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1 Introduction

The real rootedness of polynomials has attracted researchers great interest. One of the reasons is that any polynomial of real zeros implies the log-concavity and the unimodality of its coefficients, which appear in various fields of mathematics, see [6, 18]. In this paper, we investigate the properties of the generalized Bell umbra and Rolle’s theorem to give a result on the polynomials of real zeros. Partial and auxiliary results on the σ-polynomials of graphs and on a class of polynomials linked to the partial r-Bell polynomials are considered.

To use later, recall that the n-th Bell polynomial \( B_n(x) \) and the n-th r-Bell polynomial \( B_{n,r}(x) \) can be defined by Dobinski’s formula

\[
B_n(x) = e^{-x} \sum_{j \geq 0} j^n \frac{x^j}{j!}, \quad B_{n,r}(x) = e^{-x} \sum_{j \geq 0} (j + r)^n \frac{x^j}{j!}
\]
and \( B^n_x = B^n(x) \) be the generalized Bell umbra introduced by Sun et al. [19]. It is known that, for any polynomial \( f \) and any integer \( n \geq 0 \), the generalized Bell umbra satisfies [19]

\[
(B_x)_n f(B_x) = x^n f(B_x + n),
\]

where \((x)_n = x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)\) if \( n \geq 1 \) and \((x)_0 = 1\). In particular, we have

\[ B^{x+1}_x = x(B_x + 1)^n \quad \text{and} \quad (B_x)_n = x^n. \]

Some polynomials have closed forms in terms of \( B_x \) such the polynomials [4]

\[ B_{n,r}(x) = (B_x + r)^n = x^{-r} B^n_x, \quad \mathcal{L}_n(x) = (B_x + n - 1)_n, \]

and for \( r_1 \leq \cdots \leq r_p \) be non-negative integers, we also have [4, 12]:

\[ B_{n;r_1,\ldots,r_p}(x) = (B_x + r_p)^{n-r_1} \cdots (B_x + r_1)^n = x^{-r_p} (B_x + r_p)^{n-r_1} \cdots (B_x)^{n-r_p}, \]

where \( B_{n;r_1,\ldots,r_p}(x) \) are the \((r_1, \ldots, r_p)\)-Bell polynomials [12] and \( \mathcal{L}_n(x) \) are the Lah polynomials. More generally, for any polynomial \( f \), there holds

\[ e^{-x} \sum_{k \geq 0} f(k) \frac{x^k}{k!} = f(B_x). \]

For further information about umbral calculus on Bell polynomials, one can also see [4, 9, 10].

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we give a result on polynomials with real zeros. In the last section we present applications on a class of polynomials linked to the partial \( r \)-Bell polynomials [16].

## 2 Real-rooted polynomials via generalized Bell umbra

Let \( \mathbb{RZ} \) be the set of the real polynomials having only real zeros. The principal main result of this paper is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( f \) be a polynomial with real coefficients such that \( f(B_x) \in \mathbb{RZ} \). Then, for any non-negative integer \( r \), there holds

\[ (B_x)_r f(B_x) \in \mathbb{RZ}. \]

Furthermore, for any non-negative integers \( r_1, \ldots, r_p \), there holds

\[ (B_x)_{r_1} \cdots (B_x)_{r_p} f(B_x) \in \mathbb{RZ}. \]

**Proof.** From the identity \( f(B_x) = e^{-x} \sum_{k \geq 0} f(k) \frac{x^k}{k!} \) [4] it follows

\[
\frac{d}{dx} (e^x f(B_x + r - 1)) = \frac{d}{dx} \left( \sum_{k \geq 0} f(k + r - 1) \frac{x^k}{k!} \right) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{d}{dx} f(k + r) \frac{x^k}{k!} = e^x f(B_x + r).
\]

The proof can be obtained by induction on \( r \) and by application of Rolle’s theorem on the function \( e^x f(B_x + r - 1) \). More generally, since \( g(x) := (B_x)_{r_1} f(B_x) \in \mathbb{RZ} \), then the polynomial \( (B_x)_{r_2} g(B_x) = (B_x)_{r_2} (B_x)_{r_1} f(B_x) \) has only real zeros, and so on.
Example 1. For \( f(x) = x^n \) we get \( f(B_x) = B_n(x) \in \text{RZ} \). We deduce from Theorem 1 the known result \[11, \text{Th. 6}\] (see also \[4, 15\])

\[(B_x)_r B^n_x = x^r B_{n;r}(x) \in \text{RZ}\]

and the known result \[12\] (see also \[4, 15\])

\[(B_x)_{r_p} \cdots (B_x)_{r_1} B^n_x = x^{\max(r_1, \ldots, r_p)} B_{n;r_1, \ldots, r_p}(x) \in \text{RZ}.\]

Example 2. For \( f(x) = (x)_n \) we get \( f(B_x) = x^n \in \text{RZ} \). It follows from Theorem 1 that the polynomial

\[(B_x)_r f(B_x) = x^r (B_x + r)_n = x^r \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n,r)} \binom{n}{k} \frac{r!}{(r-k)!} x^{n-k}\]

is in \( \text{RZ} \). More generally, the polynomial

\[(B_x)_{r_p} \cdots (B_x)_{r_1} (B_x)_n = x^{\max\{r_1, \ldots, r_p, n\}} B_{0;r_1, \ldots, r_p, n}(x)\]

is in \( \text{RZ} \).

Example 3. For \( f(x) = (x + n - 1)_n \) we get \( f(B_x) = (B_x + n - 1)_n = \mathcal{L}_n(x) \in \text{RZ} \) \[1\]. It follows from Theorem 1 that the polynomial

\[(B_x)_{r_p} \cdots (B_x)_{r_1} (B_x + n - 1)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (n - 1)_{n-k} (B_x)_{r_p} \cdots (B_x)_{r_1} (B_x)_k\]

\[= \sum_{k=0}^{n} L(n, k) x^{\max(k, r_1, \ldots, r_p)} B_{0;k,r_1,\ldots,r_p}(x)\]

is in \( \text{RZ} \), where \( L(n, k) \) are the Lah numbers.

To give an application on the \( \sigma \)-polynomial associated to a graph, let \( \lambda \) be a positive integer and recall that a \( \lambda \)-coloring of a simple graph \( G \) is a mapping \( f : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, \lambda\} \) where \( f(u) \neq f(v) \) whenever the vertices \( u \) and \( v \) are adjacent in \( G \). Two \( \lambda \)-colorings \( f \) and \( g \) of \( G \) are distinct if \( f(x) \neq g(x) \) for some vertex \( x \) in \( G \), and, the number of \( \lambda \)-colorings of \( G \) is called the chromatic polynomial \( P(G, \lambda) \). The chromatic polynomial can be defined as

\[ f(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k(G)(\lambda)_k, \]

where \( \alpha_k(G) \) is the number of ways of partitioning \( V \) into \( k \) nonempty sets. The \( \sigma \)-polynomial associated to \( G \) is

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k(G) x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k(G) (B_x)_k = f(B_x). \]

For more information about chromatic polynomials, see \[8\].

Corollary 2. If the \( \sigma \)-polynomial \( f(B_x) \) of a graph \( G \) is in \( \text{RZ} \), then the \( \sigma \)-polynomial

\[(B_x)_{r_1} \cdots (B_x)_{r_p} f(B_x)\]

of the graph \( G \cup K_{r_1} \cup \cdots \cup K_{r_p} \) is in \( \text{RZ} \), where \( K_r \) is the complete graph of \( r \) vertices.
Example 4. A tree $T_n$ of $n$ ($\geq 1$) vertices has chromatic polynomial $f(\lambda) = \lambda (\lambda - 1)^{n-1}$. By the identity $(B_x)_n f(B_x) = x^n f(B_x + n)$, the $\sigma$-polynomial of $T_n$ must be

$$f(B_x) = B_x (B_x - 1)^{n-1} = xB_x^{n-1} = x\mathcal{E}_{n-1}(x)$$

which is in RZ. Then, the $\sigma$-polynomial of the graph $T_n \cup K_r$ is in RZ, and is to be

$$(B_x)_r f(B_x) = x^r (B_x + r) (B_x + r - 1)^{n-1} = x^r [x\mathcal{E}_{n-1,r}(x) + r\mathcal{E}_{n-1,r-1}(x)].$$

2.1 Polynomials linked to the partial $r$-Bell polynomials

We present in this section some applications of Theorem 1 on a class of polynomials linked to the partial $r$-Bell polynomials. Indeed, recall that the $(n, k)$-th partial $r$-Bell polynomial

$$B_{n+r,k+r}^{(r)}(a; b) := B_{n+r,k+r}^{(r)}(a_1, a_2, \ldots; b_1, b_2, \ldots)$$

introduced by Mihoubi et al. [16] (see also [17]) can be defined by

$$\sum_{n \geq k} B_{n+r,k+r}^{(r)}(a; b) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{k!} \left( \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j \frac{t^j}{j!} \right)^k \left( \sum_{j \geq 0} b_{j+1} \frac{t^j}{j!} \right)^r.$$

This polynomial presents an extension of the $(n, k)$-th partial Bell polynomial

$$B_{n,k}(a_1, a_2, \ldots) := B_{n,k}(a)$$

introduced by Bell [2] and studied later by several authors, see for example [7, 13, 14]).

Let $(a_n)$ and $(b_n)$ be two the sequences of real numbers linked as follows

$$\varphi(t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad 1 + \varphi(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_{n+1} \frac{t^n}{n!},$$

Here $b = e + La$, where $e = (1, 0, 0, \ldots)$, $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots)$, and the sequence $(La^n)$ is defined by $L^0a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots)$, $La = (0, a_1, a_2, \ldots)$, $L^2a = (0, 0, a_1, a_2, \ldots)$ and so on.

Proposition 3. Let $r$ be a non-negative integer and let $\mathcal{V}_{n,r}(x)$ and $\mathcal{V}_n(x)$ be the polynomials defined by

$$\mathcal{V}_{n,r}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{n+r,k+r}^{(r)}(a; e + La) x^k, \quad \mathcal{V}_n(x) = \mathcal{V}_{n,0}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{n,k}(a) x^k.$$

If $\mathcal{V}_n(x) \in \text{RZ}$, then $\mathcal{V}_{n,r}(x) \in \text{RZ}$.

Proof. From [16, Th. 4] we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} B_{n+r,k+r}^{(r)}(a; e + La) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{k!} (\varphi(t))^k (1 + \varphi(t))^r,$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{V}_{n,r}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = (1 + \varphi(t))^r \exp(x\varphi(t)).$$
Then, for \( f_n(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{n,k}(a)(x)_k \) we get \( f_n(B_x + r) = \mathcal{V}_{n,r}(x) \). Indeed, we have

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} f_n(B_x + r) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (B_x + r)_k \sum_{n \geq k} B_{n,k}(a) \frac{t^n}{n!}
\]

\[
= \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{B_x + r}{k} (\varphi(t))^k
\]

\[
= (1 + \varphi(t))^{B_x + r}
\]

\[
= (1 + \varphi(t))^r \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n(B_x) \frac{t^n}{n!}
\]

\[
= (1 + \varphi(t))^r \exp(x\varphi(t)),
\]

which is the exponential generating function of the sequence \( \mathcal{V}_{n,r}(x) \).

Hence, the application of Theorem 1 completes the proof.

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 4.** For \( a = (1, 1, 1, \ldots) \), the following polynomials are in \( \mathbb{RZ} \)

\[
\mathcal{V}_{n,r}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{n+r,k+r}(La + L^2a) x^k, \quad \mathcal{U}_{n,r}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{n+r,k+r}(L^2a; e + L^3a) x^k
\]

**Proof.** The 2-associated and 3-associated Bell polynomials

\[
\mathcal{V}_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^{(2)} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{n,k}(La) x^k; \quad \mathcal{U}_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^{(3)} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{n,k}(L^2a) x^k
\]

are in \( \mathbb{RZ} \), where

\[
\sum_{n \geq k} \binom{n}{k}^{(2)} \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{k!} (e^t - 1 - t)^k, \quad \sum_{n \geq k} \binom{n}{k}^{(3)} \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{k!} \left( e^t - 1 - t - \frac{t^2}{2} \right)^k,
\]

see [5, 20]. So, the corollary follows from Proposition 3.

\[ \square \]

The potential polynomials used by Bender and Canfield [3, Th. 1] to study the log-concavity and log-convexity of their coefficients are used here to define sequences of polynomials by the generalized Bell umbra for which we deduce an easy application of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 5.** Let \( (A_n(x)) \) be a sequence of polynomials defined by

\[
1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} A_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \exp(xh(t)), \quad h(t) = \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j \frac{t^j}{j!},
\]

and let \( (A_n^{(s)}(x)) \) be a sequence of polynomials defined by

\[
A_n^{(0)}(x) = A_n(x), \quad A_n^{(s)}(x) = A_n^{(s-1)}(B_x), \quad s \geq 1.
\]

Then

\[
1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} A_n^{(s)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \exp\left(x\sum_{j \geq 1} A_j^{(s-1)}(1) \frac{t^j}{j!}\right), \quad s \geq 1.
\]
Proof. We proceed by induction on \( s \geq 1 \). Since

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} A_n^{(1)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n^{(0)}(B_x) \frac{t^n}{n!}
= \exp (B_x h(t))
= \sum_{n \geq 0} B_n(x) \frac{(h(t))^n}{n!}
= \exp (x (\exp (h(t)) - 1))
= \exp \left( x \sum_{n \geq 1} A_n^{(0)}(1) \frac{t^n}{n!} \right)
\]

it follows that the desired identity is true for \( s = 1 \). Assume it is true for \( s, s \geq 1 \). Then

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} A_n^{(s+1)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n^{(s)}(B_x) \frac{t^n}{n!}
= \exp \left( B_x \sum_{j \geq 1} A_j^{(s-1)}(1) \frac{t^j}{j!} \right)
= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{B_n(x)}{n!} \left( \sum_{j \geq 1} A_j^{(s-1)}(1) \frac{t^j}{j!} \right)^n
= \exp \left( x \left( \exp \left( \sum_{j \geq 1} A_j^{(s-1)}(1) \frac{t^j}{j!} \right) - 1 \right) \right)
= \exp \left( x \sum_{n \geq 1} A_n^{(s)}(1) \frac{t^n}{n!} \right)
\]

which proves the induction step. \( \square \)

Lemma 5 and Proposition 3 state that we have:

**Corollary 6.** Let \( r, s \) be non-negative integers, \( a_s = (A_1^{(s)}(1), A_2^{(s)}(1), \ldots) \) and let \( V_{n,r}^{(s)}(x) \) and \( V_{n}^{(s)}(x) \) be the polynomials defined by

\[
V_{n,r}^{(s)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{n+r,k+r}(a_s; e + L a_s) x^k, \quad V_{n}^{(s)}(x) = V_{n,0}^{(s)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{n,k}(a_s) x^k.
\]

If \( V_{n,r}^{(s)}(x) \in \mathbb{RZ}, \) then \( V_{n,r}^{(s)}(x) \in \mathbb{RZ}. \)

**Example 5.** For \( a_n = (n-1)! \), \( n \geq 1 \), we get \( h(t) = -\ln (1 - t) \). Then, under the notations of Lemma 5 and Corollary 6, we obtain

\[
A_n^{(0)}(x) = x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1),
\quad a_0 = (A_1^{(0)}(1), A_2^{(0)}(1), \ldots) = (1!, 2!, \ldots)
\]
and
\[ \mathcal{V}^{(0)}_{n,0}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{n,k}(a_0) x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} L(n,k) x^k = \mathcal{L}_n(x) \]

which is in \( \text{RZ} \), then the polynomial
\[ \mathcal{V}^{(0)}_{n,r}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} B^{(r)}_{n+r,k+r}(a_0; e + L a_0) x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{k!} \binom{n + r - 1}{k + r - 1} x^k, \quad r \geq 1, \]
is also in \( \text{RZ} \).

A further result on exponential polynomials with real roots is given by the following proposition.

**Proposition 7.** Let \( r \) be a non-negative integer and \( (f_n^{(r)}(x)) \) be the sequence defined by
\[ \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = F(t)(h(t))^{r} \exp(xh(t)), \quad h(t) = \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j \frac{t^j}{j!}, \]
for some power series \( F \). Then, for \( r \leq n - 1 \), if the polynomial \( f^{(0)}_n(x) \) is of degree \( n \) and is in \( \text{RZ} \), then
\[ f^{(r)}_n(x) = r! \sum_{k=r}^{n} \binom{n}{k} B_{k,r}(a) f^{(0)}_{n-k}(x) \in \text{RZ}. \]

**Proof.** By definition of the sequence \( (f_n^{(r)}(x)) \) it follows that \( f_n^{(r)}(x) = \frac{d^r}{dx^r} f_n^{(r-1)}(x) \). This shows that the polynomial \( f_n^{(r)}(x) \) is of degree \( n - r \). The proof can be deduced by induction on \( r \) and by application of Rolle’s theorem. \( \square \)

**Example 6.** Let \( (f_n^{(r)}(x)) \) be the sequence defined by
\[ \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = (e^t - 1)^r \exp(x(e^t - 1)). \]
Then, the polynomial \( f_n^{(r)}(x) = r! \sum_{k=r}^{n} \binom{n}{k} B_{n-k}(x) \) is in \( \text{RZ} \), where \( \binom{k}{r} \) are the Stirling numbers of the second kind.

**Example 7.** Let \( (f_n^{(r)}(x)) \) be the sequence defined by
\[ \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = (\ln(1+t))^r \exp(x(\ln(1+t))). \]
Then, the polynomial \( f_n^{(r)}(x) = r! \sum_{k=r}^{n} (-1)^{k-r} \binom{n}{k} (x)_{n-k} \) is in \( \text{RZ} \).

**Example 8.** Let \( (f_n^{(r)}(x)) \) be the sequence defined by
\[ \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{r!} \left( \frac{t}{1-t} \right)^r \exp \left( \frac{xt}{1-t} \right). \]
Then, the polynomial \( f_n^{(r)}(x) = r! \sum_{k=r}^{n} (-1)^{k-r} \binom{n}{k} L(k,r) \mathcal{L}_{n-k}(x) \) is in \( \text{RZ} \).
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