# SHARP CONCENTRATION OF THE RAINBOW CONNECTION OF RANDOM GRAPHS

### Yilun Shang<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University Shanghai 200240, China <sup>2</sup>Institute for Cyber Security, University of Texas at San Antonio San Antonio, Texas 78249, USA email: shylmath@hotmail.com

Abstract: An edge-colored graph G is rainbow edge-connected if any two vertices are connected by a path whose edges have distinct colors. The rainbow connection of a connected graph G, denoted by rc(G), is the smallest number of colors that are needed in order to make G rainbow connected. Similarly, a vertex-colored graph G is rainbow vertex-connected if any two vertices are connected by a path whose internal vertices have distinct colors. The rainbow vertex-connected of a connected graph G, denoted by rvc(G), is the smallest number of colors that are needed in order to make G rainbow vertex-connection of a connected graph G, denoted by rvc(G), is the smallest number of colors that are needed in order to make G rainbow vertex-connected. We prove that both rc(G) and rvc(G) have sharp concentration in classical random graph model G(n, p).

Keywords: Rainbow connection, Graph coloring, Concentration; Random graph.

AMS Classification Numbers: 05C80, 05C15, 05C40.

#### 1. Introduction

We follow the terminology and notation of [4] in this letter. A natural and interesting connectivity measure of a graph was recently introduced in [6] and has attracted many attention of researchers. An edge-colored graph G is called rainbow edge-connected if any two vertices are connected by a path whose edges have distinct colors. Hence, if a graph is rainbow edge-connected, then it must also be connected. Also notice that any connected graph has a trivial edge coloring that makes it rainbow edge-connected. The rainbow connection of a connected graph G, denoted rc(G), is the smallest number of colors that are needed in order to make G rainbow edge-connected.

If G has n vertices then  $rc(G) \leq n-1$ , since one can color the edges of a given spanning tree of G with distinct colors, and color the remaining edges with one of the already used colors. Obviously, rc(G) = 1 if and only if G is a complete graph, and that rc(G) = n - 1if and only if G is a tree. An easy observation gives  $rc(G) \geq diam(G)$ , where diam(G)denotes the diameter of G. The behavior of rc(G) with respect to the minimum degree  $\delta(G)$ has been addressed in the work [5, 10, 11], which indicate that rc(G) is upper bounded by the reciprocal of  $\delta(G)$  up to a multiplicative constant (which we will discuss later). Some related concepts such as rainbow path [9], rainbow tree [8] and rainbow k-connectivity [7] have also been investigated recently.

The authors in [10] introduce a vertex coloring edition. A vertex-colored graph G is called rainbow vertex-connected if any two vertices are connected by a path whose internal vertices have distinct colors. Denote the rainbow vertex-connection of a connected graph G by rvc(G), which is defined as the smallest number of colors that are needed in order to make G rainbow vertex-connected. It is clear that  $rvcG \leq n-2$ , and rvcG = 0 if and only if G is complete. Similarly, we have  $rvcG \geq diam(G) - 1$ .

Note that rc(G) and rvc(G) are both monotonic property in the sense that if we add an edge to G we cannot increase its rainbow edge/vertex-connection. Therefore, it is desirable to study the random graph setting [3]. Motivating this idea, in this paper we consider the rainbow edge/vertex-connection in Erdős-Rényi random graph model G(n, p)with n vertices and edge probability  $p \in [0, 1]$ . Based on some known bounds of diameter and degree of G(n, p), we establish the following concentration results:

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $\omega = \omega(n) \to -\infty$  and  $c = c(n) \to 0$ . Let  $d = d(n) \ge 2$  be a natural number and 0 . If

$$np = \ln n + \frac{20n\ln\ln n}{d+1} - \omega, \tag{1}$$

$$p^d n^{d-1} = \ln\left(\frac{n^2}{c}\right) \tag{2}$$

and

$$\frac{pn}{(\ln n)^3} \to \infty \tag{3}$$

hold, then rc(G(n, p)) = d almost surely as  $n \to \infty$ . **Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $\omega = \omega(n) \to -\infty$  and  $c = c(n) \to 0$ . Let  $d = d(n) \ge 2$  be a natural number and 0 . If

$$np = \ln n + \frac{11n\ln\ln n}{d} - \omega, \tag{4}$$

$$p^d n^{d-1} = \ln\left(\frac{n^2}{c}\right) \tag{5}$$

and

$$\frac{pn}{(\ln n)^3} \to \infty \tag{6}$$

hold, then rvc(G(n, p)) = d - 1 almost surely as  $n \to \infty$ .

#### 2. Proof of Theorem 1 and 2

In this section, we will first prove Theorem 1 and then Theorem 2 can be derived similarly.

Let  $\delta(G)$  be the minimum degree of a graph G. The following lemma gives upper bounds of rainbow edge/vertex-connection. **Lemma 1.**([10]) A connected graph G with n vertices has  $rc(G) < 20n/\delta(G)$  and  $rvc(G) < 11n/\delta(G)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.** By Lemma 1 and the comments in the Section 1, we have

$$diam(G(n,p)) \le rc(G(n,p)) < 20n/\delta(G(n,p))$$
(7)

if G(n, p) is connected.

To get the concentration result, we need to estimate the diameter and minimum degree of random graph G(n, p). It follows from the assumptions (2) and (3) that diam(G(n, p)) =d almost surely (see [2] or [3] pp.259). By the assumption (1), we get  $\delta(G(n, p)) = 20n/(d+1)$  (see [1] or [3] pp.65). Now we almost conclude our proof by (7).

There are nevertheless two things remain to check: (i) The assumptions (1)-(3) are reasonable, that is, there really exist such p and d. (ii) G(n, p) is almost surely connected.

Define  $c = c(n) \to 0$  by the equation

$$\ln\ln\left(\frac{n^2}{c}\right) = (\ln n) \cdot \ln\ln n \tag{8}$$

and let  $\omega(n) \to -\infty$  sufficiently slowly. By the assumption (1), we define a function of d

$$f(d) := (np)^{d} = \left(\ln n + \frac{20n\ln\ln n}{d+1} - \omega\right)^{d}.$$
 (9)

Take  $d = \ln n$ , and we obtain

$$\ln f(d) = (\ln n) \cdot \ln \left( \ln n + \frac{20n \ln \ln n}{1 + \ln n} - \omega \right)$$
  

$$\geq (\ln n) \cdot \ln \left( \frac{n \ln \ln n}{\ln n} \right)$$
  

$$\geq \ln n + (\ln n) \cdot \ln \ln n$$
  

$$= \ln \left( n \cdot \ln \left( \frac{n^2}{c} \right) \right)$$
(10)

where the last equality holds by the definition (8).

Take  $d = \ln \ln n$ , and we have

$$\ln f(d) = (\ln \ln n) \cdot \ln(\ln n + 20n - \omega)$$
  

$$\leq (\ln \ln n) \cdot \ln(21n)$$
  

$$\leq \ln n + (\ln n) \cdot \ln \ln n$$
  

$$= \ln \left( n \cdot \ln \left(\frac{n^2}{c}\right) \right)$$
(11)

where the last equality holds by the definition (8).

From (10), (11) and the fact that f(d) is continuous, we derive that there exists some  $d \in [\ln \ln n, \ln n]$  such that  $\ln f(d) = \ln(n \ln(n^2/c))$  holds. Consequently, the assumption (2) holds. For such d, by (9), we have

$$np = \Omega\left(\frac{n\ln\ln n}{\ln n}\right),\tag{12}$$

which clearly satisfies the assumption (3), and G(n, p) is connected almost surely (c.f. [3] pp.164).

Hence, both (i) and (ii) have been checked and the proof is finally completed.  $\Box$ **Proof of Theorem 2.** It can be proved similarly by noting the fact

$$diam(G(n,p)) - 1 \le rvc(G(n,p)) < 11n/\delta(G(n,p)).$$
 (13)

We leave the details to the interested readers.  $\square$ 

## References

- [1] B. Bollobás, Degree sequences of random graphs. Descrete Math., 33(1981) 1–19
- [2] B. Bollobás, The diameter of random graphs. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 83(1981) 41-52
- [3] B. Bollobás, *Random Graphs*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2001
- [4] B. Bollobás, Modern Graph Theory. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998
- [5] Y. Caro, A. Lev, Y. Roditty, Z. Tuza, R. Yuster, On rainbow connection. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 15(2008) R57
- [6] G. Chartrand, G. L. Johns, K. A. McKeon, P. Zhang, Rainbow connection in graphs. Math. Bohem. 133(2008) 85–98
- [7] G. Chartrand, G. L. Johns, K. A. McKeon, P. Zhang, The rainbow connectivity of a graph. *Networks*, 54(2009) 75–81
- [8] G. Chartrand, F. Okamoto, P. Zhang, Rainbow trees in graphs and generalized connectivity. *Networks*, 55(2010) 360–367
- [9] D. Dellamonica Jr., C. Magnant, D. M. Martin, Rainbow paths. Discrete Math., 310(2010) 774–781
- [10] M. Krivelevich, R. Yuster, The rainbow connection of a graph is (at most) reciprocal to its minimum degree. J. Graph Theory 63(2010), 185–191
- [11] I. Schiermeyer, Rainbow connection in graphs with minimum degree three. LNCS, 5874(2009) 432–437