Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics Print ISSN 1310–5132, Online ISSN 2367–8275 Vol. 24, 2018, No. 4, 11–17 DOI: 10.7546/nntdm.2018.24.4.11-17

# An identical equation for arithmetic functions of several variables and applications

Vichian Laohakosol<sup>1</sup> and Pinthira Tangsupphathawat<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900, Thailand e-mail: fscivil@ku.ac.th

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology Phranakorn Rajabhat University, Bangkok 10220, Thailand e-mails: t.pinthira@hotmail.com, pinthira@pnru.ac.th

Received: 16 March 2018 Revised: 12 October 2018 Accepted: 26 October 2018

**Abstract:** An identical equation for arithmetic functions is proved generalizing the 2-variable case due to Venkataraman. It is then applied to characterize multiplicative functions which are variable-separated, and to deduce interesting properties of generalized Ramanujan sums. **Keywords:** Arithmetic function of several variables, Identical equation, Multiplicative functions, Completely multiplicative functions.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A25.

#### **1** Introduction

For  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{A}_r := \{F : \mathbb{N}^r \to \mathbb{C}\}$  be the set of complex-valued arithmetic functions in r variables. For  $F, G \in \mathcal{A}_r$ , their addition and Dirichlet convolution are defined, respectively, by

$$(F+G)(n_1,\ldots,n_r) = F(n_1,\ldots,n_r) + G(n_1,\ldots,n_r)$$
  
(F \* G)(n\_1,\ldots,n\_r) =  $\sum_{d_1|n_1} \cdots \sum_{d_r|n_r} F(d_1,\ldots,d_r)G(n_1/d_1,\ldots,n_r/d_r).$ 

For a general reference, see also [3]. For  $F \in A_r$ , define its *i*-th (i = 1, ..., r) component function  $F_i \in A_1$  to be

$$F_i(n) = F(1, \ldots, 1, n, 1, \ldots, 1),$$

where the right-hand n appears at the *i*-th coordinate. Denote by  $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{A}_r$  the **constant** 1 **function**, i.e.,  $\mathbf{1}(n_1, \ldots, n_r) \equiv 1$  and by  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathcal{A}_r$  the **constant** 0 **function**, i.e.,  $\mathbf{0}(n_1, \ldots, n_r) \equiv 0$ . A function  $F \in \mathcal{A}_r \setminus \{0\}$  is said to be **multiplicative** if

$$F(m_1n_1,\ldots,m_rn_r)=F(m_1,\ldots,m_r)F(n_1,\ldots,n_r)$$

holds for any  $m_1, \ldots, m_r, n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $gcd(m_1 \cdots m_r, n_1 \cdots n_r) = 1$ , and let  $\mathcal{M}_r := \{F \in \mathcal{A}_r ; F \text{ is multiplicative}\}$ . Clearly, if  $F \in \mathcal{M}_r$ , then  $F(1, \ldots, 1) = 1$ . A function  $F \in \mathcal{A}_r \setminus \{0\}$  is said to be **firmly multiplicative** if

$$F(m_1n_1,\ldots,m_rn_r)=F(m_1,\ldots,m_r)F(n_1,\ldots,n_r)$$

holds for any  $m_1, \ldots, m_r, n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $gcd(m_1, n_1) = \cdots = gcd(m_r, n_r) = 1$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}_r := \{F \in \mathcal{A}_r ; F \text{ is firmly multiplicative}\}$ . A function  $F \in \mathcal{A}_r \setminus \{0\}$  is said to be **completely multiplicative** if

$$F(m_1n_1,\ldots,m_rn_r)=F(m_1,\ldots,m_r)F(n_1,\ldots,n_r)$$

holds for any  $m_1, \ldots, m_r, n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let

 $C_r := \{F \in A_r ; F \text{ is completely multiplicative}\}.$ 

Clearly,  $C_r \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_r \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_r$ . Note that there is no universal agreement regarding multiplicative functions, e.g., in [1], the term 'multiplicative' is used for 'firmly multiplicative'.

In the 1-variable case, the Souriau–Hsu–Möbius function ([2,6]) is defined, for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , by

$$\mu_{\alpha}(n) = \prod_{p|n} \binom{\alpha}{\nu_p(n)} (-1)^{\nu_p(n)},$$

where  $n = \prod p^{\nu_p(n)}$  denotes the unique prime factorization of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\nu_p(n)$  being the largest exponent of the prime p that divides n; when  $\alpha = 1$ , this corresponds to the classical Möbius function  $\mu_1 := \mu$ . The r-variable Souriau–Hsu–Möbius function is defined by

$$M_{\alpha}(n_1,\ldots,n_r) = \mu_{\alpha}(n_1)\cdots\mu_{\alpha}(n_r).$$

The following basic results are easily checked, cf. [7].

**I.** The set  $(A_r, +, *)$  is commutative ring with identity I (with respect to \*), where

$$I(n_1...,n_r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n_1 = \dots = n_r = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n_1 \dots n_r > 1; \end{cases}$$

note that  $I(n_1...,n_r) = \delta(n_1)\cdots\delta(n_r)$ , where  $\delta \in A_1$  is the 1-dimensional identity function with respect to the Dirichlet convolution, i.e.,  $\delta(n) = 1$  if n = 1, and  $\delta(n) = 0$  if n > 1;

**II.** A function  $F \in A_r$  has a uniquely determined inverse with respect to the convolution, denoted by  $F^{-1}$ , if and only if  $F(1, ..., 1) \neq 0$ ;

**III.** The inverse of 1 is the Möbius function  $M_1(n_1, \ldots, n_r) = \mu(n_1) \cdots \mu(n_r)$ .

#### 2 Identitical equation and applications

The following basic results are easily derived ([7, Propositions 1, 2]).

**Proposition 2.1. I.** A function  $F \in A_r$  is firmly multiplicative if and only if there exist multiplicative functions  $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in \mathcal{M}_1$  (each of a single variable) such that

$$F(n_1,\ldots,n_r) = f_1(n_1)\cdots f_r(n_r) \quad (n_1,\ldots,n_r \in \mathbb{N}).$$

In this case,  $f_i$  (i = 1, ..., r) is the *i*-th component function of F, *i.e.*,  $f_i(n) = F_i(n)$ .

**II.** A function  $F \in A_r$  is completely multiplicative if and only if there exist completely multiplicative functions  $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in C_1$  (each of a single variable) such that

$$F(n_1,\ldots,n_r) = f_1(n_1)\cdots f_r(n_r) \quad (n_1,\ldots,n_r \in \mathbb{N}).$$

In this case,  $f_i$  (i = 1, ..., r) is the *i*-th component function of *F*, *i.e.*,  $f_i(n) = F_i(n)$ .

Proposition 2.1 suggests the following notion. Let  $F \in A_r$ . We say that F is variableseparated, denoted by  $F \in V_{sep}$ , if F can be written as

$$F(n_1, \dots, n_r) = f_1(n_1) f_2(n_2) \cdots f_r(n_r),$$
(2.1)

where  $f_i \in A_1$  and  $f_i(1) = f_j(1) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$   $(1 \leq i, j \leq r)$ . For  $F \in V_{sep}$  as given in (2.1), if  $F \in \mathcal{M}_r$ , then  $f_1(1) = \cdots = f_r(1) = 1$ . Note also that the concept of variableseparated function is trivial in the 1-variable case. Variable-separated functions are plentiful, because most well-known classical functions such as the 1-constant function 1, the generalized Möbius function  $M_{\alpha}$ , the convolution identity function I and all firmly multilicative functions ([7]), are variable-separated. Yet, there are indeed arithmetic functions which are not variableseparated as seen from the example of the 2-variable function  $f(n_1, n_2) := \gcd(n_1, n_2) \in \mathcal{A}_2$ , which is multiplicative, but not variable-separated.

Proposition 2.1 indicates that firmly and completely multiplicative functions belong to  $V_{sep}$ , and it is mentioned in [7, p. 5] that there is no similar characterization for multiplicative functions. This is only partially true as we shall give a characterization based on the notion of cardinal function, which generalizes the 2-variable case of Venkataraman [8, Theorem 3.1.2]. To do so, we need an r-variable version of the so-called **identical equation**. In the 1-variable case, it is well-known that a function  $f \in \mathcal{M}_1$  satisfies an identity called its identical equation of the form

$$f(nr) = \sum_{t|n} \sum_{d|r} f(n/t) f(r/d) f^{-1}(td) \psi(t,d),$$

where  $\psi(n,r) = \begin{cases} (-1)^v & \text{if } n \text{ and } r \text{ contain the same distinct } v \text{ prime factors,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

This result is generalized to the 2-variable case by Venkataraman [8, p. 522], see also [5, Chapter VII]. Before stating Venkatarama's result, we need one more definition. A function  $F(n_1, \ldots, n_r) \in \mathcal{M}_r$  is called **cardinal function** if all its component functions are equal to

the 1-dimensional identity function, i.e.,  $F_i(n) = \delta(n)$  (i = 1, ..., r). The identical equation of Venkataraman ([8, Theorem 3.1.2]) states that: every multiplicative function  $f(n, r) \in \mathcal{M}_2$ satisfies the identity

$$f(n,r) = \sum_{d_1|n_1} \sum_{d_2|n_2} f(n_1/d_1, 1) f(1, n_2/d_2) K(d_1, d_2),$$

where  $K(n_1, n_2) = \sum_{d_1|n_1} \sum_{d_2|n_2} f^{-1}(n_1/d_1, 1) f^{-1}(1, n_2/d_2) f(d_1, d_2)$  is a cardinal function. Our generalization of Venkataraman's result is:

**Theorem 2.2.** If  $F(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r) \in \mathcal{M}_r$ , then

$$F(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = (F_1 F_2 \cdots F_r) * K (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r)$$
  
=  $\sum_{d_1|n_1} \sum_{d_2|n_2} \cdots \sum_{d_r|n_r} F_1(n_1/d_1) F_2(n_2/d_2) \cdots F_r(n_r/d_r) K_F(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r),$ 

where  $F_i \in \mathcal{M}_1$   $(1 \le i \le r)$  is the *i*-th component function of *F*, and  $K_F$  is a cardinal function, called the **cardinal component** of *F*, defined by

$$K_F(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = (F_1^{-1} F_2^{-1} \cdots F_r^{-1}) * F(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r)$$
  
=  $\sum_{d_1|n_1} \sum_{d_2|n_2} \cdots \sum_{d_r|n_r} F_1^{-1}(n_1/d_1) F_2^{-1}(n_2/d_2) \cdots F_r^{-1}(n_r/d_r) F(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r).$ 

*Proof.* Since  $f \in \mathcal{M}_r$ , all its component functions are also multiplicative, i.e.,  $F_j \in \mathcal{M}_1$ , and so is the function  $g(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r) := F_1(n_1)F_2(n_2)\cdots F_r(n_r) \in \mathcal{M}_r$ , considered as a function in r variables  $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ . It is easily checked that

$$g^{-1}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = F_1^{-1}(n_1)F_2^{-1}(n_2)\cdots F_r^{-1}(n_r).$$

Thus,

$$K_F(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = \sum_{d_1|n_1} \sum_{d_2|n_2} \cdots \sum_{d_r|n_r} g^{-1}(n_1/d_1, n_2/d_2, \dots, n_r/d_r) F(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r)$$
  
=  $(g^{-1} * F)(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r)$ 

is a cardinal function with  $F(n_1, n_2, ..., n_r) = (g * K)(n_1, n_2, ..., n_r)$ , as desired.

We now return to the problem of characterizing multiplicative functions in  $V_{sep}$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $F \in \mathcal{M}_r$ . Then  $F \in V_{sep}$  if and only if  $K_F(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ , the cardinal component of F, is equal to  $\delta(n_1)\delta(n_2)\cdots\delta(n_r)$ .

*Proof.* If the cardinal component of F is  $\delta(n_1)\delta(n_2)\cdots\delta(n_r)$ , using Venkataraman's identity (Theorem 2.2), we have

$$F(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = \sum_{d_1|n_1} \sum_{d_2|n_2} \cdots \sum_{d_r|n_r} F_1(n_1/d_1) F_2(n_2/d_2) \cdots F_r(n_r/d_r) \delta(d_1) \delta(d_2) \cdots \delta(d_r)$$
  
=  $(F_1 * \delta)(n_1)(F_2 * \delta)(n_2) \cdots (F_r * \delta)(n_r) = F_1(n_1)F_2(n_2) \cdots F_r(n_r) \in V_{sep}.$ 

Assume next that  $F(n_1, n_2, ..., n_r) = f_1(n_1)f_2(n_2)\cdots f_r(n_r) \in V_{sep}$ . Since  $F \in \mathcal{M}_r$ , it is easily checked that  $f_i \in \mathcal{M}_1$   $(1 \le i \le r)$ , and so  $f_i(1) = 1$   $(1 \le i \le r)$ . Now the component functions of F satisfy  $F_i(n) = f_1(1)\cdots f_{i-1}(1)f_i(n)f_{i+1}(1)\cdots f_r(1) = f_i(n)$   $(1 \le i \le r)$ , so that  $f_i$  is simply the *i*-th component function of F. Thus, the cardinal component of f becomes

$$K(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = \sum_{d_1|n_1} \cdots \sum_{d_r|n_r} F_1^{-1}(n_1/d_1) \cdots F_r^{-1}(n_r/d_r) F_1(d_1) \cdots F_r(d_r)$$
$$= (F_1^{-1} * F_1)(n_1) \cdots (F_r^{-1} * F_r)(n_r) = \delta(n_1) \cdots \delta(n_r).$$

In order to apply Theorem 2.2, we introduce another definition. Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_1$ . A multiplication  $P_{\alpha}(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$  is called a **principal function** equivalent to  $\alpha$  if

$$P_{\alpha}(n_1, \dots, n_r) = \begin{cases} \alpha(n_1) & \text{if } n_1 = \dots = n_r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Example 1.** Let  $\Delta(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n_2 \mid n_1, n_3 \mid n_1, \dots, n_r \mid n_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \in \mathcal{A}_r.$  It is easily

checked that  $\Delta \in \mathcal{M}_r$  and  $\Delta_1(n) = 1 = u(n)$ , while each of its remaining component functions is the 1-dimensional identity function, i.e.,  $\Delta_i(n) = \delta(n)$   $(2 \le i \le r)$ , so that  $\Delta$  is a cardinal function. By Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\Delta(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r) = (u(n_1)\delta(n_2)\cdots\delta(n_r)) * K_{\delta}(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r),$$

where

$$K_{\delta}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = (\mu(n_1)\delta(n_2)\cdots\delta(n_r)) * \Delta (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r)$$
  
=  $\sum_{d_1|n_1} \mu(n_1/d_1)\Delta(d_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = \begin{cases} 1 = u(n_1) & \text{if } n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$ 

i.e., the cardinal component of  $\Delta$  is a principal function equivalent to u, and so

$$\Delta(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = (u(n_1)\delta(n_2)\cdots\delta(n_r)) * P_u(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r).$$

**Example 2.** Our next example deals with two possible versions of a generalized Ramanujan sum of several variables.

A. For  $r \ge 2$ , the *r*-dimensional type I generalized Ramanujan sum (cf. [4] for the case of 2 variables) of order  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  is defined as

$$C^{(\alpha)}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) := c^{(\alpha)}(n_1, n_2) c^{(\alpha)}(n_1, n_3) \cdots c^{(\alpha)}(n_1, n_r) \in \mathcal{M}_r$$

$$= \sum_{d_2 | \gcd(n_1, n_2)} d_2 \mu_{\alpha}(n_2/d_2) \sum_{d_3 | \gcd(n_1, n_3)} d_3 \mu_{\alpha}(n_3/d_3) \cdots \sum_{d_r | \gcd(n_1, n_r)} d_r \mu_{\alpha}(n_r/d_r)$$
(2.2)
$$= \sum_{m_2 (\text{mod } n_2)} \mu_{\alpha-1} \left( \gcd(m_2, n_2) \right) e^{2\pi i m_2 n_1/n_2} \cdots \sum_{m_r (\text{mod } n_r)} \mu_{\alpha-1} \left( \gcd(m_r, n_r) \right) e^{2\pi i m_r n_1/n_r}.$$

Its component functions are  $C_1^{(\alpha)}(n) = 1 =: u(n), \ C_i^{(\alpha)}(n) = \mu_{\alpha}(n) \ (2 \le i \le r)$ . Thus, its identical equation is

$$C^{(\alpha)}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = (u(n_1)\mu_{\alpha}(n_2)\cdots\mu_{\alpha}(n_r)) * K_C(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r),$$

where

$$K_C(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = \left(u^{-1}(n_1)\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}(n_2)\cdots\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}(n_r)\right) * C^{(\alpha)}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r)$$
  
=  $(\mu(n_1)\mu_{-\alpha}(n_2)\cdots\mu_{-\alpha}(n_r)) * C^{(\alpha)}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r).$ 

To simplify  $K_C$ , we introduce the function  $\eta(n_1, n_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n_2 \mid n_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \in \mathcal{M}_2.$  Thus, (2.2) becomes

$$C^{(\alpha)}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = \sum_{d_2 \mid n_2} \eta(n_1, d_2) \mu_{\alpha}(n_2/d_2) \cdots \sum_{d_r \mid n_r} \eta(n_1, d_r) \mu_{\alpha}(n_r/d_r).$$
(2.3)

Using (2.3), we get

$$K_C(n_1, \dots, n_r) = \sum_{d_1e_1=n_1} \sum_{d_2e_2=n_2} \cdots \sum_{d_re_r=n_r} \mu(d_1)\mu_{-\alpha}(d_2) \cdots \mu_{-\alpha}(d_r)C^{(\alpha)}(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r)$$
$$= \sum_{d_1e_1=n_1} \mu(d_1) \sum_{d_2i_2j_2=n_2} \mu_{-\alpha}(d_2)\eta(e_1, i_2)\mu_{\alpha}(j_2) \cdots \sum_{d_re_r=n_r} \mu_{-\alpha}(d_r)\eta(e_1, i_r)\mu_{\alpha}(j_r)$$
$$= \sum_{d_1e_1=n_1} \mu(d_1)\eta(e_1, n_2) \cdots \eta(e_1, n_r).$$

**B.** The  $r(\geq 2)$ -dimensional type II generalized Ramanujan sum of order  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  is defined as

$$D^{(\alpha)}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) := \sum_{\substack{d \mid \gcd(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r)}} d \cdot \mu_{\alpha}(n_2/d_2) \cdots \mu_{\alpha}(n_r/d_r) \in \mathcal{M}_r$$
  
$$= \sum_{d_1 \mid n_1} \sum_{d_2 \mid n_2} \mu_{\alpha}(n_2/d_2) \cdots \sum_{d_r \mid n_r} \mu_{\alpha}(n_r/d_r) P_I(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r)$$
  
$$= (u(n_1)\mu_{\alpha}(n_2) \cdots \mu_{\alpha}(n_r)) * P_I(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r), \qquad (2.4)$$

where  $P_I(n_1, n_2, ..., n_r)$  is the principal function equivalent to the function I(n) = n, i.e.,

$$P_I(n_1,\ldots,n_r) = \begin{cases} I(n_1) = n_1 & \text{if } n_1 = \cdots = n_r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The component functions of  $D^{(\alpha)}$  are  $D_1^{(\alpha)}(n) = 1 = u(n), \ D_i^{(\alpha)}(n) = \mu_{\alpha}(n) \ (2 \le i \le r).$ Thus, its identical equation is

$$D^{(\alpha)}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = (u(n_1)\mu_{\alpha}(n_2)\cdots\mu_{\alpha}(n_r)) * K_D(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r),$$
(2.5)

where  $K_D(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r) = (u^{-1}(n_1)\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}(n_2)\cdots\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}(n_r)) * D^{(\alpha)}(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r)$ . Comparing (2.4) with (2.5), we get  $K_D(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r) = P_I(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r)$ .

## Acknowledgements

The first author is supported by the Center for Advanced Studies in Industrial Technology and the Faculty of Science, Kasetsart University. The second author is supported by Phranakorn Rajabhat University.

### References

- [1] Alkan, E., Zaharescu, A., & Zaki, M. (2005) Arithmetical functions in several variables, *Int. J. Number Theory*, 1(3), 383–399.
- [2] Brown, T. C., Hsu, L. C., Wang, J., & Shiue, P. J.-S. (2000) On a certain kind of generalized number-theoretical Möbius function, *Math. Sci.*, 25(2), 72–77.
- [3] Haukkanen, P. (2018) Derivation of arithmetical functions under the Dirichlet convolution, *Int. J. Number Theory*, 14(05), 1257–1264.
- [4] Laohakosol, V., Ruengsinsub, P., & Pabhapote, N. (2006) Ramanujan sums via generalized Möbius functions and applications, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, Volume 2006, Article ID 60528, 34 pages.
- [5] Sivaramakrishnan, R. (1989) *Classical Theory of Arithmetic Functions*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 126, Marcel Dekker, New York.
- [6] Souriau, J.-M. (1944) Généralisation de certaines formules arithmétiques d' inversion. *Applications, Revue Scientific (Rev. Rose Illus.)*, 82, 204–211.
- [7] Tóth, L. (2014) Multiplicative arithmetic functions of several vatiables: a survey. *Mathematics Without Boundaries: Surveys in Pure Mathematics*, edited by Themistocles M. Rassias, Panos M. Pardalos, pp. 483-514, Springer, New York.
- [8] Venkataraman, C. S. (1946) A new identical equation for multiplicative functions of two arguments and its applications to Ramanujan's sum  $C_M(N)$ , *Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci.*, XXIV(Ser. A), 518–529.