

Some Relations Involving Bernoulli Numbers

Peter Vassilev¹ and Mladen Vassilev-Missana²

¹ CLBME-Bulg. Academy of Sci., e-mail: *peter.vassilev@gmail.com*

² 5' V. Hugo Str., 1124- Sofia, Bulgaria

Abstract In the present note some identities involving Bernoulli numbers and binomial coefficients are considered.

Keywords Bernoulli numbers, binomial coefficients, Bernoulli polynomial

As it is known, Bernoulli numbers (see [1]) are denoted by $B_m, m = 0, 1, \dots$ and are introduced by:

$$B_0 = 1;$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^m \binom{m+1}{p} B_p = 0, m \geq 1$$

In particular we have $B_0 = 1, B_1 = \frac{-1}{2}, B_2 = \frac{1}{6}, B_4 = \frac{-1}{30}, B_6 = \frac{1}{42}, B_8 = \frac{-1}{30}, B_{10} = \frac{5}{66}, B_{12} = \frac{-691}{2730}, B_{14} = \frac{7}{6}, B_{16} = \frac{-3617}{510}$, etc. Also :

$$B_{2t+1} = 0, t \geq 1$$

Let us define a bivariable polynomial $f_k(x, y)$ by:

$$f_k(x, y) := \sum_{t=1}^p (-1)^t \binom{p}{t} x^{k+1-t} y^t \tag{1}$$

where $k \geq 1$ is an integer. Then $\text{deg} f_k(x, y) = k + 1$, where deg signifies the degree of the polynomial. From [2] the following results are seen:

Lemma. The polynomial $f_k(x, y)$ from (1) is a symmetric function with respect to x and y , i.e the equality:

$$f_k(x, y) = f_k(y, x) \tag{2}$$

holds. Also $f_k(x, y)$ admits the representation:

$$f_k(x, y) = x^{k+1} + \sum_{p=1}^k \binom{k+1}{p} B_p (x-y)^p x^{k+1-p}$$

Theorem 1. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Then for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, k$ the identity:

$$\sum_{p=\alpha}^k \binom{p}{\alpha} B_p = (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{p=k+1-\alpha}^k \binom{k+1}{p} \binom{p}{k+1-\alpha} B_p \tag{3}$$

holds.

Proof. From (1) the coefficient preceding $x^{k+1-\alpha}y^\alpha$ is equal to

$$(-1)^\alpha \sum_{p=\alpha}^k \binom{k+1}{p} \binom{p}{\alpha} B_p,$$

and the coefficient preceding $x^\alpha y^{k+1-\alpha}$ is equal to

$$(-1)^{k+1-\alpha} \sum_{p=k+1-\alpha}^k \binom{k+1}{p} \binom{p}{\alpha} B_p.$$

Hence (3) is true since (2) holds. Using that:

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{k+1}{p} \binom{p}{\alpha} &= \binom{k+1}{\alpha} \binom{k+1-\alpha}{p-\alpha}; \\ \binom{k+1}{p} \binom{p}{k+1-p} &= \binom{k+1}{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{k+1-p}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain from (3):

$$\sum_{p=\alpha}^k \binom{k+1-\alpha}{p-\alpha} B_p = \sum_{p=k+1-\alpha}^k (-1)^{k+1} \binom{\alpha}{k+1-p} B_p \quad (4),$$

which is valid for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

The Theorem is proved.

We can now formulate:

Theorem 2. For every two positive integers α and β the identity:

$$(-1)^\beta \binom{\beta}{0} B_\alpha + \dots + \binom{\beta}{\beta-1} B_{\alpha+\beta-1} = (-1)^\alpha \binom{\alpha}{0} B_\beta + \dots + \binom{\alpha}{\alpha-1} B_{\alpha+\beta-1} \quad (5),$$

holds.

Proof.

Putting in (4) $k = \alpha + \beta - 1$ we obtain the identity:

$$\binom{\beta}{0} B_\alpha + \dots + \binom{\beta}{\beta-1} B_{\alpha+\beta-1} = (-1)^{\alpha+\beta} \left(\binom{\alpha}{0} B_\beta + \dots + \binom{\alpha}{\alpha-1} B_{\alpha+\beta-1} \right) \quad (6),$$

Then (6) yields immediately the equation (5) and also the identity:

$$(-1)^\alpha \binom{\beta}{0} B_\alpha + \dots + \binom{\beta}{\beta-1} B_{\alpha+\beta-1} = (-1)^\beta \left(\binom{\alpha}{0} B_\beta + \dots + \binom{\alpha}{\alpha-1} B_{\alpha+\beta-1} \right) \quad (7),$$

The Theorem is proved.

Assuming that the symbol $\binom{0}{m} = 0$, for $m \geq 0$, and $\binom{0}{-1} = 0$ then, (5),(6) and (7) remain valid for each of the cases $\alpha = 0, \beta \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0, \beta = 0$, because of the relation defining the Bernoulli numbers for $m \geq 1$. Moreover, (5), (6) and (7) will remain valid for the case $\alpha = 0, \beta = 0$. But then these identities are trivial.

The above means that each one of (5),(6) and (7) is a generalization of the defining property for Bernoulli numbers

$$\sum_{p=0}^m \binom{m+1}{p} B_p = 0.$$

References

- [1] Borevich Z., I. Shafarevich , Number Theory, M., 1972, (in Russian)
- [2] Vassilev P.,M. Vassilev-Missana , On the Sum of Equal Powers of the First n Terms of an Arithmetic Progression, Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics, Vol. 11, No. 3, 2005, 15-21.