Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics ISSN 1310–5132 Vol. 20, 2014, No. 3, 29–30

# **Remark on twin primes**

## József Sándor

Babeş-Bolyai University, Department of Mathematics Str. Kogălniceanu nr. 1, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania e-mail: jsandor@math.ubbcluj.ro

**Abstract:** Recently, I. Gueye [2] proved a variant of Clement's Theorem on twin primes. We show that, this result follows by a simple identity.

Keywords: Twin primes, Clement's Theorem.

AMS Classification: 11A07, 11A41.

#### **1** Introduction

Clement's Theorem on twin primes (see [1, 2, 3]) states that n+2 and n+4 are both primes if and only if  $4 \cdot [(n+1)! + 1] + n + 2$  is divisible by (n+2)(n+4).

Recently, I. Gueye [2] has proved the following variant: n + 2 and n + 4 are a couple of primes if and only if n(n + 1)! - 2 is divisible by (n + 2)(n + 4).

The aim of this note is to offer a simple proof of this result.

## 2 The proof

Remark that the following identity holds true:

$$n[4(n+1)! + n + 6] = 4[n(n+1)! - 2] + (n+2)(n+4)$$
(1)

Assume first that (n + 2)(n + 4) divides 4(n + 1)! + n + 6. Then, by Clement's Theorem, n + 2 and n + 4 are primes. By Identity (1), n + 2 and n + 4 divide n(n + 1)! - 2.

Reciprocally, suppose that (n + 2)(n + 4) divides n(n + 1)! - 2.

As n + 2 divides n(n + 1)! - 2, *n* must be odd. Indeed, otherwise (n + 2)/2 would be an integer, which divides n(n + 1)! - 1.

On the other hand, as (n + 2)/2 < n + 1, (n + 2)/2 divides (n + 1)!, which is a contradiction. Since *n* is odd, one has (n, (n + 2)(n + 4)) = 1, so (n + 2)(n + 4) divides 4(n + 1)! + n + 6. Thus Clement's Theorem may be applied.

# References

- [1] Clement, P. A. Congruences for sets of primes, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, Vol. 56, 1949, 23–25.
- [2] Gueye, I. A note on twin primes, South Asian J. Math., Vol. 2, 2012, No. 2, 159–161.
- [3] Ribenboim, P. The New Book of Prime Number Records, 3rd ed., Springer Verlag, 1996.