

An improved solution of $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{X_i} = 1$
in distinct integers when $x_i \nmid x_j$ for $i \neq j$

Nechemia Burshtein

117 Arlozorov Street, Tel Aviv 62098, Israel

Dedicated to the Memory of my parents Lucia and Aharon

Abstract

An improved solution of the title equation with $k = 52$, $x_{52} = 1963$ is exhibited. This is the best known result thus far.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 11- Number Theory.

Keywords. Diophantine equations, Egyptian fractions.

1. Introduction

The diophantine equation in integers

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{X_i} = 1, \quad x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_k, \quad x_i \nmid x_j \text{ for } i \neq j \quad (1)$$

was considered by the late Paul Erdős, R.L.Graham, E.J. Barbeau, the author and others.

The existence of a solution of (1) was independently raised by the author [2,3] and R.L.Graham [6,3]. The author [3] provided a solution of (1), for which he received a reward of \$10 offered by Erdős [2,3]. In this solution $k = 79$, but not all x_i are products of two distinct primes. In [4], the author exhibited an example of the same nature as that in [3] with $k = 68$. Barbeau [1] gave an example with the stronger condition that each x_i is a product of two distinct primes using 101 fractions and $x_{101} = 1838171$.

The author [5] improved Barbeau's solution with $k = 63$ and $x_{63} = 7909$, where each x_i is a product of two distinct primes. The results of Barbeau [1] and of the author [3] are mentioned in [7].

In Section 2, we will demonstrate the main result of this paper, namely Solution 1.

2. The improved solution

Solution 1. The 52 different numbers

| | | | | | |
|-----|------|------|-----|----|----|
| 6 | 10 | 14 | 15 | 21 | 35 |
| 22 | 33 | 55 | 77 | | |
| 26 | 39 | 65 | 91 | | |
| 34 | 51 | 119 | 187 | | |
| 38 | 57 | 95 | 133 | | |
| 46 | 69 | 161 | 299 | | |
| 58 | 87 | 203 | 319 | | |
| 62 | 93 | 155 | | | |
| 82 | 123 | 287 | | | |
| 106 | 159 | 265 | 583 | | |
| 122 | 183 | 671 | | | |
| 213 | 355 | 497 | | | |
| 202 | 505 | 1313 | | | |
| 453 | 1057 | 1963 | | | |

have the following two properties:

- (i) the sum of their reciprocals is equal to 1,
- (ii) no-one divides any other.

Proof. (i) Solution 1 is arranged in fourteen rows. We compute

$$\sum_{i=1}^{52} \frac{1}{X_i} = 1$$

without using a computer as follows:

The least common multiple, in short L of the first two rows is clearly $L = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$. The numbers in these rows are all the products of two different factors of L .

The structure of each of the remaining twelve rows is as follows. The members of each row are of the form Mp where $p \geq 13$ is a prime and all twelve primes are distinct. The values M in rows 6, 13 and 14 consist of one prime factor of $13L$, and in the rest of the rows of one prime factor of L .

Computing the sum of the reciprocals of the numbers in any of the last twelve rows, one obtains a fraction whose denominator is clearly a multiple of p , and it comes out that the numerator must also be a multiple of p . After simplification by p , the denominator becomes a divisor of $13L$.

This enables us to carry out the summation without the aid of a computer. The sum of the fourteen partial sums adds up to 1. □

(ii) All 52 numbers in Solution 1 are of the form pq , where p, q are distinct primes. Therefore, no-one divides any other. □

Solution 1 improves all known former results. It is composed of all the smallest possible parameters. Compared with [5], these are as follows:

1. $k = 52$ ($k = 63$).
2. $x_{52} = 1963$ ($x_{63} = 7909$).
3. there occur 17 primes (21 primes).
4. the largest prime is $p = 151$ ($p = 719$).

Remark 1. The 17 primes occurring in Solution 1 are 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 41, 53, 61, 71, 101, 151, the first 11 of which are the smallest 11 primes.

Remark 2. One can show that no integer x_t in (1) can be a power of a prime. Therefore, the smallest possible x_1 is 6.

References

- [1] E.J.Barbeau. Expressing one as a sum of distinct reciprocals: comments and bibliography, Eureka (Ottawa) **3** (1977) 178-181.
- [2] N. Burshtein. Oral communication to P. Erdős, Nice, September 1970.

- [3] N. Burshtein. On distinct unit fractions whose sum equals 1, *Discrete Math.* **5** (1973) 201-206.
- [4] N. Burshtein. On distinct unit fractions whose sum equals 1, *Discrete Math.* **300** (2005) 213-217.
- [5] N. Burshtein. Improving solutions of $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{X_i} = 1$ with restrictions as required by Barbeau respectively by Johnson, *Discrete Math.* **306** (2006) 1438-1439.
- [6] P. Erdős. Written communication, December 1970.
- [7] P. Erdős and R. L. Graham. Old and new problems and results in Combinatorial Number Theory, Monographie n° 28 de L'Enseignement Mathématique Université de Genève, Imprimerie Kundig Genève, 1980.