

## On certain bounds and limits for prime numbers

József Sándor

Babeş-Bolyai University of Cluj, Romania

e-mails: jjsandor@hotmail.com, jsandor@math.ubbcluj.ro

**Abstract:** We will consider various limits and inequalities connected with the  $n$ -th prime number.

**Keywords:** Arithmetic functions, primes, estimates.

**AMS Classification:** 11A25, 11N37.

### 1 Introduction

Let  $p$  be the  $n$ -th prime number. By the famous prime number theorem one has  $p_n \sim n \log n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . An equivalent statement is that, if  $\pi(x)$  denotes the number of primes  $\leq x$ , then  $\pi(x) \sim x / \log x$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

Thus, as a corollary, one gets

$$\frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n} \rightarrow 1, \quad \frac{\log p_n}{\log n} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.1)$$

An old result of Euler states that  $\pi(n)/n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , thus as by the first relation of (1.1),  $\left(\frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n}\right)^{\pi(n)/n} \rightarrow 1^0 = 1$ , clearly

$$p_{n+1}^{\pi(n)/n} = \left(\frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n}\right)^{\pi(n)/n} \cdot p_n^{\pi(n)/n} \sim p_n^{\pi(n)/n}. \quad (1.2)$$

Put  $a_n = p_n^{\pi(n)/n}$ . As  $\log a_n = \frac{\pi(n)}{n} \cdot \log p_n \sim \frac{\pi(n)}{n} \cdot \log n$ , by the second relation of (1.1), so by  $\pi(n) \sim \frac{n}{\log n}$ ,  $\log a_n \sim 1$ , thus we have deduced the limit:

$$p_n^{\pi(n)/n} \rightarrow e \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.3)$$

In a recent note [8] we have considered the limit:

$$\frac{p_n}{\sqrt[n]{p_1 \cdots p_n}} \rightarrow e \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

In what follows, by using certain bounds for  $p_1 \dots p_n$  and relations (1.2) and (1.3), we will obtain a new proof of (1.4). Also, we will compare these bounds with certain optimal inequalities connecting  $p_1 \dots p_n$  with the binomial coefficient  $\binom{n^2}{n}$ .

Remarking that the left side of (1.4) could be written also as  $\sqrt[n]{x_n}$ , where  $x_n = p_n^n / p_1 \dots p_n$ , one could ask, if the limit of  $x_{n+1}/x_n$  does exist? Since  $x_{n+1}/x_n = \left(\frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n}\right)^n$ , the limit of this sequence would be of interest to study. We will show that, however, this limit doesn't exist, and in fact, one has

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n}\right)^n = 1 \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n}\right)^n = +\infty. \quad (1.6)$$

Finally, in paper [1] we have introduced the sequence  $(\Delta_n(\lambda))_n$

$$\Delta_n = \Delta_n(\lambda) = \binom{n^2}{n} \cdot \exp(-\lambda p_n),$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is a fixed real number; and proved that it is not monotone increasing. We will show here that the sequence  $(\Delta_n)$  is an Erdős-Turán type sequence.

## 2 Main results

**Theorem 2.1.** *For  $n \geq 10$  one has the double inequality:*

$$e \leq \frac{p_n}{\sqrt[n]{p_1 \dots p_n}} < \frac{p_n}{p_{n+1}} \cdot p_{n+1}^{\pi(n)/n}. \quad (2.1)$$

**Proof.** Letting  $G_n = \sqrt[n]{p_1 \dots p_n}$ , in [5] it is proved that for all  $n \geq 10$  one has  $G_n \leq \frac{1}{e} p_n$ . This implies the left side of (2.1). On the other hand, in [6] it is proved that for  $n \geq 2$  one has  $p_1 \dots p_n > p_{n+1}^{n-\pi(n)}$ . After some transformations, this implies the right side of (2.1).

**Corollary 2.1.** *Relation (1.4) holds true.*

**Proof.** By (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), the right side of (2.1) has limit as  $e$ . By Theorem 2.1, the limit (1.4) follows.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Relations (1.5) and (1.6) hold true.*

**Proof.** Let  $b_n = \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\log p_n}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Clearly, by (1.1),  $b_n \sim \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\log n}$ . In 1931 E. Westzynthius [10] proved a famous result:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = +\infty. \quad (2.2)$$

On the other hand, in 2005 (first published in 2009 [3]) D.A. Goldston, J. Pintz and C.Y. Yildirim proved a very famous conjecture, namely that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

For earlier, or other properties of the sequence  $(b_n)$ , see the monograph [4].

Now, as one has

$$\left(\frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n}\right)^n = \left[\left(1 + \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{p_n}\right)^{p_n/(p_{n+1} - p_n)}\right]^{(p_{n+1} - p_n)n/p_n} = [(1 + t_n)^{1/t_n}]^{q_n},$$

where  $t_n \rightarrow 0$  by (1.1). On the other hand,

$$q_n = (p_{n+1} - p_n)n/p_n \sim \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\log n} \sim b_n.$$

As  $(1 + t_n)^{1/t_n} \rightarrow e$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $e^{+\infty} = +\infty$ ,  $e_0 = 1$ , relations (1.5) resp. (1.6) will follow immediately from (2.3), resp. (2.2).

**Theorem 2.3.** *For all  $n \geq 10$ , one has*

$$\log p_n \geq \frac{1}{n} \log \binom{n^2}{n} + \log \log n + 1 - c_1, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\binom{n^2}{n}$  denotes a binomial coefficient, and  $c_1 = 2.04287\dots$

For all  $n \geq 5$  one has

$$\log p_{n+1} < \left[\frac{1}{n} \log \binom{n^2}{n} + \log \log n - c_0\right] \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\pi(n)}{n}}, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $c_0 = 1.10298\dots$

**Proof.** In paper [1] the following double inequality is proved:

$$\exp[n(c_0 - \log \log n)] \leq \frac{\binom{n^2}{n}}{p_1 \dots p_n} \leq \exp[n(c_1 - \log \log n)] \quad (2.6)$$

with optimal constants

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{5} \log 23 + \log \log 5 = 1.10298\dots$$

and

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{192} \log \binom{36864}{192} + \log \log 192 - \frac{1}{192} \log(p_1 \dots p_{192}) = 2.04287\dots$$

Now, combining the right side of (2.6) with the left side of (2.1), relation (2.4) follows.

Remarking that the right side of (2.1) holds for  $n \geq 2$ , and combining it with the left side of (2.6), relation (2.5) follows.

**Remark 2.1.** As by a result of Rosser-Schoenfeld [7] one has

$$\pi(x) < x/(\log x - 3/2) \text{ for } x > e^{3/2},$$

we get that

$$\frac{1}{1 - \pi(n)/n} < \frac{\log n - 3/2}{\log n - 5/2} < 3 \text{ for } n > e^3.$$

Therefore, a more transparent upper bound in (2.5) follows.

A sequence  $(\alpha_n)$  is said to be an Erdős-Turán type sequence if, for infinitely many positive integers  $k$  one has  $\alpha_k < \alpha_{k+1}$  and for infinitely many positive integers  $m$  one has  $\alpha_m > \alpha_{m+1}$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $\lambda > 0$  be a fixed real number, and define*

$$\Delta_n = \Delta_n(\lambda) = \binom{n^2}{n} \exp(-\lambda p_n).$$

*Then  $(\Delta_n)$  is an Erdős-Turán type sequence.*

**Proof.** As  $\Delta_k < \Delta_{k+1} \Leftrightarrow \log \Delta_k < \log \Delta_{k+1}$ , i.e.

$$\sigma_k := \frac{\log \binom{(k+1)^2}{k+1} - \log \binom{k^2}{k}}{p_{k+1} - p_k} > \lambda \quad (*)$$

By the asymptotic formula (see [2], [1])

$$\log \binom{k^2}{k} = \left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log k + k - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \log 2\pi) + O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$$

we get

$$\log \binom{(k+1)^2}{k+1} - \log \binom{k^2}{k} = \log k + 2 + O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right). \quad (2.7)$$

By (1.1), (2.7) and (2.3) we get

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_k = +\infty.$$

This means that for any  $M > 0$  there exist infinitely many  $k$  such that  $\sigma_k > M$ . Particularly, for  $M = \lambda$  inequality (\*) holds true. Similarly, by (1.1), (2.7) and (2.2) we get

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_k = 0,$$

which means that for any  $a > 0$  there exist infinitely many  $m$  such that  $\sigma_m < a$ . Particularly, for  $a = \lambda$ , the reverse of inequality (\*) holds true. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.4.

**Remark 2.2.** There are very few monotone sequences connected with primes. One of them is  $(p_n / \log n)$ , which is strictly increasing, see [9], p. 106.

## References

- [1] Alzer, H., J. Sándor, On a binomial coefficient and a product of prime numbers, *Appl. Anal. Discr. Math.*, Vol. 5, 2011, 87–92.
- [2] Gupta, H., S. P. Khare, On  $\binom{k^2}{k}$  and the product of the first  $k$  primes, *Univ. Beograd Publ. Elektr. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz.*, Vol. 577–598, 1977, 25–29.
- [3] Goldston, D. A., J. Pintz, C. Y. Yildirim, Primes in tuples I, *Annals of Math.*, Vol. 170, 2009, 819–862.

- [4] Mitrinović, D. S., J. Sándor, B. Crstici, *Handbook of number theory*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1996.
- [5] Panaitopol, L. An inequality concerning the prime numbers, *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 5, 1999, No. 2, 52–54.
- [6] Panaitopol, L. An inequality involving prime numbers, *Univ. Beograd Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat.*, Vol. 11, 2000, 33–35.
- [7] Rosser, J. B., L. Schoenfeld, Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers, *Illinois J. Math.*, Vol. 6, 1962, 64–94.
- [8] Sándor J., A. Verroken, On a limit involving the product of prime numbers, *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 17, 2011, No. 2, 1–3.
- [9] Sándor, J. *Selected chapters of geometry, analysis and number theory, classical topics in new perspectives*, Lambert Acad. Publ., 2008.
- [10] Westzynthius, E. Über die Verteilung der Zahlen die zu den ersten Primzahlen teilerfremd sind, *Comm. Phys. Math. Soc. Fenn.*, Helsingfors, Vol. 5, 1931, 1–37.