

On The Sum of Equal Powers of the First n Terms of an Arbitrary Arithmetic Progression (II)

Peter Vassilev

CLBME-Bulg. Academy of Sci.

e-mail: peter.vassilev@gmail.com

Mladen Vassilev-Missana

5'V.Hugo Str.,Sofia-1124, Bulgaria

e-mail:missana@abv.bg

Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be an arbitrary arithmetic progression and d is the difference of the progression, i.e $d = a_2 - a_1 = a_3 - a_2 = \dots$

First we define:

$$a_0 = a_1 - d, a_{-1} = a_0 - d, a_{-2} = a_{-1} - d,$$

etc.

Hence a double sequence is constructed below, that one may call hyperarithmetic progression:

$$\dots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$$

Second we introduce a symbol $[R(n)]_{n=0}^{n=n}$ which may be applied to an arbitrary arithmetic function $R(n)$ and is defined as:

$$[R(n)]_{n=0}^{n=n} := R(n) - R(0)$$

For example,

$$[a_n a_{n+1} a_{n+2}]_{n=0}^{n=n} = a_n a_{n+1} a_{n+2} - a_0 a_1 a_2,$$

since every sequence is an arithmetic function.

Let $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ be integers.

The first result of this paper is

Lemma1. It is fulfilled

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_{i+k-1} = \frac{[a_n a_{n+1} \dots a_{n+k}]_{n=0}^{n=n}}{(k+1)d} \quad (1)$$

A modification of the above result is

Lemma2. It is fulfilled

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i-k} a_{i-k+1} \dots a_{i-1} a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_{i+k} = \frac{[a_{n-k} a_{n-k+1} \dots a_{n+k+1}]_{n=0}^{n=n}}{(2k+2)d} \quad (2)$$

One may prove these two lemmas by induction.

Let

$$S_k(n) := a_1^k + a_2^k + \dots + a_n^k \quad (3)$$

The main result of the paper is:

Theorem. The relation

$$\sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^{k-m} T(k; m) d^{2(k-m)} S_{2m+1}(n) = \frac{[a_{n-k} a_{n-k+1} \dots a_{n+k+1}]_{n=0}^{n=n}}{(2k+2)d}, \quad (4)$$

holds, where the numbers $T(k; m)$ are defined by the equality

$$\sum_{m=0}^k T(k; m) x^m = (x+1^2)(x+2^2) \dots (x+k^2) \quad (5)$$

Proof. Let us put

$$f_k(x) := (x-1^2)(x-2^2) \dots (x-k^2) \quad (6)$$

According to (5) we have

$$(-1)^k f_k(-x) = (x+1^2)(x+2^2) \dots (x+k^2) = \sum_{m=0}^k T(k; m) x^m$$

Hence

$$f_k(x) = \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^{k+m} T(k; m) x^m = \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^{k-m} T(k; m) x^m \quad (7)$$

Further we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_{i-k}a_{i-k+1}\dots a_{i-1}a_i a_{i+1}\dots a_{i+k} = \\
& = a_i(a_{i-1}a_{i+1})(a_{i-2}a_{i+2})\dots(a_{i-k}a_{i+k}) \\
& = a_i(a_i - 1d)(a_i + 1d)(a_i - 2d)(a_i + 2d)\dots(a_i - kd)(a_i + kd) \\
& = a_i(a_i^2 - 1^2d^2)(a_i^2 - 2^2d^2)\dots(a_i^2 - k^2d^2) \\
& = d^{2k}a_i(x_i - 1^2)(x_i - 2^2)\dots(x_i - k^2) = d^{2k}a_i f_k(x_i),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$x_i = \left(\frac{a_i}{d}\right)^2$$

Now using (7), for the case $x = x_i = \left(\frac{a_i}{d}\right)^2$, we obtain

$$a_{i-k}a_{i-k+1}\dots a_{i+k} = \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^{k-m} T(k; m) d^{2(k-m)} a_i^{2m+1} \quad (8)$$

Applying $\sum_{i=1}^m$ to both hand-sides of (8) and keeping in mind (2) and (3) we receive exactly (4).

The Theorem is proved.

This Theorem shows us that if we want to calculate $S_{2k+1}(n)$ we must know only the numbers $S_1(n), S_3(n), S_5(n), \dots, S_{2k-1}(n)$. None of the numbers $S_2(n), S_4(n), S_6(n), \dots$ is required for that purpose. In [1] J. Riordan introduced numbers that are similar to $T(k; m)$ but are not the same. These numbers are called there central factorial numbers. Of course, one may express $T(k; m)$ using central factorial numbers.

Our investigation here is a continuation of [2]

References

- [1] Riordan J., *Combinatorial Identities*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1968

