On multiplicatively bi-unitary perfect numbers

Antal Bege

Faculty of Mathematics

"Babeş-Bolyai" University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

E-mail: bege@math.ubbcluj.ro

1 Introduction

Let $\sigma(n)$ the sum of positive divisors of n,

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{d|n} d,$$

s(n) the sum of aliquet part of n, i. e. the positive divisors of n other than n itself, so that

$$s(n) = \sigma(n) - n.$$

It is well-known that a number n is called perfect if the sum of aliquot divisors of n is equal to n

$$s(n) = n,$$

or equivalently

$$\sigma(n) = 2n$$
.

Perfect, amicable and sociable numbers are fixed points of the arithmetic function s and its iterates. (R. K. Guy [4], P. Erdős [2])

The Euclid-Euler theorem gives the form of even perfect numbers:

Lemma 1.1 An even integer n is perfect iff there exist prime number p such that $n = 2^{p-1}q$, where $q = 2^p - 1$ is prime ("Mersenne prime").

No odd perfect numbers are known.

The number n is called super-perfect if

$$\sigma(\sigma(n)) = 2n.$$

Suryanarayana and Kanold states [10], [6] the general form of even super-perfect numbers: $n = 2^{p-1}$, where $2^p - 1 = q$ is a prime (Mersenne prime).

No odd super-perfect numbers are known.

A divisor d of a natural number n is unitary divisor if $\left(d, \frac{n}{d}\right) = 1$, and n is unitary perfect if

$$\sigma^*(n) = 2n.$$

where $\sigma^*(n)$ the sum of unitary divisors of n. The notion of unitary perfect numbers introduced M. V. Subbarao and L. J. Waren in 1966 [9].

Five unitary perfect numbers are known, they are necessarily even and its true that no unitary perfect numbers of the form $2^m s$ where s is a squarefree odd integer [3].

For positive integers a and b, let $(a, b)^{**}$ denote the greatest common unitary divisor of a and b. A divisor d of the positive integer n, bi-unitary divisor if $d\delta = n$ and $(d, \delta)^{**} = 1$.

Let $\tau^{**}(n)$ and $\sigma^{**}(n)$ denote the number and the sum of bi-unitary divisors

$$\tau^{**}(n) = \sum_{\substack{d\delta = n \\ (d, \delta)^{**} = 1}} 1$$

$$\sigma^{**}(n) = \sum_{\substack{d\delta = n \\ (d, \delta)^{**} = 1}} d$$

Ch. R. Wall introduced the concept of bi-unitary perfect numbers [12], an integer n is bi-unitary perfect number if it equals the sum of its bi-unitary divisors

$$\sigma^{**}(n) = 2n,$$

and proved that there are only three bi-unitary perfect numbers, namely 6, 60 and 90. Sándor in [7] introduced the concept of multiplicative divisor function T(n) (see. [5]) and multiplicatively perfect and superperfect number and characterize them.

In [1] the author study the unitary multiplicatively perfect numbers.

In this paper we introduce the bi-unitary muliplicative divisor function, and the notion of bi-unitary multiplicative perfect, bi-unitary multiplicative superperfect and bi-unitary k-l m-perfect numbers and characterize them.

2 Main results

Definition 2.1 Let $T^{**}(n)$ denote the product of all bi-unitary divisors of n:

$$T^{**}(n) = \prod_{\substack{d \mid n}} d.$$

$$(d, \frac{n}{d})^{**} = 1$$

Let $T^{**k}(n)$ the kth iterate of $T^{**}(n)$:

$$T^{**k}(n) = T^{**}(T^{**(k-1)}(n)), \quad k \ge 1.$$

Definition 2.2 The number n > 1 is multiplicatively bi-unitary perfect (or shortly m bi-unitary perfect) if

$$T^{**}(n) = n^2,$$

multiplicatively bi-unitary super-perfect (m bi-unitary super-perfect), if

$$T^{**}(T^{**}(n)) = n^2,$$

and multiplicatively bi-unitary (k, l) perfect (m unitary (k, l) perfect), if

$$T^{**k}(n) = n^l.$$

First we prove the following result:

Lemma 2.1 For $n \ge 1$

$$T^{**}(n) = n^{\frac{\tau^{**}(n)}{2}}$$

where $\tau^*(n)$ denotes the number of bi-unitary divisors of n.

Proof If d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k are all bi-unitary divisors of n, then

$$\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k\} = \left\{\frac{n}{d_1}, \frac{n}{d_2}, \dots, \frac{n}{d_k}\right\},\,$$

implying that

$$d_1 d_2 \dots d_k = \frac{n}{d_1} \cdot \frac{n}{d_2} \dots \frac{n}{d_k},$$
$$T^{**}(n) = n^{k/2}$$

where $k = \tau^{**}(n)$ denotes the number of bi-unitary divisors of n.

Remark

The $T^{**}(n)$ function not multiplicative and not additive function.

Theorem 2.2 All m bi-unitary perfect numbers n, (n > 1) have one of the following forms: $n = p_1^4$, $n = p_1^3$, $n = p_1^2 p_2^2$, $n = p_1^2 p_2$, $n = p_1 p_2$ where p_1, p_2 are distinct primes.

Proof. If we assume that n > 1 we have $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ be the prime factorisation of n > 1. It is well-known that

$$\tau^{**}(n) = \left\{ \prod_{\alpha_i = 2k} \alpha_i \right\} \left\{ \prod_{\alpha_i = 2k+1} (\alpha_i + 1) \right\},\tag{1}$$

(see [11]).

We have by Lemma 2.1 that n multiplicatively bi-unitary perfect iff

$$n^{\frac{\tau^{**}(n)}{2}} = n^2$$

or

$$\tau^{**}(n) = 4,$$

$$\left\{ \prod_{\alpha_i = 2k} \alpha_i \right\} \left\{ \prod_{\alpha_i = 2k+1} (\alpha_i + 1) \right\} = 4$$

which equivalent to the forms $n = p_1^4$, $n = p_1^2$, $n = p_1^2 p_2^2$, $n = p_1^2 p_2$, $n = p_1 p_2$. where p_1, p_2 are distinct primes.

Theorem 2.3 All m bi-unitary super perfect numbers n, (n > 1) have one of the following forms: n = p, $n = p^2$ where p prime number.

Proof. Let n > 1 a natural number. We have

$$T^{**}(T^{**}(n)) = (T^{**}(n))^{\frac{\tau^{**}(T^{**}(n))}{2}} = n^{\frac{\tau^{**}(n)}{2} \frac{\tau^{**}(T^{**}(n))}{2}}.$$
 (2)

Because n > 1

$$\tau^{**}(T^{**}(n)) = \tau^{**}\left(n^{\frac{\tau^{**}(n)}{2}}\right).$$

• If $\tau^{**}(n) = 2(2k+1)$,

$$\tau^{**}(T^{**}(n)) = \tau^{**}(n^{2k+1}) = \left\{ \prod_{\alpha_i = 2k} (2k+1)\alpha_i \right\} \left\{ \prod_{\alpha_i = 2k+1} (2k+1)(\alpha_i + 1) \right\}$$
$$= (2k+1)^{\omega(n)} \tau^{**}(n) = 2(2k+1)^{\omega(n)+1}$$
(3)

• If $\tau^{**}(n) = 4k$,

$$\tau^{**}(T^{**}(n)) = \tau^{**}(n^{2k}) = \left\{ \prod_{\alpha_i} 2k\alpha_i \right\}$$
$$= k^{\omega(n)}\tau^{**}(n^2)$$
(4)

From (2) we have that n bi-unitary m superperfect number iff

$$\frac{\tau^{**}(n)}{2} \cdot \frac{\tau^{**}(T^{**}(n))}{2} = 2.$$

If $\tau^{**}(n) = 2(2k+1)$,

$$(2k+1)^{\omega(n)+2} = 1$$

which imlies that k = 0, $\tau^{**}(n) = 2$; n = p or $n = p^2$.

If $\tau^{**}(n) = 4k$, we have

$$k^{\omega(n)+1}\tau^{**}(n^2) = 2.$$

Because n > 1, k = 0 and n = p.

We now investigate the problem of the existence of k-l bi-unitary m perfect numbers. First we prove that:

Lemma 2.4 If n a natural number such that $\omega(n) \geq l+1$ we have:

$$T^{**k}(n) \ge n^{2^{lk}}.$$

Proof Because $\omega(n) \ge l + 1$ we have

$$\tau^{**}(n) \ge 2^{l+1}$$

which together to Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$T^{**}(n) \ge n^{2^l}. \tag{5}$$

By (5) and iteration procedure we have

$$T^{**k}(n) \ge n^{2^{lk}}.$$

Remark If $\omega(n) \geq 2$ we have

$$T^{**k}(n) \ge n^{2^k}.$$

which means that if $l \leq 2^k$ no (k,l) m bi-unitary perfect composed number.

In the next we consider the (1, k) m bi-unitary perfect numbers:

$$T^{**}(n) = n^k,$$

where $k \geq 2$ is given.

From the lemma 2.1 and (1) we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5 Let $k \geq 2$ be a natural number. Then the (1,k) m bi-unitary perfect numbers have the form

$$n = \prod_{k_i = 2k} p_i^{k_i} \prod_{k_i = 2k+1} p_i^{k_i - 1}$$

where $k = \prod_{i=1}^{l} k_i$ and p_i $(i \in \{1, 2, ..., l\})$ distinct prime numbers.

Concerning even perfect numbers we have a following result.

Theorem 2.6 For every even perfect number exist k such that n m bi-unitary (1, k) perfect number.

Proof. By Lemma 1.1 n even perfect iff $n = 2^{p-1}q$, where $q = 2^p - 1$ is prime which implies that $\tau^{**}(n) = 2(p-1)$ and

$$T^{**}(n) = n^{\left(\frac{\tau^{**}(n)}{2}\right)} = n^{p-1} = n^k$$

with k = p - 1.

References

- [1] Bege, A., on multiplicatively unitary perfect numbers, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, (to appear).
- [2] Erdős, P., Granville, A., Pomerance C., Spiro C., On the normal behavior of the iterates of some arithmetical functions, *Analytic Number Theory*, Birkhaüser, Boston, 1990.
- [3] Graham, S. W., Unitary perfect numbers with squarefree odd part, Fibonacci Quart., 27 (1989), 317-322.
- [4] Guy, R. K., Unsolved problems in number theory, Springer Verlag, 2^{nd} ed., 1994.
- [5] K. Ireland, M. Rosen, A classical introduction to modern number theory, Springer, 1982, Chapter 2.

- [6] H.J. Kanold, Über super-perfect numbers, Elem. Math., 24 (1969), 61-62.
- [7] Sándor, J. On multiplicatively perfect numbers, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math., 2 (2001), No.1, Art.3.
- [8] Sivaramakrishnan, R. Classical theory of arithmetic functions, Monographs and Textbooks In Pure and Applied mathematics, Vol. 126, Marcel Dekker, New-York, 1989.
- [9] Subbarao, M. V., Warren, L. J., Unitary perfect numbers, Canad. Math. Bull., 9 (1966), 147-153.
- [10] Suryanarayana D., Super-perfect numbers, Elem. Math., 24 (1969), 16-17.
- [11] Suryanarayana D., The number of bi-unitary divisors of an integer, Lectures Notes in Math., Vol. 251, 1972, 273-278.
- [12] Wall, Ch. R., Bi-unitary perfect numbers, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 33 (1972), 39-42.