Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics Print ISSN 1310–5132, Online ISSN 2367–8275 Vol. 25, 2019, No. 2, 49–56 DOI: 10.7546/nntdm.2019.25.2.49-56

# Diophantine equations related to reciprocals of linear recurrence sequences

**H. R. Hashim**<sup>1</sup> and Sz. Tengely<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Mathematical Institute, University of Debrecen P. O. Box 12, 4010 Debrecen, Hungary e-mail: hashim.hayder.raheem@science.unideb.hu

<sup>2</sup> Mathematical Institute, University of Debrecen
P. O. Box 12, 4010 Debrecen, Hungary
e-mail: tengely@science.unideb.hu

Received: 6 February 2019

**Revised:** 11 May 2019

Accepted: 13 May 2019

Abstract: In this article we consider the equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(P_1, Q_1)}{x^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(P_2, Q_2)}{y^{k+1}},$$

in integers (x, y), where  $U_n(P, Q)$  is a Lucas sequence defined by  $U_0 = 0$ ,  $U_1 = 1$ ,  $U_n = PU_{n-1} - QU_{n-2}$  for n > 1. We also deal with a similar equation related to the generalized Tribonacci sequence.

Keywords: Recurrence sequences, Diophantine equations.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D25, 11B39.

#### **1** Introduction

Let P and Q be non-zero integers. The Lucas sequence  $U_n(P,Q)$  is defined by  $U_0 = 0, U_1 = 1, U_n = PU_{n-1} - QU_{n-2}$  for n > 1.

Stancliff [7] noted an interesting property of the Fibonacci sequence  $F_n = U_n(1, -1)$ , namely

$$\frac{1}{F_{11}} = \frac{1}{89} = 0.0112358\ldots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{F_k}{10^{k+1}}$$

De Weger [10] determined all  $x \ge 2$  in case of (P, Q) = (1, -1) of the equation

$$\frac{1}{U_n(P,Q)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(P,Q)}{x^{k+1}}$$

The solutions are as follows

$$\frac{1}{F_1} = \frac{1}{F_2} = \frac{1}{1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{F_k}{2^{k+1}}, \qquad \frac{1}{F_5} = \frac{1}{5} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{F_k}{3^{k+1}},$$
$$\frac{1}{F_{10}} = \frac{1}{55} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{F_k}{8^{k+1}}, \qquad \frac{1}{F_{11}} = \frac{1}{89} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{F_k}{10^{k+1}}.$$

Tengely [9] provided methods to determine similar identities in case of Lucas sequences. As an example he proved that

$$\frac{1}{U_{10}} = \frac{1}{416020} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k}{647^{k+1}},$$

where  $U_0 = 0, U_1 = 1$  and  $U_n = 4U_{n-1} + U_{n-2}, n \ge 2$ .

Hashim and Tengely [3] obtained results related to the equation

$$\frac{1}{U_n(P_2, Q_2)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(P_1, Q_1)}{x^{k+1}},$$

for certain pairs  $(P_1, Q_1) \neq (P_2, Q_2)$ .

There are many other nice results in the literature dealing with Diophantine equations related to base b representations and binary linear recurrence sequences. Bravo and Luca [1] completely solved the equation  $F_m + F_n = 2^a$ . Chim and Ziegler [2] generalized their result, they solved the equation  $F_{n_1} + F_{n_2} = 2^{m_1} + 2^{m_2} + 2^{m_3}$  in non-negative integers  $(n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2, m_3)$ . Luca [5] proved that 55 is the largest Fibonacci number whose decimal expansion uses only one distinct digit.

In this article we study the integral solutions (x, y) of the equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(P_1, Q_1)}{x^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(P_2, Q_2)}{y^{k+1}}.$$
(1)

Using elementary number theory we have the following results. For a given polynomial f(x) over the integers let  $m(f) = \max\{|x| : f(x) = 0\}$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $P_1, Q_1, P_2, Q_2$  be non-zero integers such that  $(P_1, Q_1) \neq (P_2, Q_2)$ . If  $(P_2^2 - P_1^2) + 4(Q_1 - Q_2) = d_1d_2 \neq 0$  and  $d_1 - d_2 \equiv -2P_1 \pmod{4}, d_1 + d_2 \equiv -2P_2 \pmod{4}$ , then the positive integral solutions x, y of

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(P_1, Q_1)}{x^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(P_2, Q_2)}{y^{k+1}}$$

satisfy

$$x = \frac{d_1 - d_2 + 2P_1}{4} > m(x^2 - P_1 x + Q_1), \quad y = \frac{d_1 + d_2 + 2P_2}{4} > m(x^2 - P_2 x + Q_2).$$

If  $(P_2^2 - P_1^2) + 4(Q_1 - Q_2) = 0$  and  $P_1 \equiv P_2 \pmod{2}$ , then the positive integral solutions x, y of

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(P_1, Q_1)}{x^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(P_2, Q_2)}{y^{k+1}}$$

satisfy

$$x > m(x^2 - P_1 x + Q_1), \quad y = \pm x + \frac{P_2 \mp P_1}{2} > m(x^2 - P_2 x + Q_2),$$

where  $Q_2 = Q_1 + \frac{P_2^2 - P_1^2}{4}$ .

Consider the equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_k(a_2, a_1, a_0)}{x^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_k(b_2, b_1, b_0)}{y^{k+1}},$$
(2)

where  $T_n$  denotes the generalized Tribonacci sequence defined by  $T_0(p,q,r) = T_1(p,q,r) = 0$ ,  $T_2(p,q,r) = 1$  and

$$T_n(p,q,r) = pT_{n-1}(p,q,r) + qT_{n-2}(p,q,r) + rT_{n-3}(p,q,r) \quad \text{if } n \ge 3.$$

**Theorem 2.** If (x, y) is an integral solution of (2) for given  $(a_2, a_1, a_0) \neq (b_2, b_1, b_0)$ , then either

$$9(a_2^2 - b_2^2 + 3a_1 - 3b_1)y + 2a_2^3 - 3a_2^2b_2 + b_2^3 + 9a_1a_2 - 9a_1b_2 + 27a_0 - 27b_0 = 0$$

or in case of |y| > B we have

$$|3x - 3y - a_2 + b_2| < C,$$

where B, C are constants depending only on  $a_i, b_i, i = 0, 1, 2$ .

#### 2 Auxiliary results

In the proofs we will use the following two results of Köhler [4].

**Theorem A.** Let  $A_0, A_1, a_0, a_1$  be arbitrary complex numbers. Define the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  by the recursion  $a_{n+1} = A_0a_n + A_1a_{n-1}$ . Then the formula

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{z^{k+1}} = \frac{a_0 z - A_0 a_0 + a_1}{z^2 - A_0 z - A_1}$$

holds for all complex z such that |z| is larger than the absolute values of the zeros of  $z^2 - A_0 z - A_1$ .

**Theorem B.** Let arbitrary complex numbers  $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_m, a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_m$  be given. Define the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  by the recursion

$$a_{n+1} = A_0 a_n + A_1 a_{n-1} + \ldots + A_m a_{n-m}$$

Then for all complex z such that |z| is larger than the absolute values of all zeros of  $q(z) = z^{m+1} - A_0 z^m - A_1 z^{m-1} - \ldots - A_m$ , the formula

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k-1}}{z^k} = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)}$$

holds with  $p(z) = a_0 z^m + b_1 z^{m-1} + \ldots + b_m$ , where  $b_k = a_k - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A_i a_{k-1-i}$  for  $1 \le k \le m$ .

#### **3 Proofs of the results**

Proof of Theorem 1. By applying Theorem A to equation (1) we get that

$$\frac{1}{x^2 - P_1 x + Q_1} = \frac{1}{y^2 - P_2 y + Q_2}$$

By algebraic manipulations we obtain the equation

$$(2y + 2x - P_1 - P_2)(2y - 2x + P_1 - P_2) = P_2^2 - P_1^2 + 4(Q_1 - Q_2).$$
 (3)

If  $P_2^2 - P_1^2 + 4(Q_1 - Q_2) \neq 0$ , then for all  $d_1|P_2^2 - P_1^2 + 4(Q_1 - Q_2)$  we consider the following system of equations

$$2y + 2x - P_1 - P_2 = d_1,$$
  

$$2y - 2x + P_1 - P_2 = d_2 = \frac{P_2^2 - P_1^2 + 4(Q_1 - Q_2)}{d_1}$$

We obtain integral solutions if  $d_1 - d_2 \equiv -2P_1 \pmod{4}$  and  $d_1 + d_2 \equiv -2P_2 \pmod{4}$ . In this case the solutions are given by

$$x = \frac{d_1 - d_2 + 2P_1}{4}$$
 and  $y = \frac{d_1 + d_2 + 2P_2}{4}$ 

If  $P_2^2 - P_1^2 + 4(Q_1 - Q_2) = 0$ , then

$$Q_2 = Q_1 + \frac{P_2^2 - P_1^2}{4}$$

and  $Q_2$  is an integer if  $P_1 \equiv P_2 \pmod{2}$ . There are two possible cases, either  $2y + 2x - P_1 - P_2 = 0$  or  $2y - 2x + P_1 - P_2 = 0$ . In the former case we have  $y = -x + \frac{P_1 + P_2}{2}$  and in the latter one we get  $y = x + \frac{P_2 - P_1}{2}$ .

Proof of Theorem 2. Using Köhler's results given in Theorem B, equation (2) yields that

$$\frac{1}{x^3 - a_2 x^2 - a_1 x - a_0} = \frac{1}{y^3 - b_2 y^2 - b_1 y - b_0}.$$

Hence

$$H(x,y) = x^{3} - a_{2}x^{2} - a_{1}x - a_{0} - y^{3} + b_{2}y^{2} + b_{1}y + b_{0} = 0.$$

This equation satisfies Runge's condition [6] therefore in case when H(x, y) is irreducible over the rationals there exist only finitely many integral solutions (x, y). We obtain that

$$0 = 27H(x, y) = (3x - 3y - a_2 + b_2)G(x, y) - I(y),$$

where  $G(x, y) = 9x^2 + 9xy + 9y^2 - 3(2a_2 + b_2)x - 3(a_2 + 2b_2)y - 2a_2^2 + a_2b_2 + b_2^2 - 9a_1$ and  $I(y) = 9(a_2^2 - b_2^2 + 3a_1 - 3b_1)y + 2a_2^3 - 3a_2^2b_2 + b_2^3 + 9a_1a_2 - 9a_1b_2 + 27a_0 - 27b_0$ . Here we may have that I(y) is identically equal to 0, then H(x, y) is reducible over the rationals. In this case solutions can be obtained from  $3x - 3y - a_2 + b_2 = 0$  or G(x, y) = 0. Now assume that  $I(y) \neq 0$ . We obtain that

$$3x - 3y - a_2 + b_2 = \frac{I(y)}{G(x,y)} = \frac{4I(y)}{27y^2 - 18b_2y - 12a_2^2 + 3b_2^2 - 36a_1 + (6x + 3y - (2a_2 + b_2))^2}$$

Here one can determine a bound B for |y| such that if |y| > B, then

$$27 y^2 - 18 b_2 y - 12 a_2^2 + 3 b_2^2 - 36 a_1 + (6x + 3y - (2a_2 + b_2))^2 > 26y^2$$

Thus

$$|3x - 3y - a_2 + b_2| < \frac{4I(y)}{26y^2}.$$

Since I(y) is linear we get that

$$\frac{4|I(y)|}{26y^2} < C$$

for some positive constant C and the statement follows.

## **4** Applications of the results

**Example 1.** As an application of Theorem 1 consider the following example. Let  $(P_1, Q_1) = (1, -1)$  and  $(P_2, Q_2) = (18, 1)$ . We have that  $(P_2^2 - P_1^2) + 4(Q_1 - Q_2) = (18^2 - 1) + 4(-1 - 1) = 315$ . We obtain a system of equations given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 2y + 2x - 19 & = & d_1, \\ 2y - 2x - 17 & = & \frac{315}{d_1}, \end{array}$$

where

 $d_1 \in \{\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 7, \pm 9, \pm 15, \pm 21, \pm 35, \pm 45, \pm 63, \pm 105, \pm 315\}.$ 

The solutions are as follows

$$\begin{array}{ll} (x,y) &\in & \{(79,-70), (26,-18), (15,-8), (10,-4), (7,-2), (2,0), (-1,0), (-6,-2), \\ &\quad (-9,-4), (-14,-8), (-25,-18), (-78,-70), (-78,88), (-25,36), (-14,26), \\ &\quad (-9,22), (-6,20), (-1,18), (2,18), (7,20), (10,22), (15,26), (26,36), (79,88)\}. \end{array}$$

Here we have  $m(x^2 - x - 1) \approx 1.618$  so  $x \ge 2$  and  $m(x^2 - 18x + 1) \approx 17.944$  hence  $y \ge 18$ . Thus the solutions are as follows

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(1,-1)}{2^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(18,1)}{18^{k+1}} = 1, \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(1,-1)}{7^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(18,1)}{20^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{41}, \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(1,-1)}{10^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(18,1)}{22^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{89}, \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(1,-1)}{15^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(18,1)}{26^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{209}, \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(1,-1)}{26^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(18,1)}{36^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{649}, \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(1,-1)}{79^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(18,1)}{88^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{6161}. \end{split}$$

53

**Example 2.** As a next example consider the case with  $(P_1, Q_1) = (1, -1)$  and  $(P_2, Q_2) = (2t + 1, t^2 + t - 1)$ . We get that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(1,-1)}{x^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(2t+1,t^2+t-1)}{(x+t)^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{x^2-x-1}$$

for  $x \ge 2$ .

**Example 3.** Consider the positive integral solutions x, y of the equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_k(-1,7,3)}{x^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_k(5,-5,-3)}{y^{k+1}}.$$

Theorem B implies that

$$\frac{1}{x^3 + x^2 - 7x - 3} = \frac{1}{y^3 - 5y^2 + 5y + 3},$$

therefore we get

$$x^{3} + x^{2} - 7x - 3 = y^{3} - 5y^{2} + 5y + 3.$$

Following the proof of Theorem 2 we have

$$H(x,y) = x^{3} + x^{2} - 7x - y^{3} + 5y^{2} - 5y - 6 = 0.$$

We determine G(x, y) and I(y), these are given by

$$G(x,y) = 9x^{2} + 9xy + 9y^{2} - 9x - 27y - 45, \quad I(y) = 108y - 108.$$

If I(y) = 0, then y = 1 and it follows that  $x^3 + x^2 - 7x - 3 = 4$ , that is x = -1. Hence we do not get positive integral solutions in this case. Assume that  $I(y) \neq 0$ . We get that

$$3x - 3y + 6 = \frac{4(108y - 108)}{9x^2 + 9xy + 9y^2 - 9x - 27y - 45}.$$

It can be written as

$$3x - 3y + 6 = \frac{4(108y - 108)}{27y^2 - 90y - 189 + (6x + 3y - 3)^2}$$

We have that  $26y^2 < 27y^2 - 90y - 189 + (6x + 3y - 3)^2$  for positive integers if  $y \ge 93$ . It follows that |3x - 3y + 6| < 1 if  $y \ge 93$ . That is 3x - 3y + 6 = 0, so we obtain that I(y) = 0, a contradiction. It remains to deal with the values of y for which  $3 = m(x^3 - 5x^2 + 5x + 3) \le y \le 93$ . Using SageMath [8] we obtain that the only integral solutions in this range are given by x = -3, y = 3 and x = -2, y = 4, so we do not get positive integral solutions.

Example 4. As a second application of Theorem 2 let us consider the equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_k(-4,-5,-6)}{x^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_k(1,8,18)}{y^{k+1}}.$$

Here we obtain that

$$H(x,y) = x^{3} - y^{3} + 4x^{2} + y^{2} + 5x + 8y + 24,$$
  

$$G(x,y) = 9x^{2} + 9xy + 9y^{2} + 21x + 6y + 10,$$
  

$$I(y) = -216y - 598.$$

The equation I(y) = 0 does not have integral solutions. We obtain that  $4G(x, y) > 26y^2$  if y > 18 and |3x - 3y + 5| < 1 if y > 30. Hence we have that if y > 30, then 3x - 3y + 5 = 0, therefore I(y) = 0, a contradiction. It remains to deal with the cases  $y \in [5, 6, ..., 30]$ . It follows that the only positive solution is given by (x, y) = (9, 11), that is we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_k(-4, -5, -6)}{9^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_k(1, 8, 18)}{11^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{1104}$$

**Example 5.** Finally let us describe an example with identically zero I(y), in which case we obtain infinitely many solutions. Let  $(a_2, a_1, a_0) = (1, 6, 5)$  and  $(b_2, b_1, b_0) = (4, 1, 1)$ . It follows that

$$H(x,y) = x^{3} - y^{3} - x^{2} + 4y^{2} - 6x + y - 4,$$
  

$$G(x,y) = 9x^{2} + 9xy + 9y^{2} - 18x - 27y - 36,$$
  

$$I(y) = 0.$$

We obtain that either 3x - 3y + 3 = 0 or G(x, y) = 0. In the former case y = x + 1 and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_k(1,6,5)}{x^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_k(4,1,1)}{(x+1)^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{x^3 - x^2 - 6x - 5}, \quad x \ge 4.$$

In the latter case we have that

$$0 = 12G(x, y) = 3(6x + 3y - 6)^{2} + (9y - 12)^{2} - 684.$$

We do not get new integral solutions since the equation  $(9y - 12)^2 + 3(6x + 3y - 6)^2 = 684$  has no solutions in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

#### Acknowledgement

The authors express their gratitude to the referees for careful reading of the manuscript and many valuable suggestions, which improve the quality of this paper. This work was partially supported by the European Union and the European Social Fund through project EFOP-3.6.1-16-2016-00022 (Sz.T.). The research was supported in part by grants K115479 and K128088 (Sz.T.) of the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research. The work of H. R. Hashim was supported by the Stipendium Hungaricum Scholarship.

### References

- [1] Bravo, J. J. & Luca, F. (2016). On the Diophantine equation  $F_n + F_m = 2^a$ , Quaest. Math., 39 (3), 391–400.
- [2] Chim, K. C. & Ziegler, V. (2018). On Diophantine equations involving sums of Fibonacci numbers and powers of 2, *Integers* 18, Article A99, 30.
- [3] Hashim, H. R. & Tengely, Sz. (2018). Representations of reciprocals of Lucas sequences. *Miskolc Mathematical Notes*, 19 (2), 865–872.
- [4] Köhler, G. (1985). Generating functions of Fibonacci-like sequences and decimal expansions of some fractions. *Fibonacci Quart.*, 23 (1), 29–35.
- [5] Luca, F. (2000). Fibonacci and Lucas numbers with only one distinct digit, *Portugal. Math.*, 57 (2), 243–254.
- [6] Runge, C. (1887). Über ganzzahlige Lösungen von Gleichungen zwischen zwei Veränderlichen. J. Reine Angew. Math., 100, 425–435.
- [7] Stancliff, F. (1953). A curious property of  $a_{ii}$ . Scripta Math., 19, 126.
- [8] Stein, W. A. et al. (2019). *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 8.6)*. The Sage Development Team. Available online: http://www.sagemath.org.
- [9] Tengely, Sz. (2015). On the Lucas sequence equation  $\frac{1}{U_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{U_{k-1}}{x^k}$ . Period. Math. Hung., 71 (2), 236–242.
- [10] de Weger, B. M. M. (1995). A curious property of the eleventh Fibonacci number. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 25 (3), 977–994.