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1 Introduction

An analogue of Möbius function \(\nu_p\) is introduced in the paper titled, “Some properties and application of a new arithmetic function in analytic number theory” [3]. For any two positive integers \(p\) and \(n\), it is defined as follows

\[
\nu_p(n) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\
2^{r-1} & \text{if } n = p^r, r \in \mathbb{N}, p > 1 \\
(-1)^k & \text{if either } p \nmid n \text{ or } p = 1 \text{ and } n \text{ is square-free with } k \text{ distinct primes} \\
(-1)^k2^{r-1} & \text{if } n = p^r m, r \in \mathbb{N}, p \nmid m \text{ and } m \text{ is square-free with } k \text{ distinct primes} \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\] (1)

The following are some simple properties of \(\nu_p\) for prime \(p\) relating with Möbius function.
1. If \( p \nmid n \) and \( n \) is square free, then \( \nu_p(n) = \mu(n) \).

2. If \( n = p^r m, p \nmid m \) and \( m \) is square free, then \( \nu_p(n) = 2^{r-1} \mu(m) \).

3. In particular \( \nu_1(n) = \mu(n), n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Another remarkable property connecting with the function \( \delta_p(n) \) for prime \( p \) is as follows

\[
\sum_{d|n} \delta_p(n/d) \nu_p(d) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\
0 & \text{if } n > 1.
\end{cases}
\]  

For any two integers \( n \) and \( p \), \( \delta_p(n) \) is defined as follows

\[
\delta_p(n) = \begin{cases} 
-1 & \text{if } p|n \text{ and } p > 1 \\
1 & \text{if either } p \nmid n \text{ or } p = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Some applications of this new arithmetic function \( \nu_p \) connecting with infinite products and partition of an integer are studied in Ref[3]. In this present study, some arithmetic properties of \( \nu_p \) are derived with familiar arithmetic function such as Möbius function, Euler totient function etc..

2 Arithmetic properties of analogue of Möbius function \( \nu_p \)

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( f \) be a multiplicative function, and let \( p \) a prime and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). If \( p \nmid m \), then

\[
\sum_{d|p^k m} f(d) = \sum_{d|m} f(d) \times \sum_{j=0}^{k} f(p^j).
\]  

**Proof.**

\[
\sum_{d|p^k m} f(d) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{d|m p^j} f(d)
= \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{d|p^j m} f(d).
\]

Let \( d/p^j = d_1 \). Then

\[
\sum_{d|p^k m} f(d) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{d_1|m} f(d_1 p^j).
\]

Since \( f \) is multiplicative, after simplification, gives (2.1). \( \square \)

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( p \) be a prime and \( p \nmid m \). Then

\[
\sum_{d|p^k m} \nu_p(d) = \begin{cases} 
2^k & m = 1 \\
0 & m \neq 1.
\end{cases}
\]
Proof. Using Theorem 2.1,
\[
\sum_{d|p^km} v_p(d) = \sum_{d|m} v_p(d) \times \sum_{j=0}^{k} v_p(p^j).
\]

From the definition of \(v_p(p^j)\), it is clear that \(v_p(p^j) = 2^{j-1}\). Then
\[
\sum_{d|p^km} v_p(d) = \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) \times \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} 2^{j-1}\right).
\]
\[
= 2^k \sum_{d|m} \mu(d).
\]

If \(m = 1\), then \(v_p(p^km) = 2^k\). Since \(\sum_{d|m} \mu(d) = 0[1, \text{p. 25}]\), \(v_p(p^km) = 0\) for \(m \neq 1\). This completes the theorem. \(\square\)

**Remark 2.3.** It is clear that from the definition of \(v_p\), if \(p \nmid m\) then \(v_p(m) = \mu(m)\).

**Theorem 2.4.** Let \(\phi\) be Euler totient function, and let \(p\) be prime and \(p|m\)
\[
\sum_{d|p^km} \frac{v_p(d)}{d} = \frac{\phi(m)}{m} \left[1 + \frac{p^k - 2^k}{p^k(p - 2)}\right].
\]

**Proof.** Let \(f(n) = v_p(n)/n\) in Theorem 2.1. Then
\[
\sum_{d|p^km} \frac{v_p(d)}{d} = \sum_{d|m} \frac{v_p(d)}{d} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{v_p(p^j)}{p^j}
\]
\[
= \sum_{d|m} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \left[1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} 2^{j-1}/p^j\right].
\]

Using Remark 2.3 and the identity \(\sum_{d|m} \mu(d)/d = \phi(m)/m[1, \text{p. 26}]\), after simplification, gives (2.3). \(\square\)

**Theorem 2.5.** Let \(\phi^{-1}\) is inverse of Euler totient function \(\phi\) with respect to Dirichlet convolution and let \(p\) be prime and \(p \nmid m\)
\[
\sum_{d|p^km} v_p(d)d = \phi^{-1}(m) \left[1 + \frac{2^k p^k - 1}{(2p - 1)}\right].
\]

**Proof.** Let \(f(n) = v_p(n)d\) in Theorem 2.1. Then
\[
\sum_{d|p^km} v_p(d)d = \sum_{d|m} v_p(d) \sum_{j=0}^{k} v_p(p^j)p^j
\]
\[
= \sum_{d|m} \mu(d)d \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{k} 2^{n-1}p^n\right].
\]

Since \(\sum_{d|m} \mu(d)d = \phi^{-1}(m)[1, \text{p. 37}]\), after simplification, gives (2.4). \(\square\)
Theorem 2.6. Let \( p \) be prime and \( p \nmid m \). Then
\[
\sum_{d \mid p^k m} v_p(d)^2 = 2^{v(m)} \left[ 1 + \frac{4^k - 1}{3} \right].
\]
(8)

Where \( v(1) = 0 \), if \( n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \ldots p_k^{a_k} \), then \( v(n) = k \).

Proof. Let \( f(n) = v_p(n) \) in Theorem 2.1. Then
\[
\sum_{d \mid p^k m} v_p(d)^2 = \sum_{d \mid m} v_p(d)^2 \sum_{j=0}^k v_p(p^j)^2
\]
\[
= \sum_{d \mid m} \mu(d)^2 \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^k 2^{2n-2} \right].
\]

Since \( \sum_{d \mid m} \mu(d)^2 = 2^{v(m)} \) [1, p. 45], after simplification, gives (2.5). \( \square \)

3 Generalization of Theorem 2.1

Theorem 3.1. Let \( f \) be a multiplicative function, and let \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k \) are \( k \) any distinct prime numbers and \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{N} \). If each \( p_j \nmid m \), \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), then
\[
\sum_{d \mid p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \ldots p_k^{a_k} m} f(d) = \sum_{d \mid m} f(d) \times \prod_{j=1}^k f(p_j^{a_j+1}) - 1.
\]
(9)

Proof. The left hand side of (3.1) can be written using Theorem 2.1 as follows
\[
\sum_{d \mid p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \ldots p_k^{a_k} m} f(d) = \sum_{d \mid m} f(d) \times \sum_{j_1=0}^{a_1} f(p_1^{j_1}) \times \ldots \times \sum_{j_k=0}^{a_k} f(p_k^{j_k}).
\]

Repeating this process \( k \) times, gives (3.1). This completes the theorem. \( \square \)

Corollary 3.2. If \( f \) be an completely multiplicative function, then
\[
\sum_{d \mid p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \ldots p_k^{a_k} m} f(d) = \sum_{d \mid m} f(d) \times \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{f(p_i)^{a_i+1} - 1}{f(p_i) - 1}.
\]
(10)

Proof. Using Theorem 3.1, gives
\[
\sum_{d \mid p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \ldots p_k^{a_k} m} f(d) = \sum_{d \mid m} f(d) \times \prod_{j_1=0}^{a_1} f(p_1^{j_1}) \times \ldots \times \prod_{j_k=0}^{a_k} f(p_k^{j_k}).
\]
(11)

Since \( f \) is completely multiplicative, for each \( i \)
\[
\sum_{j_i=0}^{a_i} f(p_i^{j_i}) = \frac{f(p_i)^{a_i+1} - 1}{f(p_i) - 1}.
\]
(12)

Using (3.4) in (3.3) and after simplification, gives (3.2). This completes the corollary. \( \square \)
Example 3.3. Let \( f(n) = n^s \), where \( n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \ldots p_k^{a_k} \) in (3.2). Then

\[
\sigma_s(n) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{p_i^{s(a_i+1)} - 1}{p_i^s - 1},
\]

(13)

where \( \sigma_s \) is divisor function.
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