the number of distinct prime factors of n > 1. Let  $d^*(n)$  denote the number of unitary divisors of n (see [4]). Then, as  $d^*(n) \ge \omega(n) + 1$ , we get, by relation (4) that:

$$n+1 \ge \varphi(n) + d(n) \ge \varphi(n) + d^*(n) \ge \varphi(n) + \omega(n) + 1.$$

Thus, particularly, the equation

$$\varphi(n) + \omega(n) = n$$

has the only solutions as n = prime. Many other equations are studied in our paper [5].

## References

- [1] Sándor, J., Mitrinović, D., & Crstici, B. (2005). *Handbook of Number Theory, Vol. I*, Springer.
- [2] Sándor, J., & Kovács, L. (2008). A note on the arithmetical functions d(n) and  $\sigma(n)$ . Octagon Math. Mag., 16 (1), 270–274.
- [3] Sándor, J. (2010). Two arithmetic inequalities. *Advanced Studied Contemporary Mathematics*, 20 (2), 197–202.
- [4] Sándor, J. (2014). On certain inequalities for  $\sigma$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$  and related functions. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 20 (2), 52–60.
- [5] Sándor, J. (1989). Some diophantine equations for particular arithmetic functions. *Seminarul de Teoria Stucturilor*, 53, 1–10 (in Romanian).