

A NOTE ON THE ELEMENTARY NUMBER THEORY

Krassimir T. Atanassov

CLBME - Bulg. Academy of Sci., P.O.Box 12, Sofia-1113, Bulgaria,

e-mail: krat@bas.bg

The Bulgarian schoolboy Todor Eliseev formulated in the Bulgarian journal "*Mathematics*", Vol. 31 (1992), No. 5, the following problem, which was included in the monthly competition of the journal: *Prove that n is a divisor of $\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d).p^{\frac{n}{d}}$, where p is an arbitrary prime number, φ is the Euler's function and the sum (here and below) is over all divisors of n .*

The Bulgarian schoolboys Yassen Siderov and Detelin Dosev solved the problem (their solution was published in "Mathematics" Vol. 32 (1993), No. 4)) generalizing it with the change of p with an arbitrary natural number a .

Below we shall formulate a next generalization of the above problem, following [1].

Let $g : \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ (where \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{Q} are the sets of all natural and rational numbers, respectively) be a function, for which:

- a) $g(n, k) \in \mathcal{N}$, if k is a divisor of n ;
- b) for every three natural numbers k, m and n :

$$g(k.m, k.n) = g(m, n); \tag{1}$$

- c) for every three natural numbers k, m and n , for which n is a divisor of k :

$$g(k.m, n) = m.g(k, n). \tag{2}$$

From where it follows that

$$g(k, k) = g(1, 1), \tag{3}$$

$$g(k, 1) = k.g(1, 1), \tag{4}$$

$$g(1, 1).g(k.l, m.n) = g(k, m).g(l, n) \tag{5}$$

for every four natural numbers k, l, m and n , for which m and n are divisors of k and l , respectively.

The last equality is valid, because by condition there are natural numbers x and y , for which $k = x.m$ and $l = y.n$ and, therefore,

$$g(1, 1).g(k.l, m.n)$$

(from (2))

$$= g(1, 1).x.y.g(m.n, m.n)$$

(from (1))

$$= x.g(m, m).y.g(n, n)$$

(from (2))

$$= g(k, m).g(l, n).$$

Let everywhere below $b = g(1, 1)$.

The last problem and its Y. Siderov - D. Dosev's generalization can be extended to the form:

Prove that if n and a are natural numbers and if

$$S_n(a) = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d).a^{b^{cas(n)}.g(n,d)}, \quad (6)$$

then

$$S_n(a) \equiv 0(\text{mod } LCM(n, a^{b^{cas(n)}.g(n,d)})), \quad (7)$$

where LCM is the integer function "the lowest common multiple" and $cas(n)$ is the number of the different prime divisors of n .

Firstly, we shall note, that the right hand of (7) is divided by $a^{b^{cas(n)}}$, because all terms of the sum are divided by the same divisor. Therefore, we must prove that

$$S_n(a) \equiv 0(\text{mod } n). \quad (8)$$

We shall apply an induction in relation to $cas(n)$.

Let $cas(n) = 1$, i.e. $n = p^m$ for some prime number p and some natural number $m \geq 1$. Then, $S_n(a)$ from (6) can be represented in the form

$$S_n(a) = T_m(n, a) = \sum_{k=0}^m \varphi(p^k).a^{b.g(p^m, p^k)} \quad (9)$$

and, hence, (8) must be proved in the form

$$T_m(n, a) \equiv 0(\text{mod } n). \quad (10)$$

The validity of (10) is proved, e.g., by induction according to m .

For $m = 1$, (9) has the form:

$$T_1(n, a) = a^{b.g(p,1)} + (p-1).a^{b.g(p,p)}$$

(from (3) and (4))

$$= p.a^{b^2} + ((a^{b^2})^p - a^{b^2})$$

and from the Little Fermat's Theorem (see, e.g., [2]) it follows that for every $a \in \mathcal{N}$:

$$T_1(n, a) \equiv 0(\text{mod } n).$$

Let us assume that (10) is valid for some natural number m . Now, we will use the identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^m A(k).B(k+1) = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} A(k-1).B(k),$$

which is valid for arbitrary arithmetical functions A and B .

Let us put in it:

$$A(s) = a^{b \cdot g(p^m, p^s)}$$

and

$$B(s) = \varphi(p^s).$$

Then, we obtain:

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \varphi(p^{k+1}) \cdot a^{b \cdot g(p^m, p^k)} = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \varphi(p^k) \cdot a^{b \cdot g(p^m, p^{k-1})}$$

and from $\varphi(p^{k+1}) = p \cdot \varphi(p^k)$ for $k \geq 1$, and $g(p^m, p^{k-1}) = g(p^{m+1}, p^k)$ we obtain:

$$\varphi(p) \cdot a^{b \cdot g(p^m, 1)} + p \cdot \sum_{k=1}^m \varphi(p^k) \cdot a^{b \cdot g(p^m, p^k)} = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \varphi(p^k) \cdot a^{b \cdot g(p^{m+1}, p^k)}$$

which from (9) can be written in the following form:

$$T_{m+1}(n, a) = p \cdot T_m(n, a) + R,$$

where

$$R = a^{b \cdot g(p^{m+1}, 1)} - a^{b \cdot g(p^m, 1)}.$$

Therefore, we must show that

$$R \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{m+1}}. \quad (11)$$

From (4) it follows that $g(p^m, 1) = p^m \cdot b$ and $g(p^{m+1}, 1) = p^{m+1} \cdot b$ and hence,

$$R = (a^{b^2})^{p^m} \cdot ((a^{b^2})^{\varphi(p^{m+1})} - 1). \quad (12)$$

If $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then,

$$(a^b)^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{m+1}}$$

and therefore (11) is valid. If $(a, p) = 1$, then from Euler's theorem (see, e.g. [2]) it follows that

$$(a^{b^2})^{\varphi(p^{m+1})} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{m+1}}$$

and therefore from (12) it follows that (11) is valid, too.

With this, the induction step about m has ended and, therefore, the validity of (10) is proved, i.e. (8) is valid for $cas(n) = 1$.

Let us assume that (8) holds for every natural numbers n , for which $cas(n) \leq s$, where s is a natural number. We must prove (8) for some natural number n , for which $cas(n) = s + 1$. Therefore, $n = n' \cdot p^m$ for some prime number p that does not divide n' , and for some natural number $m \geq 1$, where $cas(n') = s$. Obviously,

$$cas(n) = cas(n') + cas(p^m) = s + 1.$$

Let us put for brevity $n'' = p^m$ and hence $n = n' \cdot n''$.

We must prove that

$$S_n(a) = S_{n'.n''}(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$$

By induction assumption

$$S_{n'}(a') \equiv 0 \pmod{n'}$$

for every natural number a' and from the first step of the induction

$$S_{n''}(a'') \equiv 0 \pmod{n''}$$

for every natural number a'' .

From (6) and from the fact that φ is a multiplicative function, we obtain

$$S_n(a) = \sum_{d/n'} \sum_{\epsilon/n''} \varphi(n') \cdot \varphi(n'') \cdot a^{b^{cas(n') + cas(n'') \cdot g(n'.n'', d, \epsilon)}}$$

(from (5))

$$= \sum_{d/n'} \varphi(n') \cdot \left(\sum_{\epsilon/n''} \varphi(n'') \cdot a^{b^{s-1} \cdot g(n', d) \cdot b \cdot g(n'', \epsilon)} \right)$$

(from (6))

$$= \sum_{d/n'} \varphi(n') \cdot S_{n''}(a^{b^{s-1} \cdot g(n', d)}).$$

But it follows from the first induction step that

$$S_{n''}(a^{b^{s-1} \cdot g(n', d)}) \equiv 0 \pmod{n''}$$

and, therefore, $S_n(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{n''}$.

On the other hand, it can be analogously seen that

$$S_n(a) = \sum_{\epsilon/n''} \varphi(n'') \cdot S_{n'}(a^{g(n'', \epsilon)})$$

and from the induction assumption it follows that

$$S_n(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{n'}.$$

But $(n', n'') = 1$. Therefore,

$$S_n(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{n'.n''},$$

i.e. (8) and, hence, (7), also, are valid.

With this the problem is proved.

The following question is interesting, too: can the above problem be generalized more with the change of φ with an arbitrary arithmetical function?

From the above construction it is seen that f must be a multiplicative function (therefore, if it is not identically equal to 0, it must satisfy equality $f(1) = 1$) for which the conditions for every prime number p must be valid and for every natural number $k \geq 1$:

$$f(p^{k+1}) = p \cdot f(p^k),$$

$$f(p) = (p - 1).f(1).$$

But in this case one can directly see that function f coincides with function φ . Therefore the above problem cannot be extended in this direction.

Are there other directions for its generalization?

REFERENCES:

- [1] Atanasov K., A note on the elementary number theory. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 8 (2002), No. 4 (in press).
- [2] Nagell T., Introduction to number theory, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1950.